

ANSWERING REVIEWERS



July 29, 2013

Dear Editor,

Please find enclosed the edited manuscript in Word format (file name: 3674-review.doc).

Title: Ectopic insertion of the ureter into the seminal vesicle

Author: Mohamed Abou El-Ghar & Tarek El-Diasty

Name of Journal: *World Journal of Radiology*

ESPS Manuscript NO: 3674

The manuscript has been improved according to the suggestions of reviewers:

1 Format has been updated

2 Revision has been made according to the suggestions of the reviewer

Reviewer No.1:

-The references do not appear in the text.

We added references.

-It is not clear if hematuria was related to the ectopic insertion.

Hematuria was idiopathic and just coincidence.

-The authors should explain why ascending cystogram was normal.

In our case the seminal vesicle not enlarged enough to indent the bladder at the cystogram.

-It is also questioned why this ectopic insertion was not revealed before nephrectomy.

It is not suspected by the doctor who managed the patient elsewhere.

-The authors should also discuss more on how often is this situation, the difficulties and pitfalls in the diagnosis, the clinical importance of this finding and the main differential diagnoses.

We did it in the text

- Is it a condition that requires surgery? What happened to the patient?

Not usually require surgery unless it is symptomatic, if it is symptomatic surgeon usually addressing the upper tract only but if there is incontinence the ureteric stump removed. Our patients underwent cystoscopy that confirms the absence of normal insertion of left ureter and more done.

Reviewer No.2:

-The article entitled "Ectopic insertion of the ureter into the seminal vesicle" is rare and interesting. However, the management for such cases need to be discussed more in detail.

We did it in the text

-The grammar is required to be scrutinized.

We did our best to improve it

Reviewer No.3:

It is really a rare case of ectopic insertion of the ureter. In the case of unknown insertion of ureter, then one should consider also seminal vesicle in boys.

Reviewer No. 4:

CONVENTIONALLY, COMPUTED TOMOGRAPHY-UROGESICOGRAPHY IS A GOOD TOOL IN AID OF THE DIAGNOSIS OF URINARY TRACT PROBLEM, WHICH HAS BEEN ACCEPTED IN CERVICAL CANCER, SINCE GU TRACT IS VERY IMPORTANT IF THE PATIENT WITH CERVICAL CANCER IS PLANNED TO UNDERGO RADICAL HYSTERECTOMY. THIS PART SHOULD BE DISCUSSED. BY CONTRAST, THE ROLE OF MRI NEEDS VALIDATION. PLEASE DISCUSS THIS PART. FINALLY, THE ROLE OF ULTRASOUND MAY NEEDED TO BE CONFIRMED.

We did a search for the role of CT UROGESICOGRAPHY in this cases and to our knowledge no publications about it.

3 References and typesetting were corrected

Thank you again for publishing our manuscript in the *World Journal of Gastroenterology*.

Sincerely Yours

Dr. Mohamed Abou El-Ghar

Prof. of Radiodiagnosis

Radiology department

Urology & Nephrology Center

Mansoura University

Mansoura-Egypt

Tel. +20502262222

Fax. +20502263717