



**PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Orthopedics

**Manuscript NO:** 42572

**Title:** Socio-demographic factors impact time to discharge following total knee arthroplasty

**Reviewer’s code:** 02444740

**Reviewer’s country:** Israel

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2018-10-04

**Date reviewed:** 2018-10-06

**Review time:** 2 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer’s expertise on the topic of the manuscript:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> General
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

the title reflect the main subject/hypothesis of the manuscript the abstract summarize and reflect the work described in the manuscript the key words reflect the focus of the manuscript the manuscript adequately describe the background, present status and



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,  
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**Fax:** +1-925-223-8243  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

significance of the study the manuscript describe methods in adequate detail the research objectives achieved by the experiments used in this study the manuscript interpret the findings adequately and appropriately, highlighting the key points concisely, clearly and logically? the manuscript cite appropriately the latest, important and authoritative references in the introduction and discussion sections the manuscript well, concisely and coherently organized and presented? Authors should have prepared their manuscripts according to manuscript type and the appropriate categories.

#### **INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT**

##### ***Google Search:***

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

##### ***BPG Search:***

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No



**PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Orthopedics

**Manuscript NO:** 42572

**Title:** Socio-demographic factors impact time to discharge following total knee arthroplasty

**Reviewer’s code:** 02689304

**Reviewer’s country:** Afghanistan

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2018-10-19

**Date reviewed:** 2018-10-21

**Review time:** 1 Day

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer’s expertise on the topic of the manuscript:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> General
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The manuscript is well written and English is fluent. Because this study is only superficial investigation for factors and similar articles are numerous, the creativity is lowered. Only a few typing defects or doubts require clarification: 1. In Abstract,



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,  
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**Fax:** +1-925-223-8243  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

Methods: LOS should be fully spelled. 2. In Ref. 16: J Bone Joint Surg (Am)? 3. In Table 1: last column, hr: min? 4. The statistical methods should be explained in the text of Methods and how to read in Results. In Table 2: Why Constant has p-value of 0.004? It was not mentioned in the text. 5. In Table 2: the value is different from the Results. For female (0.011 or 0.012), for surgery end time (0.004 or 0.040)?

#### **INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT**

##### ***Google Search:***

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

##### ***BPG Search:***

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No