

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 36937

Title: Assessment of Clinical and Pathological Features of Patients underwent Thyroid Surgery: A Retrospective Clinical Study

Reviewer's code: 02842333

Reviewer's country: Sri Lanka

Science editor: Li-Jun Cui

Date sent for review: 2017-11-03

Date reviewed: 2017-11-04

Review time: 1 Day

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

High p-value for sex may be due to missing data. A statement in this regard is worthwhile. Independent sample t-test can be applied only when the two samples are normally distributed. High p-value for Age could be due to violation of this assumption. Please give the distribution. Although the tumour size is significantly different, ROC curve does not derive useful data. By taking 28 mm cut off, half of the true malignancies are missed. Therefore, this cut off is not useful; very low sensitivity and specificity.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 36937

Title: Assessment of Clinical and Pathological Features of Patients underwent Thyroid Surgery: A Retrospective Clinical Study

Reviewer's code: 03104341

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Li-Jun Cui

Date sent for review: 2017-11-03

Date reviewed: 2017-11-13

Review time: 10 Days

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this study, Arif Emre et al. retrospectively analyzed parameters including age, sex, complete blood count its parameters, nodule diameter, nodule localization, thyroid functions test, and pathology reports in patients underwent thyroid. By analyzing the samples including 406 women and 99 men, the authors found that there were significant differences between the groups with respect to nodule size, cervical lymphadenopathy, nodular calcification, and ultrasonographic examination findings. They concluded that significant differences existed between the malignant and benign groups with regard to nodule size, cervical lymphadenopathy, nodular calcification, ultrasonographic findings, and nodule size. In general, this is an interesting study, designed scientifically. However, there are still several minors to be improved: 1, This manuscript was written very bad. The authors should improve their English and correct all mistakes. 2, The

authors should show the representative pictures of nodular calcification and ultrasonographic findings.