



**PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 37402

**Title:** Predictors of Post-Treatment Stenosis in Cervical Esophageal Cancer Undergoing High-dose Chemoradiotherapy

**Reviewer’s code:** 03552525

**Reviewer’s country:** Japan

**Science editor:** Ze-Mao Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2017-12-11

**Date reviewed:** 2017-12-12

**Review time:** 1 Day

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The stenosis after chemoradiotherapy for esophageal cancer was very important, and this report is interesting, but I would like authors to revise some points. 1. If authors would like to use “Predictors of Post-Treatment Stenosis” in title, you should show results about stenosis before treatment outcome in abstract and results. 2. Authors said “chemoradiotherapy” in title, but there were 2 patients who did not receive concurrent chemotherapy. If they did not receive any chemotherapy including adjuvant and neoadjuvant, authors should exclude them, or change title. 3. If possible, could authors show correlation between stenosis and chemotherapy cycle. 4. I also think more than 50Gy is necessary to treat CEC, but more than 80Gy was too high. Authors should explain why there were some patients received more than 80Gy irradiation. 5. Authors should show explain median follow-up time of survival patients for readers to know



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,  
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**Fax:** +1-925-223-8243  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
**https://**[www.wjgnet.com](http://www.wjgnet.com)

how long you follow up them. 6. I think authors would like to show predictive factors for post treatment stenosis, as you write in title. If you so, “Factors influencing occurrence of post-RT stenosis” should be written in not supplementary file but table, instead of table 2. This supplementary table 2 is much more important than table 2 in this article. 7. Paragraph 1 in discussion is introduction. So, authors should delete it, or rewrite it.



**PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 37402

**Title:** Predictors of Post-Treatment Stenosis in Cervical Esophageal Cancer Undergoing High-dose Chemoradiotherapy

**Reviewer’s code:** 02546235

**Reviewer’s country:** Japan

**Science editor:** Ze-Mao Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2017-12-11

**Date reviewed:** 2017-12-13

**Review time:** 1 Day

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input type="checkbox"/> No	

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

This manuscript has been well-written. Accordingly, this would be worthy of publication in World Journal of Gastroenterology.



**PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 37402

**Title:** Predictors of Post-Treatment Stenosis in Cervical Esophageal Cancer Undergoing High-dose Chemoradiotherapy

**Reviewer's code:** 00503563

**Reviewer's country:** Japan

**Science editor:** Ze-Mao Gong

**Date sent for review:** 2017-12-11

**Date reviewed:** 2017-12-16

**Review time:** 5 Days

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		BPG Search:	
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

**COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The authors investigated predictors of post-treatment stenosis in cervical esophageal cancer undergoing high-dose chemoradiotherapy. There are some queries and comments. Comments 1. The authors should indicate about the future perspectives of the clinical management in patients with cervical esophageal cancer undergoing high-dose chemoradiotherapy. 2. In the present study, a higher dose was not associated with post-RT stenosis. How do the authors discuss about this finding?