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**Abstract**

***AIM***

To measure the willingness to pay for colorectal cancer screening in Guangzhou, and to identify those factors associated with it.

***METHODS***

Face-to-Face questionnaire survey for prescreening population from free and non-free colonoscopy districts was used to collect information on demographic characteristics, health behaviours, the intention of the cancer screenings and willingness to pay for colorectal cancer screening. There were 1243 participants who took part in the pre-screening for colorectal cancer in Guangzhou collected in the study. The proportion was evaluated for categorical data. The *χ*2 test was used to analyse significant differences. Non-conditional logistic regression and multi-class logistic regression were also performed for multivariate analysis and to estimate the odds ratios.

***RESULTS***

The percentage of participants willing to pay for colorectal cancer screening was 91.7%. “Unnecessary” was the dominant reason participants gave for their unwillingness, accounting for 63.1%. Of those who were willing to pay, 29.2%, 20.7%, 14.8%, 13.0% and 22.4% of participants were willing to pay less than ¥ 100, ¥ 100-199, ¥ 200-299, ¥ 300-399 and more than ¥ 400, respectively. Non-logistic regression analysis showed that respondents who were male, had a high level of education, from the family with more children/older to raised, and accepting of colorectal cancer screening were willing to pay for this screening. Multi-class logistic regression analysis showed that respondents with higher annual household income per capita, from government and private enterprises, government agency/institution and peasant, and less family medical expenditure were willing to pay more.

***CONCLUSION***

Willingness to pay for colorectal cancer screening in Guangzhou was high, but the amount of willing to pay was not much.
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**Core tip:** The uptake of colorectal cancer screening is very important for the screening effect. For a mass screening program, however, it was not possible to offer the screenings free of charge. This study was conducted to measure the willingness to pay for colorectal cancer screening in Guangzhou and to identify those factors associated with it. There were 1243 participants collected in the study. The percentage of participants willing to pay for colorectal cancer screening was high, but the amount of willing to pay was not much, and less than the cost of colonoscopy.

Zhou Q, Li Y, Liu HZ, Liang YR, Lin GZ. Willingness to pay for colorectal cancer screening in Guangzhou.*World J Gastroenterol* 2018; In press

**INTRODUCTION**

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the third most commonly diagnosed cancer in males and the second in females worldwide[1]. In urban China, the incidence of CRC ranks the third highest of all cancers, and the mortality ranks fourth[2]. Both the crude incidence and the age-standardized rate increased during the period of 2003-2007 in urban areas of China[3,4]. The incidence and mortality were 36.46/105 and 16.11/105 in Guangzhou in 2013, respectively, ranking second and third of all the sites[5].

Colorectal cancer screening could improve the early diagnosis rate and decrease the mortality of colorectal cancer[6]. Faecal occult-blood test (FOBT) and colonoscopy were the most common screening methods in China and worldwide[7-9]. In China, there were few cities such as Shanghai and Tianjin that carried out population-based screening for colorectal cancer[10,11]. Guangzhou has carried out screening for colorectal cancer in the community since 2015[12]. However, the compliance with colonoscopy was only 17.63% in 2015[13]. And the uptake of colonoscopy using it in areas with free colonoscopy was higher than that in areas that charged for colonoscopy (20.27% *vs* 10.70%)[13].

The uptake of colonoscopy is very important for the screening effect[14]. For a mass screening program, however, it was not possible to offer the screenings free of charge. Accordingly, the study of a participant’s willingness to pay for colorectal cancer screening is very important. Few studies about willingness to pay exist in China. This study was designed to measure willingness to pay for colorectal cancer screening in Guangzhou and to identify those factors associated with willingness to pay.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

***Data source***

Face-to-Face questionnaire survey for prescreening population from free and non-free colonoscopy districts was used to collect information on demographic characteristics, health behaviours, the intention of the cancer screenings and willingness to pay for colorectal cancer screening. A total of 1243 participants who took part in the pre-screening for colorectal cancer in Guangzhou were collected in the study. The analysis included 1240 respondents. The willingness to pay for colorectal cancer screening and the factors associated with it were evaluated. In this study, colorectal cancer screening was consisted of questionnaire risk assessment and FOBT, following by colonoscopy for the positive participants.

Written informed consent to participate in the study was obtained from all participants. In addition, the individuals mentioned in this manuscript provided their written informed consent to publish their case details. The ethics committee of the Guangzhou Center of Disease Control and Prevention approved this study proposal.

***Statistical analyses***

The proportion was evaluated for categorical data. The *χ*2 test was used to analyse significant differences. Non-conditional logistic regression and multi-class logistic regression were also performed for multivariate analysis and to estimate the odds ratios ORs. The software including Epidata 3.1 and SPSS statistics 21 (IBM SPSS software) were used for data inputting, checking and statistical analyses. A two-sided *P*-value < 0.05 was determined as statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

Characteristics of the 1240 participants are presented in Table 1. The median age of participants was 64 years old (inter-quartile range: 59-68 years). A total of 34.7% and 25.2% of the participants were male and had less than 6 years of education, respectively. Additionally, 16.9% and 93.2% of the participants were from government/private enterprises and had medical insurance. In sum, 91.9% of the participants were married. A total of 38.9% of participants had no children/older to raise. The medians of the annual income per capita, annual household income per capita and family medical expenditure were 30000 (inter-quartile range 15000-45000), 27500 (inter-quartile range 15000-40000) and 5000 (inter-quartile range 2000-10000), respectively. The acceptance for colorectal cancer screening was 95.6%.

The portion of participants willing to pay for colorectal cancer screening was 91.7% (Table 2). “Unnecessary” was the dominant reason given for unwillingness, accounting for 63.1%. The next was “Examination is painful”, accounting for 32.0%. In addition, 29.2%, 20.7%, 14.8%, 13.0% and 22.4% of participants were willing to pay less than ¥ 100, ¥ 100-199, ¥ 200-299, ¥ 300-399 and more than ¥ 400, respectively.

Univariate analysis showed that respondents who were male, had a high level of education, were from a government agency/institution, were married, who had more children/older in the household, and were accepting of colorectal cancer screening were more willing to pay for colorectal cancer screening (Table 3). Univariate analysis also showed that respondents who were male, had a high level of education, were from a government agency/institution, had medical insurance for public health care/urban employees, had more annual income per capita, and had more annual household income per capita were willing to pay more for colorectal cancer screening (Table 4).

Non-logistic regression analysis showed that female respondents, other professions compared with unemployed, and who were less accepting of colorectal cancer screening were unwilling to pay for colorectal cancer screening. Those with a high level of education and from the family with more raised persons were willing to pay for colorectal cancer screening (Table 5).

Multi-class logistic regression analysis showed that respondents with less annual household income per capita were willing to pay less than ¥ 200 rather than more than ¥ 400, and the participants from government and private enterprises, government agencies/institutions and peasants were willing to pay more than ¥ 400 rather than ¥ 200 compared with unemployed. It also showed that respondents with less annual household income per capita, less annual income per capita, and other professions were willing to pay ¥ 200-399 rather than more than ¥ 400, and the participants with less family medical expenditure were willing to pay more than ¥ 400 rather than ¥ 200-399 (Table 6).

**DISCUSSION**

Colorectal cancer screening is a significantly effective method of decreasing the mortality from colorectal cancer[6]. Currently, many countries around the world have carried out population-based colorectal cancer screening programs[15-17]. However, the rate of participating in the screening was relatively low, which significantly influences the effect of screening[18-19]. It is very important for population-based colorectal cancer screening to improve compliance. However, it is unrealistic for a mass population to be screened free of charge, and willingness to pay for colorectal cancer screening was quite an important influencing factor of compliance. Our study regarding willingness to pay was necessary in this context.

Our study determined that the percentage of people willing to pay for colorectal cancer screening was 91.7%. It was higher than Shi J-F’s study reporting 85.5% in urban China[20], [Kwak MS](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Kwak%20MS%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=16910307)’s 76% in women in Korea[21], [Mohd Suan MA](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Mohd%20Suan%20MA%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=26745083)’s 37.5% in Malaysia[22], and [Ho W](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Ho%20W%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=20651195)’s 30% in Boston[23]. And it was similar to [Harewood GC](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Harewood%20GC%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=18584273)’s study in Ireland[24]. It appeared that willingness to pay for colorectal cancer screening in Guangzhou was relatively high. But only 35.4% of participants would be willing to pay more than ¥ 300, and only 22.4% of participants would pay more than ¥ 400. However, the cost of a hospital colonoscopy is typically over ¥ 350. And the cost of screening both include the cost of colonoscopy and questionnaire survey and FOBT. Therefore, the amount that respondents were willing to pay was lower than the actual cost of screening.

This study showed that respondents of male gender and high level of education were more willing to pay for colorectal cancer screening and would pay more than female respondents and those with a low level of education. In addition, the participants from government agencies/institutions and those with higher income and less family medical expenditure were willing to pay more for colorectal cancer screening. Generally, males were willing to spend more than females. In addition, the awareness of health was much better among people with more education and those who were working in a government agency/institution. Furthermore, the status of household income and expenditure significantly affects commodity purchasing power. These findings were similar to E. Frew’s study in which those with higher income and of male gender were more willing to pay for screening[25] and [Kwak MS](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Kwak%20MS%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=16910307)’s study in which as the status of education and income were higher, the average amount that women were willing to pay became much more, but old age was associated with a lower willingness to pay[21]. However, [Moreno CC](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Moreno%20CC%5BAuthor%5D&cauthor=true&cauthor_uid=26774952) showed that there was no statistically significant difference in the responses of males and females, or in the responses of individuals of different races or different ages regarding test features[26]. Respondents who accepted the screening were more willing to pay for colorectal cancer screening. The acceptance was a prerequisite for the willingness to pay.

In general, willingness to pay for colorectal cancer screening in Guangzhou was high, but the amount that participants were willing to pay was low. To move forward with the population-based screening, it is necessary to strengthen publicity, increase awareness of screening and contemplation of participation. On the other hand, it was suggested that the government should raise the budget for the colorectal cancer screening program, subsidise the participants and bring the colorectal cancer screening into the outpatient medical insurance system, thereby increasing the intake rate of screening.

The present study has some limitations. First, the respondents were from the population taking part in colorectal cancer primary screening. The representation of the sample was not very good. Second, the amount that participants were willing to pay was semi-quantitative. It may influence the quantitative assessment and needs to improve in future research.

**ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS**

***Research background***

Colorectal cancer was the third most commonly diagnosed cancer in males and the second in females worldwide. And colorectal cancer screening could improve the early diagnosis rate and decrease the mortality of colorectal cancer. However, the compliance of screening was lower than 20%. And the uptake of colonoscopy in areas with free colonoscopy was higher than that in charged colonoscopy area. For mass screening program, it was not possible to be free charge. Accordingly, the study of willingness to pay for colorectal cancer screening was very important.

***Research motivation***

Because previous study of willingness to pay for colorectal cancer was few in China, the study of willingness to pay for colorectal cancer screening was very important for further health economics evaluation. The main topics of our study were to measure willingness to pay for colorectal cancer screening in Guangzhou, and to identify those factors associated.

***Research objectives***

The objective of our study was to figure out the willingness to pay for colorectal cancer screening, and to analysis those factors associated. This was very important for improving the uptake of colorectal cancer and developing screening strategies for the government

***Research methods***

1243 participants who took part in the prescreening of colorectal cancer in Guangzhou were collected in the study. Face-to-Face questionnaire survey for prescreening population from free and non-free colonoscopy districts was used to collect information on demographic characteristics, health behaviours, the intention of the cancer screenings and willingness to pay for colorectal cancer screening. 1240 respondents were included in the analysis. The willingness to pay for colorectal cancer screening and the factors associated with it were evaluated.

***Research results***

The portion of willingness to pay for colorectal cancer screening in Guangzhou was 91.7%. “Unnecessary” was the dominant reason of unwillingness, accounting for 63.1%. There were 29.2%, 20.7%, 14.8%,13.0% and 22.4% of participants who were willing to pay less than ¥ 100, ¥ 100-199, ¥ 200-299, ¥ 300-399 and more than ¥ 400,respectively. Non-logistic regression analysis showed that respondents of male, high level of education, from the family with more raised persons, and accepting for colorectal cancer screening were willing to pay for colorectal cancer screening. Multi-class logistic regression analysis showed that respondents of higher annual household income per capita, from government and private enterprises, government agency/institution and peasant, less family medical expenditure were willing to pay more.

***Research conclusions***

Thestudy has concluded that willingness to pay for colorectal cancer screening in Guangzhou was high, but the amount of willing to pay was low, and less than the cost of colonoscopy. In order to move forward the population-base screening, it was necessary to strengthen publicity, increase awareness of screening, raise the budget of screening program for government and bring the colorectal cancer screening into outpatient medical insurance system.

***Research perspectives***

In this study, the respondents were from the population taking part in colorectal cancer primary screening. The representative of the sample was not very good, and the amount of willing to pay was semi-quantitative. It may influence the quantitative assessment. These need to be improved in the later research, measure the quantitative value of willingness to pay for Chinese, and improve parameters for health economics evaluation of colorectal cancer screening.
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**Table 1 Demographic characteristics of participants in the pre-screening for colorectal cancer in Guangzhou**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Variable** | **The number of participants (*n* = 1240)** | **%** | **Variable** | **The number of participants (*n* = 1240)** | **%** |
| Gender |  |  | Marital status |  |  |
| Male | 430 | 34.7 | Married | 1133 | 91.9 |
| Female | 810 | 65.3 | Single/divorced/widowed | 100 | 8.1 |
| Age (yr) |  |  | The number to be raised in family |  |  |
| < 65 | 679 | 54.8 | 0 | 478 | 38.9 |
| ≥ 65 | 561 | 45.2 | 1-2 | 564 | 45.9 |
| Education (yr) |  |  | ≥ 3 | 188 | 15.3 |
| ≤ 6 | 312 | 25.2 | Annual income per capita (RMB: Yuan) |  |  |
| 7-12 | 748 | 60.5 | ≤ 30000 | 624 | 50.8 |
| > 12 | 177 | 14.3 | > 30000 | 604 | 49.2 |
| Occupation |  |  | Annual household income per capita (RMB: Yuan) |  |  |
| Government and private enterprises | 412 | 33.4 | ≤ 30000 | 688 | 56.9 |
| Government agency/institution | 137 | 11.1 | > 30000 | 521 | 43.1 |
| Peasant | 198 | 16.1 | Family medical expenditure (RMB: Yuan) |  |  |
| Unemployed | 208 | 16.9 | ≤ 5000 | 728 | 59.4 |
| Other | 278 | 22.5 | > 5000 | 498 | 40.6 |
| Health care status |  |  | Acceptance of colorectal cancer screening |  |  |
| The urban residents' medical insurance | 388 | 32.3 | Yes | 1184 | 95.6 |
| Medical insurance for public health care/urban employees | 732 | 60.9 | No | 55 | 4.4 |
| Other | 81 | 6.7 |  |  |  |

**Table 2 Willingness to pay for colorectal cancer screening of participants in Guangzhou**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Variable** | **The number of participants (*n* = 1240)** | **%** |
| Would you like to pay for colorectal cancer screening?  |
| Yes | 1137 | 91.7 |
| No | 103 | 8.3 |
| Reason for "No" |  |  |
| The cost is unbearable | 12 | 11.7 |
| Unnecessary | 65 | 63.1 |
| No time | 7 | 6.8 |
| Examination is painful | 33 | 32.0 |
| Others | 7 | 6.8 |
| If you want, how much would you like to pay for it? (RMB: Yuan) |
| < 100 | 331 | 29.2 |
| 100-199 | 235 | 20.7 |
| 200-299 | 168 | 14.8 |
| 300-399 | 147 | 13.0 |
| ≥ 400 | 254 | 22.4 |

**Table 3 Influence factors of willingness to pay for colorectal cancer screening of participants in Guangzhou**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Variable** | **Willing to pay *n* (%)** | **Not willing to pay *n* (%)** | ***χ2*** | ***P* value** |
| Gender |  |  | 4.41 | 0.036 |
| Male | 404 (94.0) | 26 (6.0) |  |  |
| Female | 733 (90.5) | 77 (9.5) |  |  |
| Age (yr) |  |  | 1.25 | 0.264 |
| < 65 | 628 (92.5) | 51 (7.5) |  |  |
| ≥ 65 | 509 (90.7) | 52 (9.3) |  |  |
| Education (yr) |  |  | 11.53 | 0.003 |
| ≤ 6 | 272 (87.5) | 39 (12.5) |  |  |
| 7-12 | 690 (92.6) | 55 (7.4) |  |  |
| > 12 | 169 (95.5) | 8 (4.5) |  |  |
| Occupation |  |  | 26.56 | < 0.001 |
| Government and private enterprises | 386 (93.7) | 26 (6.3) |  |  |
| Government agency/institution | 134 (97.8) | 3 (2.2) |  |  |
| Peasant | 181 (91.4) | 17 (8.6) |  |  |
| Unemployed | 194 (93.3) | 14 (6.7) |  |  |
| Other | 236 (84.9) | 42 (15.1) |  |  |
| Marital status |  |  | 4.71 | 0.03 |
| Married | 1045 (92.2) | 88 (7.8) |  |  |
| Single/divorced/widowed | 86 (86.0) | 14 (14.0) |  |  |
| Health care status |  |  | 2.93 | 0.231 |
| The urban residents' medical insurance | 363(93.6) | 25 (6.4) |  |  |
| Medical insurance for public health care/urban employees | 665 (90.8) | 67 (9.2) |  |  |
| Other | 76 (93.8) | 5 (6.2) |  |  |
| The number to be raised in family |  |  | 12.63 | 0.002 |
| 0 | 422 (88.3) | 56 (11.7) |  |  |
| 1-2 | 527 (93.4) | 37 (6.6) |  |  |
| ≥ 3 | 179 (95.2) | 9 (4.8) |  |  |
| Annual income per capita (RMB: Yuan) |  |  | 2.12 | 0.146 |
| ≤ 30000 | 570 (91.3) | 54 (8.7) |  |  |
| > 30000 | 565 (93.5) | 39 (6.5) |  |  |
| Annual household income per capita (RMB: Yuan) |  |  | 0.30 | 0.587 |
| ≤ 30000 | 632 (91.9) | 56 (8.1) |  |  |
| > 30000 | 483 (92.7) | 38 (7.3) |  |  |
| Family medical expenditure (RMB: Yuan) |  |  | 3.49 | 0.062 |
| ≤ 5000 | 663 (91.1) | 65 (8.9) |  |  |
| > 5000 | 468 (94.0) | 30 (6.0) |  |  |
| Acceptance of colorectal cancer screening |  |  | 63.33 | < 0.001 |
| Yes | 1102 (93.1) | 82 (6.9) |  |  |
| No | 34 (61.8) | 21 (38.2) |  |  |

**Table 4 Influence factors of fees to pay for colorectal cancer screening of participants in Guangzhou**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Variable** | **< 200 Yuan *n* (%)** | **200-399 Yuan *n* (%)** | **≥ 400 Yuan *n* (%)** | ***χ*2** | ***P* value** |
| Gender |  |  |  | 7.74 | 0.005 |
| Male | 177 (43.8) | 127 (31.4) | 100 (24.8) |  |  |
| Female | 389 (53.2) | 188 (25.7) | 154 (21.1) |  |  |
| Age (yr) |  |  |  | 2.41 | 0.121 |
| < 65 | 327 (52.2) | 165 (26.3) | 135 (21.5) |  |  |
| ≥ 65 | 239 (47.0) | 150 (29.5) | 119 (23.4) |  |  |
| Education (yr) |  |  |  | 20.53 | < 0.001 |
| ≤ 6 | 150 (55.1) | 74 (27.2) | 48 (17.6) |  |  |
| 7-12 | 350 (50.9) | 190 (27.6) | 148 (21.5) |  |  |
| > 12 | 61 (36.1) | 51 (30.2) | 57 (33.7) |  |  |
| Occupation |  |  |  | 38.85 | < 0.001 |
| Government and private enterprises | 163 (42.2) | 108 (28.0) | 115 (29.8) |  |  |
| Government agency/institution | 54 (40.3) | 37 (27.6) | 43 (32.1) |  |  |
| Peasant | 104 (57.8) | 39 (21.7) | 37 (20.6) |  |  |
| Unemployed | 121 (62.4) | 46 (23.7) | 27 (13.9) |  |  |
| Other | 119 (50.6) | 85 (36.2) | 31 (13.2) |  |  |
| Marital status |  |  |  | 0.25 | 0.618 |
| Married | 518 (49.7) | 287 (27.5) | 238 (22.8) |  |  |
| Single/divorced/widowed | 43 (50.0) | 28 (32.6) | 15 (17.4) |  |  |
| Health care status |  |  |  | 21.57 | < 0.001 |
| The urban residents' medical insurance | 217 (59.9) | 83 (22.9) | 62 (17.1) |  |  |
| Medical insurance for public health care/urban employees | 298 (44.9) | 195 (29.4) | 171 (25.8) |  |  |
| Other | 34 (44.7) | 26 (34.2) | 16 (21.1) |  |  |
| The number to be raised in family |  |  |  | 3.21 | 0.201 |
| 0 | 200 (47.5) | 116 (27.6) | 105 (24.9) |  |  |
| 1-2 | 260 (49.4) | 150 (28.5) | 116 (22.1) |  |  |
| ≥ 3 | 97 (54.2) | 49 (27.4) | 33 (18.4) |  |  |
| Annual income per capita (RMB: Yuan) |  |  |  | 39.46 | < 0.001 |
| ≤ 30000 | 328 (57.6) | 156 (27.4) | 85 (14.9) |  |  |
| > 30000 | 236 (41.8) | 159 (28.2) | 169 (30.0) |  |  |
| Annual household income per capita (RMB: Yuan) |  |  |  | 86.03 | < 0.001 |
| ≤ 30000 | 385 (61.0) | 160 (25.4) | 86 (13.6) |  |  |
| > 30000 | 172 (35.7) | 147 (30.5) | 163 (33.8) |  |  |
| Family medical expenditure (RMB: Yuan) |  |  |  | 0.13 | 0.722 |
| ≤ 5000 | 333 (50.2) | 173 (26.1) | 157 (23.7) |  |  |
| > 5000 | 231 (49.6) | 140 (30.0) | 95 (20.4) |  |  |
| Acceptance of colorectal cancer screening |  |  |  | 2.37 | 0.124 |
| Yes | 545 (49.5) | 304 (27.6) | 251 (22.8) |  |  |
| No | 20 (58.8) | 11 (32.4) | 3 (8.0) |  |  |

**Table 5 Non-logistic regression analysis of unwillingness to pay for colorectal cancer screening of participants in Guangzhou**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Variable** | **B** | **SE** | **Wals** | ***P* value** | **OR (95%CI)** |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male |  |  |  |  | 1.00 |
| Female | 0.60 | 0.28 | 4.49 | 0.034 | 1.82 (1.05-3.15) |
| Education (yr) |  |  |  |  |  |
| ≤ 6 |  |  |  |  | 1.00 |
| 7-12 | (0.82) | 0.29 | 7.92 | 0.005 | 0.44 (0.25-0.78) |
| > 12 | (0.91) | 0.49 | 3.45 | 0.063 | 0.40 (0.16-1.05) |
| Occupation |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployed |  |  |  |  | 1.00 |
| Government and private enterprises | 0.52 | 0.41 | 1.59 | 0.208 | 1.67 (0.75-3.74) |
| Government agency/institution | (0.96) | 0.81 | 1.38 | 0.240 | 0.38 (0.08-1.90) |
| Peasant | (0.15) | 0.46 | 0.10 | 0.750 | 0.86 (0.35-2.13) |
| Other | 1.33 | 0.39 | 11.42 | 0.001 | 3.78 (1.75-8.18) |
| The number to be raised in family |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 |  |  |  |  | 1.00 |
| 1-2 | (0.69) | 0.26 | 7.00 | 0.008 | 0.50 (0.30-0.84) |
| ≥ 3 | (0.88) | 0.39 | 5.04 | 0.025 | 0.41 (0.19-0.89) |
| Acceptance of colorectal cancer screening |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes |  |  |  |  | 1.00 |
| No | 2.02 | 0.36 | 31.85 | *P* < 0.001 | 7.52 (3.73-15.16) |

**Table 6 Multi-class logistic regression analysis of payment fees for colorectal cancer screening of participants in Guangzhou**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Variable** | **B** | **SE** | **Wals** | ***P* value** | **OR (95%CI)** |
| < 200 (RMB: Yuan) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Occupation |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployed |  |  |  |  | 1.00 |
| Government and private enterprises | (0.87) | 0.29 | 8.85 | 0.003 | 0.42 (0.24, 0.74) |
| Government agency/institution | (0.81) | 0.36 | 5.23 | 0.022 | 0.44 (0.22, 0.89) |
| Peasant | (1.15) | 0.34 | 11.59 | 0.001 | 0.32 (0.16, 0.61) |
| Other | (0.17) | 0.33 | 0.27 | 0.607 | 0.84 (0.44, 1.61) |
| Annual household income per capita (RMB: Yuan) |  |  |  |  |  |
| > 30000 |  |  |  |  | 1.00 |
| ≤ 30000 | 1.18 | 0.20 | 33.31 | 0.000 | 3.25 (2.18, 4.85) |
| 200-399 (RMB: Yuan) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family medical expenditure (RMB: Yuan) |  |  |  |  |  |
| > 5000 |  |  |  |  | 1.00 |
| ≤ 5000 | (0.40) | 0.19 | 4.65 | 0.031 | 0.67 (0.47, 0.96) |
| Occupation |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployed |  |  |  |  | 1.00 |
| Government and private enterprises | (0.15) | 0.33 | 0.21 | 0.647 | 0.86 (0.45, 1.64) |
| Government agency/institution | (0.19) | 0.39 | 0.24 | 0.622 | 0.82 (0.38, 1.78) |
| Peasant | (0.63) | 0.39 | 2.65 | 0.103 | 0.53 (0.25, 1.14) |
| Other | 0.74 | 0.36 | 4.20 | 0.040 | 2.11 (1.03, 4.29) |
| Annual household income per capita (RMB:Yuan) |  |  |  |  |  |
| > 30000 |  |  |  |  | 1.00 |
| ≤ 30000 | 0.47 | 0.22 | 4.47 | 0.035 | 1.60 (1.04, 2.48) |
| Annual income per capita (RMB: Yuan) |  |  |  |  |  |
| > 30000 |  |  |  |  | 1.00 |
| ≤ 30000 | 0.56 | 0.23 | 5.73 | 0.017 | 1.75 (1.11, 2.76) |