

Dear Sir or Madam,

Thank you very much for your review and the comments, which were very valuable to us for the revision. We have revised the text as you have advised. The guidelines were followed and the Informed consent was added. The text is prepared according to the case report instructions and template. We have also changed the numbering and the designations in the figures and figures sections, as advised, and we hope it is satisfactory now. The issues raised by the reviewers have been discussed point-by-point below. We hope that the revisions are now satisfactory for the acceptance of the article.

Yours sincerely,

Tomaz Velnar

Answer to the reviewers

Dear Sir or Madam,

Thank you for the manuscript review and the comments, which were very valuable for us. We have revised the text to our best knowledge. You will find the answers below.

Reviewer 1

QUESTION 1: Guidelines for Authors must be followed. A primary version (PDF) of the Informed Consent Form that has been signed by the patients must be uploaded, but the uploaded Informed Consent Form is unsigned.

ANSWER 1: The guidelines have been followed and the article was rearranged accordingly. The signed informed consent is attached.

QUESTION 2: And also there is a non-standard approach in figures of cases and a confusion in numbering of them.

ANSWER 2: The figures have been renumbered and reorganised. We hope it is satisfactory now.

Reviewer 2

QUESTION 1: Comments on the manuscript: Vein of Galen Aneurismal Malformations: Clinical Characteristics, Treatment and Cases presentation The manuscript is about three cases of vein of Galen aneurismal malformations (VGAM), a rare arterious malformation of the embryonic choroid complex which can originate hydrocephalus. The three cases are described with excellent illustrations resulting from magnetic resonance imaging. In the discussion, the authors give knowledge about VGAM. I think useful the description of these three cases. The manuscript is well written and cases are clearly exposed. I propose some minor improvements. Page 3, Case 1, line 3: “(...) and a magnetic resonance (...)” instead “(...) and an magnetic resonance(...)” Page 5, embryology and angioarchitecture, line 7: “located” instead “located” Page 6, Classification, line 6: fistulae instead fistulas

ANSWER 1: Thank you for the reminder. The text of the article has been corrected according to the recommendations and the spelling corrected.

QUESTION 2: For figures 1A and 1B: indicate with arrows the main characteristic to display: it would be useful for the non-specialist reader or the reader unfamiliar with X-ray imaging For figure 2 to 7: - give the magnification - give a more detailed caption for each subdivision of the figure (2A, 2B, ...) with possibly captions on the images: it would be useful for the non-specialist reader - indicate with an arrow the main structure to show.

ANSWER 2: The arrows have been added on the Figures 1 and 2 and the important structures have been labelled. The magnification was added (the scale designation) in the figures as well. In addition, other figures have been modified according to the reviewer’s recommendations.