

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Manuscript NO: 42926

Title: Anal carcinoma in giant anal condyloma, multidisciplinary approach necessary for optimal outcome: Two case reports and review of literature

Reviewer's code: 03270441

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2018-12-10

Date reviewed: 2018-12-10

Review time: 14 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Comments for 42926 A good case report article. Authors presented the 2 typical cases from Giant Anal Condyloma. The treatment of the first was impressive. "Introduction" and "Discussion" were well written. The only shortcomings were several clerical errors,

which could be revised easily.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Manuscript NO: 42926

Title: Anal carcinoma in giant anal condyloma, multidisciplinary approach necessary for optimal outcome: Two case reports and review of literature

Reviewer's code: 03004570

Reviewer's country: Turkey

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2018-12-10

Date reviewed: 2018-12-13

Review time: 8 Hours, 3 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This article is a well designed, informative case report. Pictures have good quality. Authors cite 25 appropriate references. I recommend only 2 minor corrections; 1. "Control of glycemia" or "glycemia control" in place of "sugar control" in the treatment



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https:// www.wjgnet.com

section of Discussion, 2. "CD4 count" in place of "CD count" in the last paragraph of pp#6.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ [Y] No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ [Y] No

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Manuscript NO: 42926

Title: Anal carcinoma in giant anal condyloma, multidisciplinary approach necessary for optimal outcome: Two case reports and review of literature

Reviewer's code: 00183086

Reviewer's country: Greece

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2018-12-10

Date reviewed: 2018-12-16

Review time: 21 Hours, 5 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a very interesting article with regard to the development of anal carcinoma in Giant Anal Condyloma. Nevertheless, modifications are implemented. 1. In the Introduction section in the first paragraph epidemiological data regarding anal



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https:// www.wjgnet.com

carcinoma should be re-written. The aim of the study at the end of the section is missing.
2. Discussion section should also be re-arranged. The structure of the section is not adequate. 3. Newly published references are missing. 4. Grammatical errors should be corrected. 5. The figures' quality is acceptable. 6. An informative Table should be added.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ [Y] No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ [Y] No

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Manuscript NO: 42926

Title: Anal carcinoma in giant anal condyloma, multidisciplinary approach necessary for optimal outcome: Two case reports and review of literature

Reviewer's code: 03551817

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2018-12-10

Date reviewed: 2018-12-17

Review time: 23 Hours, 6 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Title: Anal carcinoma in Giant Anal Condyloma: Multidisciplinary approach necessary for optimal outcome. This very interesting investigation include that presented a series of two cases with large anal tumors harboring invasive cancers and highlight their



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https:// www.wjgnet.com

presentation and management, analysed clinical treatment methods and concluded that a multidisciplinary treatment is necessary when malignant transformation occurs in GCA, for optimal outcomes. Title: It reflects the major topics and contents of the study. Abstract: It gives a clear delineation of the research objective and the results. Cases & Discussion: The case are clearly presented and documented; every cases are elaborated in the discussion section. In conclusion, this is a very interesting report, which provides a reference for clinical treatment of anal carcinoma in Giant Anal Condyloma.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ [Y] No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ [Y] No

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Manuscript NO: 42926

Title: Anal carcinoma in giant anal condyloma, multidisciplinary approach necessary for optimal outcome: Two case reports and review of literature

Reviewer's code: 03731607

Reviewer's country: United States

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2018-12-10

Date reviewed: 2018-12-17

Review time: 8 Hours, 7 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors report two cases of giant anal condyloma with SCC. The cases contrast nicely as one is in an HIV patient and the other is not. Given the rarity of these cases the quality of data is limited to case reports in the literature. The authors do a nice job

of reviewing the literature. I have the following comments and suggestions hopefully to improve the manuscript. 1 Title is appropriate and reflects the main subject of the manuscript. If truly multi-disciplinary authors might want to consider adding a radiation oncologist to discuss radiation fields or a medical oncologist to discuss medical management. 2 Abstract.- no suggestions. 3 Introduction- Brief and to the point. Give appropriate background information for the reader. However, additional information regarding the risk of malignant transformation of a giant anal condyloma to SCC would be important. 4 Case presentation. Nice comparison of HIV and non-HIV associated GCA. Did Case #2 receive systemic chemotherapy? Was an APR considered? why and why not? 5 Discussion. Nice review. Any recommendations on the size/gauge of core needle biopsy? MRI is also an acceptable imaging modality to evaluate the anal sphincter involvement. So MRI or EUS are good options depending on local expertise. The authors did not discuss the role of EGFR-inhibitors, systemic chemotherapy in anal SCC. Could they expand on this. Also could they expand on whether they resect all anal SCC after chemorads or just if residual disease? The goal of this review by the title is a multi-disciplinary discussion of the complex management of GAC but I felt the discussion was mainly surgical. I think expanding the discussion to include what fields are radiated, what chemosensitizers are used, what systemic and local medical options are appropriate would significantly improve the manuscript. 8 Illustrations and tables- Figure1 and 3. Since these are pre- and post therapy of the same patient perhaps clarifying this in the legend or including as Figure 1 A and figure 1B would be beneficial. Figure 2 the images are quite small. anyway they can be expanded for easier review? for Figure 5 and 2 could the authors include the SUV max in the legend. These two cases behaved quite differently would be interesting to know if the SUV max was any different 9 Overall, good review of the literature. Given the rarity of GCA and anal SCC a case report and review of the



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https:// www.wjgnet.com

literature is sufficient. I would like to see the authors discuss if they manage HIV associated GCA/SCC any differently than non-HIV related. Obviously the outcomes are worse but do they treat differently?

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ [Y] No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ [Y] No