

Answering reviewers

We have revised our manuscript according to the reviewer's comments. We would like to thank all the referees for their careful reading of our manuscript and for providing such useful comments. All the changes in revised manuscript is highlighted.

Responses to the reviewer are as follows.

Reviewer 1

Regarding the results section of the abstract.

According to the reviewer's comment, we have deleted the duplicate sentences in results section of the abstract.

Regarding Table 3 (samples #14, #20, and #25 were declared negative for HER2 expression).

Case #14, #20, #25 were finally assessed as "HER-2 negative" because the HER-2 amplification could not be confirmed by DISH analysis. This is documented in RESULTS section (subheading as "The HER-2-immunoreactive cases, and their DISH findings") of the revised manuscript.

Reviewer 2

Regarding the Title and discussion section.

We have deleted the word "prospective" from the title to avoid misunderstanding of the readers. We consider this study is "Basic Study" but is not "Prospective clinical trial".

We have revised the discussion section as the journal's format (adding ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS).

The manuscript also has been revised according to Editors editing.