

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Urology

Manuscript NO: 42369

Title: Prostate Resection Speed in TURP - should trainers be focusing on their trainee's

speed and is this a Determining Clinical Factor for Patients?

Reviewer's code: 00505691

Reviewer's country: United Kingdom

Science editor: Ya-Juan Ma

Date sent for review: 2018-11-06

Date reviewed: 2018-11-08

Review time: 2 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
[] Grade A: Excellent	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing	[] Accept	Peer-Review:
[] Grade B: Very good	[] Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	[Y] Anonymous
[Y] Grade C: Good	polishing	[] Accept	[] Onymous
[] Grade D: Fair	[] Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
[] Grade E: Do not	language polishing	[Y] Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	[] Grade D: Rejection	[] Major revision	[Y] Advanced
		[] Rejection	[] General
			[] No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			[] Yes
			[Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Small single institution study demonstrating that resection speed does not affect outcomes and complications in bipolar TURP. Aim is to show that trainers should not be focusing on trainees resection speed as that does not affect results and will improve with



Baishideng Publishing

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,

Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

increased experience. Several questions/clarifications for the authors: Is this the first study month on the topic? There is no mention in the discussion section. Would the outcomes be different in monopolar TURP? Since the cases were in the learning curve for the trainees, was difficulty of prostate included, such as large middle lobes, larger prostates, patients on long-term catheters etc.? Please clarify definition of mild/moderate/significant improvement after operation? An important long-term parameter would be re-resection rate but in terms of timescale that is probably out of the scope of the present manuscript. A practical question is: especially for trainee A who is in his 3rd year of training, is it not a very small number of operations to have performed only 10 TURPs over 1 year?

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

G_0	oogle Search:
[] The same title
[] Duplicate publication
[] Plagiarism
[}	(] No
ΒI	PG Search:
[] The same title
[] Duplicate publication
[] Plagiarism
	(] No