



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https:// www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Oncology

Manuscript NO: 39949

Title: Does the New AJCC-8TH Staging Version Improve Prognostic Assessment of Colorectal Cancer Patients? A Retrospective Review of our Data

Reviewer's code: 03087211

Reviewer's country: India

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2018-05-23

Date reviewed: 2018-05-27

Review time: 4 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The work is good up to some extent but may be improved by inclusion of the following suggestions. 1. English should be improved throughout the manuscript. 2. Quantitative information should be provided in the abstract. 3. The conclusion should be concise



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https:// www.wjgnet.com

and to the points indication the application of the work. 4. Novelty of the work be established. 5. Refs are not updated and the following refs. should be added. The addition of these may improve the quality of this manuscript otherwise it is a case of rejection. A: With refs 1 Curr. Drug Target, 16: 711-734 (2015).; Future Med. Chem., 5, 961-978 (2013).; Bioorg. & Med. Chem., 21: 3808-3820 (2013).; Anti-Cancer Agents Med. Chem., 13: 296-306 (2013).; Current Drug Ther, 7: 13-23 (2012).; Chirality, 30, 402-406. B: With refs 3 Can. Ther., 8: 6-14 (2011).; Curr. Can. Drug Targets, 11, 131-134 (2011).; Egyp. Pharm. J., 9: 133-179 (2010).; Med. Chem., 9, 11-21 (2013).; Med. Chem. Res., 22, 1386-1398 (2013). C: With refs 4 Polyhedron 56, 134-143 (2013).; RSC Advances 4, 29629-29641 (2014).; Anti Can. Res 58, 437-494 (2013).; Curr. Med. Chem., 2159-2187 (2016).; J. Mol. Liq., 234, 391-402 (2017).; Biointerf. Res. Appl. Chem., 6: 1356-1379 (2016). I WOULD LIKE TO SEE THE REVISED MANUSCRIPT.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https:// www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Oncology

Manuscript NO: 39949

Title: Does the New AJCC-8TH Staging Version Improve Prognostic Assessment of Colorectal Cancer Patients? A Retrospective Review of our Data

Reviewer's code: 02800511

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2018-06-06

Date reviewed: 2018-06-12

Review time: 6 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Quality of manuscript original with organization Title is correct only according to the propose Summary: It is correct and clear Keywords without observations Introduction: it is correct and consistent, it only presents constant typing errors.

Methods NA, It has been considered to extend the study to other centers, national and foreign in the future. Results accords and well described. Discussion The conclusions and discussion are in agreement and clear the study is well directed and the results lead to the conclusion described here.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No