Baishideng Publishing Group

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Biological Chemistry

Manuscript NO: 41750

Title: Arrestin-mediated signaling: is there a controversy?

Reviewer's code: 04055090

Reviewer's country: Mexico

Science editor: Ruo-Yu Ma

Date sent for review: 2018-08-28

Date reviewed: 2018-09-01

Review time: 4 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
[Y] Grade A: Excellent	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing	[Y] Accept (High priority)	Peer-Review:
[ ] Grade B: Very good	[ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing	[ ] Accept (General priority)	[Y] Anonymous
[ ] Grade C: Good	[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	[ ] Minor revision	[ ] Onymous
[ ] Grade D: Fair	[ ] Grade D: Rejection	[ ] Major revision	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the topic of the manuscript:
[ ] Grade E: Do not publish		[ ] Rejection	[ ] Advanced
			[Y] General
			[ ] No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			[ ] Yes
			[Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The present minireview is a great actual field, and it is expone in clear and concise fashion concepts. Only I has a small numerous of posible errors that I remarked d in yellow color on the text.

Baishideng Publishing Group

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

[ ] The same title

[ ] Duplicate publication

[ ] Plagiarism

[Y] No

BPG Search:

[ ] The same title

[ ] Duplicate publication

[ ] Plagiarism

[Y] No

Baishideng Publishing Group

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Biological Chemistry

Manuscript NO: 41750

Title: Arrestin-mediated signaling: is there a controversy?

Reviewer's code: 00259343

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Ruo-Yu Ma

Date sent for review: 2018-08-28

Date reviewed: 2018-09-04

Review time: 7 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
[ ] Grade A: Excellent	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing	[ ] Accept (High priority)	Peer-Review:
[Y] Grade B: Very good	[ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing	[ ] Accept (General priority)	[Y] Anonymous
[ ] Grade C: Good	[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	[Y] Minor revision	[ ] Onymous
[ ] Grade D: Fair	[ ] Grade D: Rejection	[ ] Major revision	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the topic of the manuscript:
[ ] Grade E: Do not publish		[ ] Rejection	[Y] Advanced
			[ ] General
			[ ] No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			[ ] Yes
			[Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This manuscript is a timely and authoritative mini-review from a couple of world-leading investigators in the field of arrestin biology and pharmacology (Drs. Seva & Eugenia Gurevich). I only have a few comments for the authors that I believe will further strengthen the quality of their manuscript: 1) The authors discuss extensively

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

and eloquently the role of G proteins in arrestin-dependent signaling, i.e. how G proteins affect arrestin signaling, but they barely talk about the other way around, i.e. how arrestins affect G protein signaling. In other words, they have largely ignored the role of arrestins in receptor desensitization/dampening of the G protein signal. This aspect of the interplay between the two signal transducers should be elaborated on in one or two paragraphs. In the same vein, the authors hint at the notion that arrestin-mediated MAPK signaling depends on initial G protein activation of the MAP3K in the cascade. If this holds true, wouldn't it then be a biological paradox that arrestins rely on the activity of proteins they normally reduce (G protein activity) for their own signaling? 2) The reviewer agrees with the authors that any given receptor signals through both G proteins and arrestins most likely in parallel (simultaneously) and both signal transducers are needed and utilized to produce a certain cellular effect. This is backed up by several recent investigations, including some referenced by the authors (Refs. #53, #59, #60; also: J Pharmacol Exp Ther. 2015;355:183-190 should be cited) but also quite simply by the fact that no GPCR can be fully activated in the absence of an interacting G protein, as has been demonstrated by the seminal work of Brian Kobilka and of other GPCR structural biologists through the recent years (Annu Rev Biochem. 2018;87:897-919). This strongly suggests that there cannot be any arrestin activation/signaling without prior G protein activation/signaling, which makes the concept of "signaling bias" for GPCRs very difficult to accept, let alone to pursue for therapeutic purposes. The authors allude briefly to the topic of biased signaling on p. 10, middle paragraph, maintaining that design of biased ligands for therapeutic purposes is still attainable, although their logic behind this is not clear, at least not to this reviewer. Please explain in more detail your rationale and view of the concept of biased signaling, especially in light of these recent studies that you also discuss in your present mini-review. 3) The authors should discuss (at least briefly) another aspect with

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

huge physiological significance: does an arrestin-activated MAPK have any different properties (e.g. substrates, cellular effects) from a G protein-activated MAPK? Most of the physiologically relevant studies done so far have not shown any differences in the cellular effects of G protein- vs. arrestin-activated ERKs (e.g. see: Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2009;106:5825-30; J Biol Chem. 2009;284:11953-11962; and other studies). How does this affect the notion of exploiting arrestin-mediated signaling for therapeutic purposes? 4) The authors have cited a recent paper on carvedilol-induced Gi coupling to the beta1AR (Ref. #71), as an example of arrestin-mediated signaling dependent on G protein activation. I do not think this study qualifies as a good example, given that a) carvedilol is known to be an inverse agonist for G proteins (Eur Heart J. 1996;17 Suppl B:8-16), and, more importantly, b) this study failed to demonstrate any carvedilol-bound beta1AR-arrestin interaction or any actual arrestin-dependence of the carvedilol-induced ERK activation. Minor comments: 1) Please provide the full, correct citations for Refs. #47 & #60. 2) P. 6, line 3: change "inositol-hexaphosphate" to the correct term "inositol-hexakisphosphate". 3) P. 8, par. 2, line 7: correct the typo "arrestin-meditated" to "arrestin-mediated".

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

[ ] The same title

[ ] Duplicate publication

[ ] Plagiarism

[Y] No

BPG Search:

[ ] The same title

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

[ ] Duplicate publication

[ ] Plagiarism

[Y] No

Baishideng Publishing Group

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Biological Chemistry

Manuscript NO: 41750

Title: Arrestin-mediated signaling: is there a controversy?

Reviewer's code: 03060206

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Ruo-Yu Ma

Date sent for review: 2018-08-28

Date reviewed: 2018-09-06

Review time: 8 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
[Y] Grade A: Excellent	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing	[Y] Accept (High priority)	Peer-Review:
[ ] Grade B: Very good	[ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing	[ ] Accept (General priority)	[ ] Anonymous
[ ] Grade C: Good	[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	[ ] Minor revision	[Y] Onymous
[ ] Grade D: Fair	[ ] Grade D: Rejection	[ ] Major revision	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the topic of the manuscript:
[ ] Grade E: Do not publish		[ ] Rejection	[Y] Advanced
			[ ] General
			[ ] No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			[ ] Yes
			[Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an insightful review into the current controversy regarding G protein vs arrestin-mediated signaling by GPCRs. It should be mentioned that in the Grundmann et al. paper that arrestin KO cells have significantly lower ERK1/2 phosphorylation than WT cells (Supplementary Figure 4), consistent with the interpretation of G proteins

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

acting as an initiator of signaling that is propagated by arrestins. Other comments: Abstract, line 3: HEK292 should be HEK293 GPCR-dependent arrestin signaling section, end of first paragraph: Time dependence of G protein and arrestin signaling. Sometimes G protein-mediated signaling can also have a slow phase, so kinetics alone cannot distinguish G protein- from arrestin-mediated ERK. (Luo, J., Busillo, J. M., and Benovic, J. L. (2008) M3 muscarinic acetylcholine receptor-mediated signaling is regulated by distinct mechanisms. Mol. Pharmacol. 74, 338–347)

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

[ ] The same title

[ ] Duplicate publication

[ ] Plagiarism

[Y] No

BPG Search:

[ ] The same title

[ ] Duplicate publication

[ ] Plagiarism

[Y] No