

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 39587

**Title:** Liposarcoma of the stomach: report of two cases and review of the literature

**Reviewer's code:** 00503437

**Reviewer's country:** Israel

**Science editor:** Xue-Jiao Wang

**Date sent for review:** 2018-05-03

**Date reviewed:** 2018-05-04

**Review time:** 1 Day

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input type="checkbox"/> No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This report contributes to the medical literature by drawing attention to a rare finding in the stomach, and this is its importance. The report is clear and the review of reported cases is given in detail. The tables and illustrations are good. Points for consideration: 1 In general, gastric non-epithelial tumors are labeled as GIST. Would the authors consider



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,  
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**Fax:** +1-925-223-8243  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://** www.wjgnet.com

these tumors as a form of GIST? 2 The use of EMR is interesting. The authors should say more about the advantages and disadvantages of this method. What follow-up protocol would the authors suggest in such patients? This case report has no limitations.

#### **INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT**

##### ***Google Search:***

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

##### ***BPG Search:***

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 39587

**Title:** Liposarcoma of the stomach: report of two cases and review of the literature

**Reviewer's code:** 00070509

**Reviewer's country:** South Korea

**Science editor:** Xue-Jiao Wang

**Date sent for review:** 2018-05-03

**Date reviewed:** 2018-05-06

**Review time:** 3 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input type="checkbox"/> No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I think this is a reportable case report with literature review.

### INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

*Google Search:*



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,  
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**Fax:** +1-925-223-8243  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://** www.wjgnet.com

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

***BPG Search:***

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 39587

**Title:** Liposarcoma of the stomach: report of two cases and review of the literature

**Reviewer's code:** 02446061

**Reviewer's country:** Mexico

**Science editor:** Xue-Jiao Wang

**Date sent for review:** 2018-05-03

**Date reviewed:** 2018-05-16

**Review time:** 12 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear authors: Your manuscript contribute to the scarce collection of data for liposarcoma of the stomach. However, I suggest you to take advantage of the scarce data to analyze in a deeper mode (comments about putative risk factors, gender, clinical evolution, diagnosis tools, etc..are lacking). You can enrich the content of your

manuscript, particularly in the section previous to your conclusions. Descriptive information is very good, but discussion is poor. Please add new data from: Gastric liposarcoma in a patient with severe obesity. Girardot-Miglierina A, Clerc D, Suter M. Ann R Coll Surg Engl. 2018 Apr;100(4):e88-e90. doi: 10.1308/rcsann.2018.0018. [A Case of Retroperitoneal Liposarcoma That Invaded and Filled the Jejunal Lumen]. Kohno T, Oyama K, Terai S, Okamoto K, Nakanuma S, Kinoshita J, Makino I, Nakamura K, Hayashi H, Miyashita T, Tajima H, Takamura H, Ninomiya I, Fushida S, Ohta T. Gan To Kagaku Ryoho. 2017 Nov;44(12):1338-1340. Gastric liposarcoma resected by laparoscopic total gastrectomy to achieve a wide surgical margin. Tomofuji K, Watanabe J, Ishida N, Kajiwarra S. BMJ Case Rep. 2017 Dec 5;2017. pii: bcr-2017-221703. doi: 10.1136/bcr-2017-221703.

#### **INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT**

##### ***Google Search:***

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

##### ***BPG Search:***

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No