

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Orthopedics

**Manuscript NO:** 37645

**Title:** Neglected traumatic hip dislocation: Influence of the increased intracapsular pressure

**Reviewer's code:** 03677503

**Reviewer's country:** Greece

**Science editor:** Li-Jun Cui

**Date sent for review:** 2017-12-25

**Date reviewed:** 2017-12-26

**Review time:** 1 Day

CLASSIFICATION	LANGUAGE EVALUATION	SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	Google Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor		BPG Search:	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision
		<input type="checkbox"/> The same title	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

## COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for inviting me to review this interesting study. These are my comments: 1. The authors over-state from the abstract and core tips regarding time to reduction and hemarthrosis. However, these factors do not come out of this study and are only authors' assumptions. In contrast, it seems like a case series of patients with hip dislocations. I would revise and expand in the discussion only. 2. Please omit any irrelevant information and focus on hip dislocations. 3. I would ask the authors to provide clear statements regarding when to reduce and in which positions optimally. 4. At the results section it is stated that all dislocations were posterior; therefore, there is no meaning to provide the classification to the direction of the dislocations. 5. It should be clarified if any reduction was done open and if osteosynthesis was necessary. 6. Results should be clear regarding risk factors for osteonecrosis. A formal analysis is necessary. 7. I would

replace AVN with osteonecrosis (ON). 8. Discussion should focus on the topic (hip dislocations and risk factors) 9. Figures need explanatory legends.