



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Transplantation

Manuscript NO: 38695

Title: Thrombotic microangiopathy after renal transplantation: Current insights in de novo and recurrent disease

Reviewer's code: 03655783

Reviewer's country: Spain

Science editor: Li-Jun Cui

Date sent for review: 2018-03-11

Date reviewed: 2018-03-21

Review time: 10 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The article "Thrombotic Microangiopathy (TMA) after renal transplantation: current insights in de novo and recurrent disease" reviews the current knowledge of this disease, summarizing the etiopathogenesis, prognosis and treatment in the context of renal



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

transplantation. The content of this review is very complete and updated. Even so, authors could add some recent papers about treatment of de novo TMA with Eculizumab (i.e. Shochet et al., 2017 and Safa et al., 2015), since they compare with recurrent aHUS after transplantation. The main comment to improve the clarity of this review is to improve the use of the abbreviations by: 1) Checking whether the first time they are used within the text is the first time they are explained 2) Making sure they do not use different abbreviations for the same concept (i.e. Factor H is abbreviated as CFH and FH, alternatively along the text) 3) Confirming every abbreviation is mentioned at least once within the main body (i.e. ESRF, GIT, LM, IF, EM...) It would help if every abbreviation is listed in the "Abbreviations" section.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

BPG Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No