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**Abstract**

***BACKGROUND***

Rapid urinary trypsinogen-2 dipstick test and levels of urinary trypsinogen-2 and trypsinogen activation peptide (TAP) concentration have been reported as prognostic markers for the diagnosis of acute pancreatitis.

***AIM***

To reconfirm the validity of all these markers in the diagnosis of acute pancreatitis by undertaking a multi-center study in Japan.

***METHODS***

Patients with acute abdominal pain were recruited from 17 medical institutions in Japan from April 2009 to December 2012. Urinary and serum samples were collected twice, at enrollment and on the following day for measuring target markers. The diagnosis and severity assessment of acute pancreatitis were assessed based on prognostic factors and computed tomography (CT) Grade of the Japanese Ministry of Health, Labour, and Welfare criteria.

***RESULTS***

A total of 94 patients were enrolled during the study period. The trypsinogen-2 dipstick test was positive in 57 of 78 patients with acute pancreatitis (sensitivity, 73.1%) and in 6 of 16 patients with abdominal pain but without any evidence of acute pancreatitis (specificity, 62.5%). The area under the curve (AUC) score of urinary trypsinogen-2 according to prognostic factors was 0.704, which was highest in all parameter. The AUC scores of urinary trypsinogen-2 and TAP according to CT Grade were 0.701 and 0.692, respectively, which shows higher than other pancreatic enzymes. The levels of urinary trypsinogen-2 and TAP were significantly higher in patients with extended extra-pancreatic inflammation as evaluated by CT Grade.

***CONCLUSION***

We reconfirmed urinary trypsinogen-2 dipstick test is useful as a marker for the diagnosis of acute pancreatitis. Urinary trypsinogen-2 and TAP may be considered as useful markers to determine extra-pancreatic inflammation in acute pancreatitis.
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**Core tip:** A total of 94 patients with acute abdominal pain were enrolled from 17 medical institutions in Japan from April 2009 to December 2012. The trypsinogen-2 dipstick test was positive in 57 of 78 patients with acute pancreatitis (sensitivity, 73.1%) and in 6 of 16 patients with abdominal pain but without any evidence of acute pancreatitis (specificity, 62.5%). The levels of urinary trypsinogen-2 and trypsinogen activation peptide (TAP) were significantly higher in patients with extended extra-pancreatic inflammation as evaluated by CT Grade. Urinary trypsinogen-2 and TAP may be considered as additional markers to determine extra-pancreatic inflammation in acute pancreatitis.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Acute pancreatitis is a common disease accompanied by acute abdominal pain. However, the early diagnosis of acute pancreatitis remains difficult, due to the difficulty of performing quick measurements of pancreatic enzymes in most clinics. Japanese guidelines for the management of acute pancreatitis in 2015 (JPN Guideline 2015)[[1](#_ENREF_1)] recommended the measurement of serum lipase instead of serum amylase for the diagnosis of acute pancreatitis because of its higher specificity for pancreas. In fact, serum amylase is more often measured than serum lipase in Japan, because only amylase can be rapidly measured in most of the emergency centers. Trypsinogen-2 is a pancreatic enzyme known to remain elevated longer in patients with acute pancreatitis, with higher levels in the urine than in serum, compared to the commonly measured pancreatic enzyme amylase[[2](#_ENREF_2)]. A rapid test strip for the detection of urinary trypsinogen-2 was developed in Finland and was reported to be useful for the diagnosis of acute pancreatitis[[3-8](#_ENREF_3)], and its accuracy and usefulness was also verified in Japan[[9](#_ENREF_9)].

 Trypsinogen activation peptide (TAP) is the amino-terminus peptide released by the activation of trypsinogen. In experimental acute pancreatitis, the inappropriate activation of trypsinogen within the pancreas results in the release of TAP into the blood, urine, and peritoneum[[10](#_ENREF_10),[11](#_ENREF_11)]. The concentration of urinary TAP is thought to correlate directly with the severity of acute pancreatitis, reflecting the degree of trypsinogen activation in the pancreas[[12-14](#_ENREF_12)]. The concentration of urinary trypsinogen-2 has also been previously reported to be a candidate prognostic marker of severe acute pancreatitis[[6](#_ENREF_6)].

 The criteria for severity assessment of acute pancreatitis was fully revised in Japan in 2008[[15](#_ENREF_15)], in which the diagnosis of severe acute pancreatitis can be made according to 9 prognostic factors and/or on computed tomography (CT) grading based on contrast-enhanced CT. These criteria emphasize that the assessment of severity at the initial medical examination plays an important role in introducing adequate early treatment and the transfer of patients to a medical facility that is able to provide intensive treatment. However, CT has a problem for the radiation exposure and contrast-enhanced CT may cause worsening of renal dysfunction, often accompanied by severe acute pancreatitis. Therefore, the establishment of a simple marker and method in clinical practice is strongly warranted to diagnose severe acute pancreatitis.

 In Japan, any examination or investigation without health insurance coverage is hardly performed, because we have national public health care system to cover them. We need clinical evidence of a useful marker to predict severity level of acute pancreatitis in order to be approved by and consider future inclusion under national health insurance system in Japan.

 In the present study, in a multi-center study we performed rapid urinary trypsinogen-2 dipstick test to reconfirm its validity in the diagnosis of acute pancreatitis. In addition, we measured trypsinogen-2 and TAP levels in urine samples to evaluate their usefulness as possible prognostic markers of severe acute pancreatitis as assessed by Japanese criteria.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

***Patients***

Patients with acute abdominal pain were enrolled prospectively in this study. All patients who were seen in the emergency centers and hospitalized at 17 medical institutions in Japan from April 2009 to December 2012 were considered eligible for this study. The Institutional Review Board committee of each institution approved this study and an informed written consent was obtained from all patients before inclusion. This study was registered with the UMIN Clinical Trials Registry (reference no. UMIN000001622).

***Study design***

Urinary and serum samples were collected from all study participants twice, at enrollment and on the following day within 48 h after admission. These samples were frozen immediately and stored at -20 ℃ until analysis. The qualitative analyses of urinary trypsinogen-2 were performed using a dipstick test (Actim Pancreatitis, Medix Biochmica, Kauniainen, Finland). A quantitative immunoenzymometric assay (Trypsinogen-2 Iema Test, Medix Biochmica) of urinary trypsinogen-2 was performed using commercially available kit of Unitika Ltd. (Osaka, Japan). The concentration of TAP in urine was measured by ELISA (Oriental Yeast Co. Ltd., Tokyo Japan). The urine and serum levels of amylase, lipase, and creatinine was measured by each institution or BML Inc. (Tokyo, Japan).

 Acute pancreatitis was diagnosed according to the diagnostic criteria established by the Japan Ministry of Health, Labour, and Welfare (JMHLW) (2008)[[16](#_ENREF_16)]. These criteria are composed of 3 items: (1) acute abdominal pain and tenderness in the upper abdomen; (2) elevated levels of pancreatic enzymes in the blood or urine; and (3) findings of acute pancreatitis detected by ultrasonography (US), CT, or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Patients who presented with at least 2 of the above 3 manifestations and in whom other pancreatic and acute abdominal diseases had been ruled out were diagnosed as having acute pancreatitis.

 The severity of acute pancreatitis within 48 h of entry was evaluated using the criteria established by the JMHLW (2008) for severity assessment of acute pancreatitis, in which patients were diagnosed as severe acute pancreatitis based on ≥ 3 of 9 prognostic factors and/or CT grading ≥ 2 based on contrast-enhanced CT scan (Table 1)[[15](#_ENREF_15)].

The primary outcome of this study was to find the association between the values of urinary trypsinogen-2 and TAP and the severity levels of acute pancreatitis. We investigated the relative accuracy of the urine trypsinogen-2 dipstick test for the diagnosis of acute pancreatitis and attempted to reconfirm its validity with published results.

***Statistical analysis***

In the qualitative evaluation of urinary trypsinogen-2 dipstick test for the diagnosis of acute pancreatitis, the sensitivity and of specificity with 95% confidence interval (CI), lower bound to upper bound were calculated. In the quantitative measurement of urinary trypsinogen-2 and TAP, data were expressed as median and lower and upper quartile. Their area under the curve (AUC) scores between severe and mild pancreatitis groups were calculated by logistic regression analysis. The relationship among 3 groups evaluated by scores of CT Grade was analyzed using ordinal logistic regression. Significance was defined by a *P* value of < 0.05. All statistical analyses were performed using JMP® statistical software, version 8 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, United States).

**RESULTS**

A total of 94 patients with acute abdominal pain who were seen in the emergency centers and hospitalized at 17 medical institutions in Japan were included in this study. The mean age was 58.0 years (range: 25 to 92 years). Of these patients, 78 (82.9%) were diagnosed with acute pancreatitis and 16 (17.1%) with different diseases such as acute gastritis, biliary stones, and peptic ulcer. The characteristics of patients at enrollment are summarized in Table 2.

 The results of the urinary trypsinogen-2 dipstick test were positive in 57 of the 78 patients with acute pancreatitis (sensitivity: 73.1%, 95%CI: 0.62-0.82). Dipstick results were also positive in 6 of 16 patients with abdominal pain but no evidence of acute pancreatitis (specificity: 62.5%, 95%CI: 0.39-0.82). The positive and negative predictive values of the trypsinogen-2 dipstick test for the diagnosis of acute pancreatitis were 90.5% and 32.3%, respectively.

 When we distributed our data at enrollment based on prognostic factors of the JMHLW criteria, the median levels of urinary trypsinogen-2 were 2.87 and 6.49 mg/dL in patients with mild and severe pancreatitis and the AUC score was 0.704, which was highest among all parameters (Table 3). It was obvious that AUC score of serum creatinine was high, because it was one of prognostic factors.

 The median levels of urinary trypsinogen-2 and TAP were 2.69 mg/dL and 2.07 ng/mL, respectively in patients with mild pancreatitis, and 14.68 mg/dL and 3.98 ng/mL, respectively in those with severe pancreatitis, according to CT Grade of the JMHLW criteria (Table 4). Their AUC scores were 0.701 and 0.692, respectively, which are higher than other pancreatic enzymes. The ratio of urinary trypsinogen-2 or TAP to urinary creatinine was calculated to correct the influence of dehydration. Their AUC scores of the urinary trypsinogen-2 and TAP to creatinine ratio were also high (Table 4). Compared with the levels of urinary trypsinogen-2 and TAP at enrollment, both of the levels on the following day and the values that subtracted the level at enrollment from that on the following day were not related to disease severity (data not shown).

 The levels of urinary trypsinogen-2 and TAP showed significant differences between different scores of extra-pancreatic progression of inflammation, but no significant differences were observed between the different scores of hypo-enhanced pancreas lesions (Table 5). Furthermore, the levels of urinary trypsinogen-2 to creatinine ratio (trypsinogen-2/cre) and TAP to creatinine ratio (TAP/cre) also showed significant differences between different scores of extra-pancreatic progression of inflammation.

**DISCUSSION**

In this multi-center study, we reconfirmed the validity of performing urinary trypsinogen-2 dipstick test to isolate patients with acute pancreatitis who attends emergency clinics with acute abdominal pain. We also found that sensitivity and positive predictive value of this test in patients with acute pancreatitis is quite high and as such further strengthens its applicability in clinical practice. The frequency of acute pancreatitis diagnosed in patients with acute abdominal pain was reported to be approximately 5% in a previous Japanese multicenter study[[17](#_ENREF_17)]. This simple and easy laboratory procedure (urinary trypsinogen-2 dipstick test) may be able to make a quick decision for diagnosing patients with acute pancreatitis without diverging to measure other conventional pancreatic enzymes such as amylase, lipase, and trypsin, which needs laboratory technicians and/or expensive instruments.

 In Japan, the present diagnostic criteria of acute pancreatitis as established by the JMHLW were revised in part in 2008[[16](#_ENREF_16)] and includes at least 2 of the 3 manifestations and exclusion of other diseases compatible with acute abdominal pain. In contrast, Revised Atlanta Criteria[[18](#_ENREF_18)] are composed of similar 3 items, strict in elevated levels of pancreatic enzymes and do not need to exclude other diseases. If the diagnosis of acute pancreatitis is established by abdominal pain and by increases in the serum pancreatic enzyme activities, a contrast-enhanced CT is not usually required for diagnosis. Therefore, Japanese Criteria seems to be more sensitive and specific than Revised Atlanta Criteria. The sensitivity and specificity of urinary trypsinogen-2 dipstick test in this study coincided with the findings of previous reports[[3-9](#_ENREF_3),[19](#_ENREF_19)]. Mayumi *et al*[[9](#_ENREF_9)] reported in Japan for the first time that the urinary trypsinogen-2 dipstick test was able to diagnose or rule out most cases of acute pancreatitis, and the present results are in agreement with the clinical usefulness of the dipstick test despite the small number of patients. The urinary trypsinogen-2 dipstick test is based on the same rapid qualitative analysis method as was the rapid influenza diagnostic tests as well as the rapid panel tests for heart-type fatty acid-binding protein. However, the urinary trypsinogen-2 dipstick test is not widely utilized due to its relative unavailability compared to the rapid influenza and heart-type fatty acid-binding protein tests, which are already made available and approved under the national health insurance of Japan. We emphasize that our current findings will be helpful to achieve the national health insurance approval of the urinary trypsinogen-2 dipstick test as a diagnostic tool of acute pancreatitis.

 In Revised Atlanta Criteria[[18](#_ENREF_18)], disease severity is classified as mild, moderate or severe. The prediction of severity levels of acute pancreatitis can be globally made by using scoring systems, the Ranson score, the Glasgow score, and the Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation (APACHE) II score. In Japan, the criteria established by the Research Committee of Intractable Pancreatic Disease supported by the JMHLW in 2008[[15](#_ENREF_15)] was used for severity assessment of acute pancreatitis. As mentioned above, in the new Japanese criteria, the diagnosis of severe acute pancreatitis can be made according to 9 prognostic factors and/or CT grading based on contrast-enhanced CT[15]. The new Japanese criteria predicted the mortality rate and were largely as useful as the old criteria, the Ranson Score and the APACHE II score for severity assessment[[15](#_ENREF_15),[20](#_ENREF_20),[21](#_ENREF_21)]. The present study examined whether the level of urinary trypsinogen-2, TAP, and amylase as well as serum amylase and lipase could be used as predicting markers of severe acute pancreatitis. The level of urinary trypsinogen-2 and TAP were higher in patients with severe pancreatitis by CT Grade, furthermore their AUC scores were higher than those of urinary amylase and serum pancreatic enzymes. In severe acute pancreatitis, the level of urinary creatinine is known to be elevated due to dehydration and renal dysfunction, and is one of prognostic factors according to the JMHLW criteria (2008). Subsequently, the urinary trypsinogen-2/cre ratio and TAP/cre ratio were evaluated to exclude the possibility of the elevation of urinary trypsinogen-2 and TAP due to dehydration, demonstrating that both the trypsinogen-2/cre ratio and the TAP/cre ratio were higher in patients with severe pancreatitis by CT Grade. Furthermore, the levels of urinary trypsinogen-2 and TAP were related to CT Grade, especially extra-pancreatic progression of inflammation, but not hypo-enhanced lesion of the pancreas, which may indicate that trypsinogen-2 and TAP generated in the pancreas did not release into blood, urine, and peritoneum in patients with hypo-enhanced lesion of the pancreas due to decreased pancreatic perfusion as reported by Takaoka et al. in the experimental acute pancreatitis[[11](#_ENREF_11)]. Furthermore, unlike amylase, only trypsin such as trypsinogen-2 and TAP was related to extra-pancreatic progression of inflammation, which may indicate that extra-pancreatic inflammation may be caused by the extra-pancreatic release of trypsin and not amylase. These results indicated that the level of urinary trypsinogen-2 and TAP may be useful for the determination of extra-pancreatic inflammation, particularly in cases who are not able to undergo CT examination and may be expected as predicting markers for severe acute pancreatitis. We expected urinary trypsinogen-2 and TAP as a marker, which can select the patients who should have CT examination, but they were not useful enough for the determination of hypo-enhanced lesion of the pancreas.

 The main limitations of the present study are as follows: (1) small sample size and the indirect analysis; and (2) we measured urinary trpsionogen-2 and TAP levels as prognostic markers of severe acute pancreatitis but we did not compare them with morbidity/mortality or complications. Future large study may answer these unresolved issues.

 In conclusion, we reconfirmed that urinary trypsinogen-2 dipstick test may be useful as a predictive marker to diagnose acute pancreatitis in emergency clinical setting. In addition, the levels of urinary trypsinogen-2 and TAP may be considered as suitable markers to determine extra-pancreatic inflammation in acute pancreatitis. Further studies with large number of samples may strengthen our current findings.

**ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS**

***Research background***

Rapid urinary trypsinogen-2 dipstick test and measurements of urinary trypsinogen-2 and trypsinogen activation peptide (TAP) has not covered by the national health insurance program in Japan. On the other hands, rapid urinary trypsinogen-2 dipstick spreads to Europe.

***Research motivation***

We would like to know how to diagnose acute pancreatitis earlier and estimate exacerbation risk of acute pancreatitis.

***Research objectives***

We would like to reconfirm the accuracy and accessibility of rapid urinary trypsinogen-2 dipstick test in a multicenter study in Japan for acceptance in the national health insurance program. Furthermore, we would like to verify usefulness of urinary trypsinogen-2 and TAP as prognostic factor of acute pancreatitis.

***Research methods***

This is a retrospective study by 17 medical institutions in Japan. Patients with acute abdominal pain were enrolled prospectively. Urinary and serum samples were collected twice, at enrollment and on the following day for measuring pancreatic enzymes. We investigated the association between the values of urinary and serum pancreatic enzymes and the severity levels of acute pancreatitis based on the JMHLW criteria (2008).

***Research results***

The sensitivity and specificity of the urinary trypsinogen-2 dipstick test were 73.1% and 62.5%, respectively. The area under the curve (AUC) score of urinary trypsinogen-2 according to prognostic factors of the JMHLW criteria was highest in all parameter. The AUC scores of urinary trypsinogen-2 and TAP according to computed tomography (CT) Grade of the JMHLW criteria were higher than other pancreatic enzymes. The levels of urinary trypsinogen-2 and TAP were significantly higher in patients with extended extra-pancreatic inflammation as evaluated by CT Grade of the JMHLW criteria.

***Research conclusions***

The levels of urinary trypsinogen-2 and TAP were significantly higher in patients with extended extra-pancreatic inflammation as evaluated by CT Grade, but not significantly higher in patients with hypo-enhanced pancreas lesions. Therefore, the measurement of urinary trypsinogen-2 and TAP could not select the patients who should have CT examination.

***Research perspectives***

We need a serum or urinary marker, which can select the patients who should have CT examination.
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**Table 1 The severity scoring system of acute pancreatitis of the Japanese Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare (2008)[15]**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Prognostic factors (1 point for each factor)** |  |
| Base excess ≤ 3 mEq/L or shock (systolic blood pressure < 80 mmHg) |  |
| PaO2 ≤ 60 mmHg (room air) or respiratory failure (respirator management is needed) |  |
| BUN ≥ 40 mg/dL (or Cr ≥ 2.0 mg/dL) or oliguria (daily urine output <400 mL even after fluid replacement) |  |
| LDH ≥ 2 times of upper limit of normal |  |
| Platelet count ≤ 100000/mm3 |  |
| Serum Ca ≤ 7.5 mg/dL |  |
| CRP ≥ 15 mg/dL |  |
| Number of positive measures in SIRS criteria ≥3 |  |
| Age ≥ 70 yr |  |
| **CT Grade by CECT** |  |
| Extra-pancreatic progression of inflammation |  |
|  Anterior pararenal space | 0 point |
|  Root of mesocolon | 1 point |
|  Beyond lower pole of kidney | 2 points |
| Hypo-enhanced lesion of the pancreas |  |
|  The pancreas is conveniently divided into three segments (head, body, and tail). |  |
|  Localized in each segment or only surrounding the pancreas | 0 point |
|  Covers 2 segments | 1 point |
|  Occupies entire 2 segments or more | 2 points |
| 1 + 2 = total scores |  |
|  Total score = 0 or 1 | Grade 1 |
|  Total score = 2 | Grade 2 |
|  Total score = 3 or more | Grade 3 |
| **Assessment of severity** | 　 |
| (1) If prognostic factors are scored as 3 points or more, or (2) If CT Grade grade is judged as Grade grade 2 or more, the severity grading is evaluated to be as ‘‘severe’’. |
| Measures in SIRS diagnostic criteria: (1) Temperature > 38 ℃ or < 36 ℃; (2) Heart rate > 90 beats/min; (3) Respiratory rate > 20 breaths/min or PaCO2 < 32 torr; and (4) WBC > 12000 cells/mm3, < 4000 cells/mm3, or > 10% immature (band) forms. |

WBC: White blood cell; CT: Computed tomography; LDH: lactate dehydrogenase; CRP: C-reaction protein.

**Table 2 The characteristics of patients at enrollment**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Acute pancreatitis** | **Other disease** |
| **(*n* = 78)** | **(*n* = 16)** |
| Age, median (IQR), yr | 57 (28) | 61 (26) |
| Sex, *n*: male/female | 50/26 | 9/7 |
| Etiology of acute pancreatitis, *n* (%) |  |  |
|  Alcohol | 26 (33.3) |  |
|  Gallstones | 13 (16.7) |  |
|  Idiopathic | 12 (15.4) |  |
|  Post-ERCP | 5 (6.4) |  |
|  Others | 22 (28.2) |  |
| Prognostic factor score by JMHLW (2008) criteria (*n* = 78) |  |  |
|  Mean (SD) | 0.9 (1.2) |  |
|  Severe acute pancreatitis (≥ 3), *n* (%) | 9 (11.5) |  |
| Score of CT Grade by JMHLW (2008) criteria (*n* = 70) |  |  |
|  Mean (SD) | 1.0 (1.2) |  |
|  Severe acute pancreatitis (Score ≥ 2), *n* (%) | 28 (40) |  |

**Table 3 Urinary marker levels at enrollment in patients with severe and mild pancreatitis by prognostic factors according to the Japanese Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare criteria (2008)[15]**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | **Severity by prognostic factors** |  |
|  |  | **Severe** |  | **Mild** |  |
| No. cases |  | 9 |  | 69 |  |
| Prognostic factors: mean (SD) |  | 2.89 | (1.83) |  | 0.57 | (0.69) |  |
| Age: median (LQ, UQ), yr |  | 48.5 | (45, 69.75) |  | 58 | (44, 72) |  |
| Sex: male/female |  | 5/2 |  | 45/24 |  |
|  |  | **Median (LQ, UQ)** | **AUC** |
| Urinary trypsinogen-2, mg/dL | 6.49 | (2.41, 208.76) | 2.87 | (0.22, 19.98) | 0.704 |
| Urinary trypsinogen-2/cre | 11.20 | (2.43, 214.05) | 6.36 | (0.31, 33.02) | 0.592 |
| Urinary TAP, ng/mL | 2.68 | (2.07, 5.22) | 2.79 | (1.25, 5.53) | 0.458 |
| Urinary TAP/cre, × 0.0001 | 6.70 | (2.40, 11.50) | 4.10 | (2.25, 7.20) | 0.631 |
| Urinary amylase, × 1000 U/L | 1.42 | (0.50, 3.16) | 1.01 | (0.40, 2.68) | 0.563 |
| Urinary amylase/cre, U/mg | 3.65 | (0.38, 8.47) | 2.22 | (0.81, 4.00) | 0.580 |
| Urinary creatinine, × 10 mg/dL | 3.63 | (3.16, 8.65) | 6.09 | (3.89, 10.24) | 0.599 |
| Serum amylase, × 100 U/L | 11.37 | (2.44, 23.37) | 6.38 | (3.46, 11.72) | 0.581 |
| Serum lipase, × 100 U/L | 5.85 | (4.41, 13.57) | 6.95 | (2.46, 16.71) | 0.508 |
| Serum creatinine, × 0.1mg/dL | 9.70 | (5.95, 19.65) | 6.75 | (5.83, 8.55) | 0.676 |

Their area under the curve scores were calculated between severe and mild pancreatitis groups by logistic regression analysis. The cases with no available data were excluded from analysis. All data were showed by median, lower quartile, and upper quartile. AUC: Area under the curve; LQ: Lower quartile; UQ: Upper quartile; TAP: Trypsinogen activation peptide.

**Table 4 Urinary marker levels at enrollment between patients with severe and mild pancreatitis by computed tomography Grade according to the Japanese Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare criteria (2008)[15]**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Severity by CT Grade** |  |
|  | **Severe** | **Mild** |  |
| No. cases | 28 | 42 |  |
| Score of CT Grade: mean (SD) | 2.37 (0.69) | 0.17 (0.38) |  |
| Extra-pancreatic progression of inflammation (Score 0/1/2) | 0/1/27 | 35/7/0 |  |
| Hypo-enhanced lesion of the pancreas (Score 0/1/2) | 19/3/4 | 42/0/0 |  |
| Age: median (LQ, UQ), yr | 53 (44, 67) | 61 (47.5, 73.25) |  |
| Sex: male/female, *n* | 20/6 | 24/18 |  |
|  | **Median (LQ, UQ)** | **AUC** |
| Urinary trypsinogen-2, mg/dL |  14.68 | (2.10, 66.90) | 2.69 | (0.20, 17.11) | 0.701 |
| Urinary trypsinogen-2/cre | 14.40 | (4.29, 104.03) | 6.36 | (0.32, 17.94) | 0.678 |
| Urinary TAP, ng/mL | 3.98 | (2.20, 7.81) | 2.07 | (0.96, 3.87) | 0.692 |
| Urinary TAP/cre, × 0.0001 | 6.70 | (4.15, 10.90) | 3.10 | (2.20, 6.00) | 0.727 |
| Urinary amylase, × 1000 U/L | 1.94 | (0.64, 3.62) | 0.97 | (0.47, 2.29) | 0.615 |
| Urinary amylase/cre, U/mg | 3.54 | (0.63, 5.88) | 2.23 | (0.88, 3.65) | 0.588 |
| Urinary creatinine, × 10 mg/dL | 6.55 | (3.54, 9.24) | 5.54 | (3.49, 10.47) | 0.472 |
| Serum amylase, × 100 U/L | 9.28 | (2.88, 15.22) | 6.28 | (3.91, 11.74) | 0.588 |
| Serum lipase, × 100 U/L | 8.10 | (1.82, 18.49) | 6.95 | (4.32, 15.73) | 0.521 |
| Serum creatinine, × 0.1 mg/dL | 7.25 | (5.40, 8.90) | 6.90 | (5.75, 7.95) | 0.574 |

Their area under the curve scores were calculated between severe and mild pancreatitis groups by logistic regression analysis. The cases with no available data were excluded from analysis. All data were showed by median, lower quartile, and upper quartile. AUC: Area under the curve; LQ: Lower quartile; UQ: Upper quartile; CT: Computed tomography; TAP: Trypsinogen activation peptide.

**Table 5 Urinary marker levels at enrollment according to the score of computed tomography Grade by the Japanese Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare criteria (2008)[15]**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Extra-pancreatic progression of inflammation** |
| Score of CT Grade |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | *P* value |
| *n* |  | 32 | 8 | 24 |  |
| Urinary trypsinogen-2 (mg/dL) | Median(LQ, UQ) | 1.26(0.15, 11.20)3.11(0.31, 13.17)1.97(0.95, 3.79)2.75(2.03, 6.53)0.94(4.63, 17.14)2.22(0.85, 3.54)4.68(3.48, 10.20)6.75(5.88, 8.33) | 27.65(2.67, 91.61)28.50(6.70, 130.83)2.70(1.15, 4.73)3.65(3.10, 4.73)1.44(3.13, 41.84)2.02(0.56, 4.36)6.50(3.24, 10.14)6.60(4.95, 7.20) | 16.98(3.04, 71.25)15.77(3.76, 106.64)4.19(2.55, 8.06)6.95(4.63, 11.20)2.11(8.25, 36.65)3.72(0.91, 6.15)6.70(3.95, 9.57)7.50(5.20, 9.00) | 0.001a |
| Urinary trypsinogen-2/cre | Median(LQ, UQ) | 0.046a |
| Urinary TAP(ng/mL) | Median(LQ, UQ) | 0.001a |
| Urinary TAP/cre(× 0.0001) | Median(LQ, UQ) | 0.003a |
| Urinary amylase (× 1000 U/L) | Median(LQ, UQ) | 0.06 |
| Urinary amylase/cre (U/mg) | Median(LQ, UQ) | 0.22 |
| Urinary creatinine(× 10 mg/dL) | Median(LQ, UQ) | 0.94 |
| Serum creatinine (× 0.1 mg/dL) | Median(LQ, UQ) | 0.042a |
|  | **Hypo-enhanced lesion of the pancreas** |
| Score of CT Grade |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | *bP* value |
| *n* |  | 55 | 3 | 4 |  |
| Urinary trypsinogen-2 (mg/dL) | Median(LQ, UQ) | 4.51(0.47, 30.35)8.37(0.53, 40.84)2.61(1.33, 5.63)4.10(2.30, 7.20)1.24(4.95, 28.55)2.59(0.88, 4.56)6.37(3.70, 10.45)6.95(5.83, 8.60) | 44.33(1.78, 100.99)148.96(2.27, 179.09)3.07(2.79, 5.22)6.70(4.90, 10.30)1.01(7.87, 22.70)3.39(1.00, 4.03)5.64(2.98, 7.85)7.80(4.90, 8.60) | 6.77(2.45, 14.81)9.07(6.54, 15.45)5.88(1.04, 12.48)6.85(3.25, 11.80)2.22(2.94, 35.16)2.21(0.46, 6.13)7.47(3.11, 10.53)6.25(4.70, 7.88) | 0.63 |
| Urinary trypsinogen-2/cre | Median(LQ, UQ) | 0.84 |
| Urinary TAP(ng/mL) | Median(LQ, UQ) | 0.45 |
| Urinary TAP/cre(× 0.0001) | Median(LQ, UQ) | 0.65 |
| Urinary amylase (× 1000 U/L) | Median(LQ, UQ) | 0.83 |
| Urinary amylase/cre (U/mg) | Median(LQ, UQ) | 0.72 |
| Urinary creatinine(× 10 mg/dL) | Median(LQ, UQ) | 0.56 |
| Serum creatinine (× 0.1 mg/dL) | Median(LQ, UQ) | 0.30 |

Extra-pancreatic progression of inflammation: 0 = anterior pararenal space, 1 = root of mesocolon, 2 = beyond the lower pole of the kidney. Hypoenhanced lesion of the pancreas: The pancreas was divided into three segments. 0 = signal was localized in each segment or only the surrounding pancreas, 1 = covers two segments, 2 = entirely covered two or more segments. Data are expressed as the median (lower quartile, and upper quartile). Statistical significance is expressed as a*P* < 0.05 among the three groups by ordinal logistic regression analysis. LQ: Lower quartile; UQ: Upper quartile; CT: Computed tomography; TAP: Trypsinogen activation peptide.