

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 39800

Title: Adrenal Castleman disease: A Case Report and literature review

Reviewer's code: 00503773

Reviewer's country: Turkey

Science editor: Li-Jun Cui

Date sent for review: 2018-05-14

Date reviewed: 2018-05-16

Review time: 2 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I read the manuscript named "Adrenal Castleman disease: A Case Report and literature review ". (Manuscript NO: 39800) and my recommendations are as fallows. Title: It is accurately reflects the major topic and contents of the study. Abstract: Adequate, summarizing the topic. Case report: Case has been presented in

detail. Topics has been discussed with all aspects. References: References are appropriate and updated. Figures are reflects the major findings of the study, and they are appropriately presented. There are many typological errors in the manuscript.(it is written castleman , it should be Castleman). There is typological error on the 3rd line of Background of manuscript (it is written disorfers , it should be disorders). There is typological error on the 10th line of Discussion of manuscript (it is written diseaselike, it should be disease like). This study is clearly presented . It is crucial that the author point out to rarely case report. Also, this manuscript gives additional new knowledge to the literatüre. I think that this manuscript is suitable and worth to be published in the World Journal of Clinical Cases.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 39800

Title: Adrenal Castleman disease: A Case Report and literature review

Reviewer's code: 04017377

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Li-Jun Cui

Date sent for review: 2018-05-14

Date reviewed: 2018-05-17

Review time: 3 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This paper shows a case of adrenal Castleman disease with radiological and histopathological findings. The strong point is that adrenal Castleman disease is very rare. The weak points: 1. There are many grammatical errors. 2. Is the histopathological diagnosis is hyaline-vascular type Castleman disease for this case? 3.



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https:// www.wjgnet.com

In the Discussion, the authors did not review the clinical, radiological and histopathological findings of adrenal Castleman diseases and did not discuss how to make a differential diagnosis of the adrenal Castleman disease.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 39800

Title: Adrenal Castleman disease: A Case Report and literature review

Reviewer's code: 03227443

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Li-Jun Cui

Date sent for review: 2018-05-14

Date reviewed: 2018-05-18

Review time: 3 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors would like present that the hyaline vascular type of Castleman lymphnode was located in adrenal grand. However lot of comments are shown as below. 1 The title is not inadequate. The abdominal mass should be confirmed the location whether in adrenal grand or outside near the adrenal grand in abdominal cavity, even if the

authors present the tumor mass was on the left adrenal region in Fig. 1 . The author did not show a figure in which the mass was in the adrenal gland pathologically with low and high magnification . The adrenal functions were normal describing in the text. So, where was a normal adrenal gland ? The title is better to change to such as, 'Detection of a unicentric type of Castleman like mass at the site of adrenal gland.' Never use 'Adrenal Castleman disease'. 2 The pathological features in Fig. 2 is not typical findings of hyaline-vascular type of Castleman lymphnode. Hyalin vascularity should be presented in the mass tissue with a high magnification. In Fig.2 (C, D) ,the abundant cell were positively stained with both CD-20 and CD21 in the mass. However, there was no other staining figure with CD79a, Pax5. CD2, CD3, CD5, CD23, and CD68 .as shown in the text. Therefore, to distinguish the tumor mass into a type of Castleman lymphnode or malignancy, it is better to find the monoclonality with pathologic and gene technic analysis. 3 Even though, the laboratory finds were within normal range, At least LDH, Al-ph, CRP, Albumin, Fibrinogen, Serum amyloid A, Creatinin , Hb, Ht, IgG, IgA, IgM, IgE, Ferritin, Kl-6 , and if possible IL-6 must be expressed in the manuscript to distinguish between hyaline-vascular type from plasma cell type of Castleman Disease. 4 This study was done on unicentric hyaline-vascular type of Castleman disease. However, irrelevant descriptions were observed in the discussion on multicentric plasma cell type. So delete some of them. 5 Recently, new reports or review papers on Castleman disease have been published on unicentric Castleman disease., Refer the recent papers instead of the reports on multicentric type

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

[] The same title



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https:// www.wjgnet.com

☐ Duplicate publication

☐ Plagiarism

☐ Y No

BPG Search:

☐ The same title

☐ Duplicate publication

☐ Plagiarism

☐ Y No

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 39800

Title: Adrenal Castleman disease: A Case Report and literature review

Reviewer's code: 02951548

Reviewer's country: Turkey

Science editor: Li-Jun Cui

Date sent for review: 2018-05-14

Date reviewed: 2018-05-29

Review time: 15 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Reviewer's comments Jing Chen et al have reported a rare case of adrenal Castleman's disease. Present case report is of value in terms of being an extremely rare cause of adrenal masses, particularly those with non hormone secreting nature. The diagnostic steps, management and presentation of case as well as the literature review

look satisfactory. However, there some issues related to the case management and writing up of the manuscript. I, herein, stated my concerns and comments below. I also attached a copy of tracked manuscript indicating the typological errors. 1. There are many many grammatical and typological errors within the text. I highlighted some of these errors with a yellow background on the text, whilst, it may not be limited to those I indicated. Please check the manuscripts thoroughly in this point of view and correct the errors. 2. Authors have used the abbreviation “CD” in somewhere and “Castleman’s Disease” in somewhere. Please unify this and use “Castleman’s Disease” throughtout the manuscript or preferably “CD” after the first description of the abbreviation. 3. As a comprehensive work up is essential for the differential diagnosis of the adrenal masses authors should state the results of all hormonal investigations in a table. 4. Although authors have not mentioned about the clinical evidences of adrenal cortical hormones; cortisol and androgens, a comprehensive investigations of such hormones is recommended. Please also add to the table the results of glucocorticoid and androgen measurements, once applicable. If authors have not investigated these hormones they should shortly state their rationale within the text. 5. Do authors mean “localized CD” by saying “Single site of CD”? The latter one does not meet the correct description. Please correct this. 6. Authors have not described the MCD in anywhere but being used many time throughout the manuscript. Please describe it were it has been used first. 7. Language of the article requires a meticulous revision.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https:// www.wjgnet.com

☐ No

BPG Search:

☐ The same title

☐ Duplicate publication

☐ Plagiarism

☐ No