



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,  
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**Fax:** +1-925-223-8243  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

**PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Transplantation

**Manuscript NO:** 36243

**Title:** De novo glomerular diseases after renal transplantation: How is it different from recurrent glomerular diseases?

**Reviewer’s code:** 00503243

**Reviewer’s country:** Italy

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2017-09-15

**Date reviewed:** 2017-09-20

**Review time:** 5 Days

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

This is a very well written manuscript facing the relevant issue of differences between de novo or recurrence glomerulonephritis post renal transplantation. No question from myself, but only a curiosity: there is nothing about IgA GN?



**PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Transplantation

**Manuscript NO:** 36243

**Title:** De novo glomerular diseases after renal transplantation: How is it different from recurrent glomerular diseases?

**Reviewer's code:** 03475636

**Reviewer's country:** United States

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2017-09-15

**Date reviewed:** 2017-09-27

**Review time:** 11 Days

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Excellent review. There are several comments that please add/discuss 1. please add more discussion on De Novo IgA Nephropathy e.g. PMID: 28664821 2. Anti-VEGF therapy related Denovo PLA2R-negative membranous nephropathy after kidney transplant. (PMID: 25905984 Transplantation. 2015 Nov;99(11):2382-6.) Could VEGF play an important role? Please discuss



**PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Transplantation

**Manuscript NO:** 36243

**Title:** De novo glomerular diseases after renal transplantation: How is it different from recurrent glomerular diseases?

**Reviewer's code:** 00504406

**Reviewer's country:** Greece

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2017-09-15

**Date reviewed:** 2017-10-07

**Review time:** 22 Days

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

EXCELLENT REVIEW WITH SIGNIFICANT EDUCATIONAL VALUE FOR EVERYBODY INVOLVED IN RENAL TRANSPLANTATION