

37392 Point to Point Response

First of all, thanks very much for the quick evaluation of our manuscript by the World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy editorial board.

Concerning the recommendations and suggestions about our manuscript, we are presenting below all the modifications which have been done on the paper.

1. Regarding Reviewer #1 comment: the title was changed to " Different options of EUS-guided biliary drainage after ERCP failure." as suggested.
2. Regarding Reviewer #2 comments: data analysis was corrected. In table 1 for EUS-RV, clinical success was in 4 of 7 (57,1%) pts. EUS CD, clinical success was in 5 of 6 (83.3%) pts.
3. Regarding Reviewer #3 comment: Patient prognosis was taking into account for all cases, and it was really bad in 20 of 24 cases. All of these cases had malignant biliary obstruction and advanced disease with a short life expectancy, and the possibility to improve the survival with chemotherapy was null. For this reason, the authors adopted the EUS-guided approach for biliary drainage to offer the most physiological procedure with the lowest risk for these cases.



César Vivian Lopes