

Reviewer 00503204

The authors have presented their experience with the use of ExoSeal. The manuscript is well-written and the cases well-reported. I would ask a native English speaker to correct a few linguistic mistakes present (e.g. "retrospective" instead of "retrograde" study).

What are the limitations of ExoSeal? A BMI >40 for instance? Calcified vessels? These should be mentioned, otherwise the article looks like an advertisement for ExoSeal.

1. Filipodia Publishing made an English proofreading on my paper.

2. As stated in the text, we used ExoSeal for all patients with no exceptions such as A BMI > 40 or Calcified vessels. It was not used only if there was an economic problem.

In this MS, the authors reported the single center experience with femoral access closure using the ExoSeal vascular closure device. Some problems existed.

1. Abstract: The authors said that "The purpose of this study was to report the experience of closure of the femoral artery using VCDs over a 17 month period". Here, the authors should clearly say to report the experience of closing the femoral artery puncture site during diagnostic and interventional angiographic procedures. Also in this part, what does MC stands for? Please give the full phrase. If it is used only once or twice, please use just the full phrase.

I added the sentence and corrected it.

2. INTRODUCTION: At the end of this part, the authors should introduce briefly the use of other similar VCDs in the market, reports of use of VCDs in the literature, and problems existed in the use of this kind of devices before he turned to the purpose of this study.

I found and introduced the documents you mentioned.

3. In the "Patients and procedures" section, what does this sentence mean "A total of 172, 5 Fr ExoSeals were used in 118 patients?" A total of 172 what?

It was fixed.

4. References: In this part, the latest reference used was from 2015. Are there any other new references from 2015 to 2018 not cited in the article? Please check.

I have found the journal that was written in 2016 and added them.

5. Figures: The legends for these figures are too simple and cannot be properly understood by the reader. Please add some more explanation to the figures.

I have added a more detailed explanation to the figures.

6. The results of this study is not novel because some studies with a large sample have been published while the authors did not cite as follows:

1).J Vasc Interv Radiol. 2013 May;24(5):698-702. doi: 10.1016/j.jvir.2013.02.014. Retrospective evaluation of safety and effectiveness of the EXOSEALvascular closure device for single vascular closure and closure after repeat puncture in diagnostic and interventional radiology: single-center experience. Boschewitz JM1, Andersson M, Naehle CP, Schild HH, Wilhelm K, Meyer C. 2). Clin Res Cardiol. 2015 Feb;104(2):145-53. doi: 10.1007/s00392-014-0766-3. Epub 2014 Oct 8. Large-scale experience with an anchorless vascular closure device in a real-life clinical setting. Schelp V1, Freitag-Wolf S, Hinzmann D, Bramlage P, Frey N, Frank D.

I have found these two journals you mentioned and referenced them and added them to the reference.