

Editorial Office  
World Journal of Gastroenterology

Rotterdam, December 4th, 2017

Subject: submission of revised manuscript

Dear editor,

We hereby like to thank you and the reviewers for your thoughtful critique of our manuscript entitled 'Four cancer cases after esophageal atresia repair: time to start screening the upper gastrointestinal tract' (Manuscript Number 36862). We are grateful for your decision to allow a resubmission of a revised manuscript and the opportunity to respond to the reviewers' comments.

Please find enclosed our revised manuscript. The following page contains our responses to each of the comments. We are confident that it has improved our manuscript, and we hope that it is now acceptable for publication in World Journal of Gastroenterology.

The current article has not been submitted to other journals.

Yours sincerely,

On behalf of all auteurs,

Manon C.W. Spaander, MD PhD  
Associate professor in Gastroenterology

Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology  
Erasmus MC University Medical Center  
Internal postal address: HS-306  
P.O. Box 2040  
3000 CA Rotterdam  
The Netherlands  
Phone: (0031) 107035643  
E-mail: [v.spaander@erasmusmc.nl](mailto:v.spaander@erasmusmc.nl)

**'Four cancer cases after esophageal atresia repair: time to start screening the upper gastrointestinal tract'**

**Author's Response to reviewers**

**EDITORIAL BOARD:**

**Q1: Please provide language certificate by professional English language editing companies.**

**A1:** *First, we want to thank the editorial board for careful appraisal of our manuscript and for the valuable comments for improvement. The manuscript was carefully reviewed and edited by our in-house editor J. Hagoort. A language certificate was provided.*

**Q2: Please provide ORCID numbers.**

**A2:** *All authors' ORCID numbers were added to the manuscript.*

**Q3: An informed consent file must be provided in a PDF format, and the statement must also be mentioned as a footnote in the manuscript text.**

**A3:** *The Institutional Review Board (IRB) of the Erasmus MC University Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands, reviewed the research protocol and concluded that:*

- *there is no medical scientific question in the research protocol*
- *there is no burden associated with participation in this study*

*Because of this, the IRB concluded that the rules laid down in the Medical Research Involving Human Subjects Act do not apply to this research (pdf of IRB Statement provided). All handling to the subjects described in the study was part of standard clinical care.*

**Q4: We request an audio file describing your final Core Tip in order to attract readers to read your full-text article.**

**A4:** *An audio file describing the core tip was provided.*

**Q5: The section Article Highlights needs to be added to the manuscript.**

**A5:** *The section "Article Highlights" was added to the end of manuscript.*

**REVIEWER 1, 2 and 3:**

*We thank Reviewers 1, 2 and 3 for reviewing our manuscript and appreciate their positive responses.*