

Response to Reviewers' comments

Please see below point-by-point responses to the comments raised by each of the reviewers:

Reviewer 03478442

- The results from our hospital audit have been removed, as suggested by the reviewer, since they have not been previously published (page 14).
- The figures have been removed, as indeed they would have been more appropriate for a metanalysis.
- In the first part of the manuscript, all cases are thoroughly reviewed and a summary is provided in table 1, while in the discussion the findings of these studies are summed up and put into perspective. Although it not very common for reviews to include a discussion section indeed, we strongly believe that it is absolutely necessary in the current review in order to elaborate on and further discuss the findings, i.e what are the most common abnormalities, reasons why these lesions may have been missed, etc, rather than just presenting them in a sterile way.
- The manuscript was reviewed again and revised by a native speaker to correct any syntax errors, as suggested by the reviewer.

Reviewer 00029962

- The impact of SBCE findings on clinical outcomes has been added in the discussion, as suggested by the reviewer (page 14).
- We have revised our manuscript to emphasize the fact that SBCE is designed for investigation of the small bowel and therefore colonic abnormalities should be considered incidental findings, as suggested by the reviewer (page 14).
- Reference 29 (33 in the revised version of this manuscript) has been updated as per the reviewer's recommendations (page 19).

Reviewer 00159305

- The manuscript was reviewed again to correct spelling errors, as recommended by the reviewer.
- The EMBASE database was also searched and 4 additional studies were added, as recommended by the reviewer (pages 7, 8 and 11).
- A brief statement has been added at the end to cover the limitations of our review, as requested by the reviewer (page 15).

Reviewer 03029658

- The abbreviations have been updated so that “SBCE” appears throughout, and “VCE” has been omitted, as recommended by the reviewer.

We hope that we have provided satisfactory responses to the reviewers' comments and that our mini-review article is now suitable for publication in your journal.

Kind regards,

Dr Faidon-Marios Laskaratos MBBS, MSc, MRCP(UK)
Centre for Gastroenterology
Royal Free London NHS Foundation Trust
London
UK