



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Manuscript NO: 42426

Title: Is the evaluation of regression change in lymph nodes necessary for predicting the outcome of gastric adenocarcinoma after neoadjuvant chemotherapy? - A retrospective study of 192 cases from the Chinese National Cancer Center

Reviewer's code: 03478635

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Jin-Lei Wang

Date sent for review: 2018-09-28

Date reviewed: 2018-09-28

Review time: 0 Hour

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an important study comparing the regression change in lymph node of gastric adenocarcinoma. The conclusion in which the outcome of the patients with residual



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

tumors is worse compared to the patients with no residual tumors may be revised to include some descriptions about the regression change and metastasis.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

BPG Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Manuscript NO: 42426

Title: Is the evaluation of regression change in lymph nodes necessary for predicting the outcome of gastric adenocarcinoma after neoadjuvant chemotherapy? - A retrospective study of 192 cases from the Chinese National Cancer Center

Reviewer's code: 02441722

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Jin-Lei Wang

Date sent for review: 2018-09-28

Date reviewed: 2018-09-30

Review time: 1 Day

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the topic of the manuscript:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Title: Is the evaluation of regression change in lymph nodes necessary for predicting the outcome of gastric adenocarcinoma after neoadjuvant chemotherapy? The authors



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

evaluate the clinical significance of the regression change in lymph nodes of the patients with gastric adenocarcinoma after neoadjuvant chemotherapy. This manuscript is very interesting. However, I feel there are some problems in this article. (1) Author should indicate the status that this research received consent from the Ethics Committee of the Hospital. (2) Author should indicate the information such as Stage, T, N et al. before neoadjuvant chemotherapy. (3) Author should indicate the contents of neoadjuvant chemotherapy in this study. (4) Author should indicate the regression change in LNs more detail in Introduction. (5) Author indicated that the regression change in LNs is the worse prognostic factor in the patients with breast, esophageal or rectal cancer. However, the regression change in LNs dose not affect the outcome in this study. Author should discuss the reason of this discrepancy. (6) Author should discuss the mechanism of the regression change after neoadjuvant chemotherapy. (7) Author should correct the typographical errors.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

BPG Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No