



**PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 41538

**Title:** Better surgical treatment method for hepatocellular carcinoma with portal vein tumor thrombus

**Reviewer’s code:** 00068723

**Reviewer’s country:** Japan

**Science editor:** Ruo-Yu Ma

**Date sent for review:** 2018-08-22

**Date reviewed:** 2018-08-22

**Review time:** 5 Hours

| SCIENTIFIC QUALITY                                | LANGUAGE QUALITY                                             | CONCLUSION                                         | PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS                      |
|---------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent       | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing        | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept                    | Peer-Review:                                  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good       | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language             | (High priority)                                    | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | polishing                                                    | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept                    | <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of | (General priority)                                 | Peer-reviewer’s expertise on the              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not          | language polishing                                           | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision            | topic of the manuscript:                      |
| publish                                           | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection                  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision | <input type="checkbox"/> Advanced             |
|                                                   |                                                              | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection                 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General   |
|                                                   |                                                              |                                                    | <input type="checkbox"/> No expertise         |
|                                                   |                                                              |                                                    | Conflicts-of-Interest:                        |
|                                                   |                                                              |                                                    | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes                  |
|                                                   |                                                              |                                                    | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No        |

**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The authors reviewed surgical treatment of PVTT in HCC patients. They claimed that surgical treatment would be a suitable option. Surgical procedures in the manuscript were informative. As for HCC, residual liver function is an important factor not only



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,  
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**Fax:** +1-925-223-8243  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://www.wjgnet.com**

for patient survival but also decision making of treatment. This manuscript lacked liver function. How would the authors choose patient for surgical treatment? How would the long-term survival be concluded after stratification based on liver function? Liver function would consist of prothrombin time, total bilirubin, and other factors.

#### **INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT**

##### ***Google Search:***

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

##### ***BPG Search:***

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No