



**PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 36833

**Title:** Safety and efficacy of metallic stent for unresectable distal malignant biliary obstruction in elderly patients

**Reviewer's code:** 02542970

**Reviewer's country:** China

**Science editor:** Ke Chen

**Date sent for review:** 2017-10-30

**Date reviewed:** 2017-10-30

**Review time:** 5 Hours

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

This paper study safety and efficacy of metallic stent for unresectable distal malignant biliary obstruction in elderly patients. The results suggested that metallic stents can be safely inserted to treat unresectable distal malignant biliary obstruction even in elderly patients aged 80 years or more. It provided new information for the treatment of unresectable distal malignant biliary obstruction in elderly patients

Reply

Thank you for your comment for the manuscript. I want you to place this article by all means.



**PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 36833

**Title:** Safety and efficacy of metallic stent for unresectable distal malignant biliary obstruction in elderly patients

**Reviewer's code:** 00543238

**Reviewer's country:** Italy

**Science editor:** Ke Chen

**Date sent for review:** 2017-10-30

**Date reviewed:** 2017-11-19

**Review time:** 20 Days

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

In the present paper Dr Sakai and colleagues investigate the safety and efficacy of metallic stent for unresectable distal malignant biliary obstruction in elderly patients. In my opinion the issue is of clinical interest and the study was well conducted; however a few issues need to be addressed before publication. - Diagnosis. The authors enrolled 272 "patients diagnosed with unresectable distal malignant biliary obstruction" (page 6), "in 250 patients the diagnosis of malignancy was established by pathological examination, and in the remaining patients imaging techniques and clinical course" (page 7). In my opinion only patients with histology should be included in such study - One major bias of the study might be the definition of resectability, mostly considering that this is a multi centric study. Were the case evaluated by a multidisciplinary team? - Timing of follow up is not well defined: when were the patients re-evaluated? - Minor



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,  
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**Fax:** +1-925-223-8243  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

english revision in needed

Reply

Thank you for your comment for the manuscript. I want you to place this article by all means.

I diagnose even other articles with a malignancy in image views even if it cannot be diagnosed pathologically, and RCT is performed. I went study with reference to it this time.

[A prospective randomised study of "covered" versus "uncovered" diamond stents for the management of distal malignant biliary obstruction.](#)

**Isayama H**, Komatsu Y, Tsujino T, Sasahira N, Hirano K, Toda N, Nakai Y, Yamamoto N, Tada M, Yoshida H, Shiratori Y, Kawabe T, Omata M.

**Gut.** 2004 May;53(5):729-34.

Thank you for comment. This article receives native check.