Name of Journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases
Manuscript NO: 43178
Manuscript Type: CASE REPORT
Title: Papillary Cystadenoma of the Parotid Gland: A Case Report and Literature Review

Dear Editors,

Thank you very much for having our manuscript entitled "Papillary Cystadenoma of the Parotid Gland: A Case Report and Literature Review" reviewed in a timely and professional manner and for giving us an opportunity to revise the manuscript. We also deeply appreciate the reviewers for their critical review of the manuscript with thoughtful and constructive comments, based on which we have revised the manuscript and we hope our manuscript can be accepted by "World Journal of Clinical Cases." Our point-by-point responses to the reviewers' comments are detailed below.

Reviewer' question 1: I suggest that in literature review the authors could include a tabulation of the papillary cystadenoma of major salivary glands reported in the literature for a better understanding of this rare entity (age, sex, site, provisional diagnosis, treatment plan and follow up data).

Response: Thanks for your significant proposal. We have added "We listed the reported cases of PGPC with comparatively complete data between 2009 and 2018 in table 1[3,14-17], while solely retrospective studies without detailed data were excluded." in the first paragragh of "Discussion" section and included a table at the end of the manuscript.

Reviewer' question 2: In discussion, it is advisable to include text related to the etiopathogenesis of this lesion.

Response: Thanks for your helpful suggestion. Due to the rarity of parotid gland papillary cystadenoma, the causes of this disease have not been well discussed or documented. We expressed the regret in the first paragraph of "Discussion" section.

Reviewer' question 3:

In case report, the duration of the lesion is mentioned as 5 days. Please clarify the duration.

Response: We're sorry for our unclear expression. Because the mass was inadvertently found by the patient 5 days ago, we recorded the duration as 5 days. We stated it again in the "*Chief complaints* of CASE PRESENTATION" section.

Reviewer' question 4: Additionally, the history on the initiating factor for the swelling, the growth of the swelling and aggrevating and relieving factors needs to be mentioned.

Response: Thanks for your helpful advice. The mass was inadvertently found only for five days and the patient did not feel significant change in size, so she took no medicine. We added this information in "*History of present illness* of CASE PRESENTATION" section.

Reviewer' question 5: In case report, the authors mentioned that the patient was advised radiotherapy. Can the authors highlight the rationale behind this; since the lesion is a benign growth.

Response: Thank you for your professional suggestion. In view of the rarity of this disease, no enough data showed recurrence rate. But reference 19 reported one case of papillary cystadenocarcinoma, which implied possible malignant transformation of papillary cystadenoma, especially in major salivary glands. So we recommended radiotherapy, though it was not a recognized treatment for PGPC. Because of the uncertainty of radiotherapy and reviewer' query, we will delete the sentence "**No additional treatment was performed due to the patient' income, although radiotherapy was recommended.**" at the "TREATMENT" section.

We tried our best to improve the manuscript and have made some changes in the manuscript. We greatly appreciate your warm work and hope that the corrections can meet expectations.

Once again, thank you very much for your comments and suggestions.

Best Regards,

Dr. Zhi-Ming Wang
E-mail: wangzm@sj-hospital.org