



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Diabetes

Manuscript NO: 45294

Title: Quantities of comorbidities affects physical, but not mental health related quality of life in type 1 diabetes with confirmed polyneuropathy

Reviewer’s code: 03470699

Reviewer’s country: Greece

Science editor: Ying Dou

Date sent for review: 2019-01-04

Date reviewed: 2019-01-06

Review time: 5 Hours, 2 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer’s expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for the opportunity to review this well-written study on the effects of DN on QoL of people with T1D. The topic is interesting and the results have indeed some useful clinical implications. I have some comments on the study: 1. It is accurate that the



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

majority of previous studies that examined the impact of DN on QoL have included mixed (T1D and T2D) populations; however, the negative impact of DN on QoL exclusively in people with T1D has been previously highlighted in the literature [please see Jacobson et al., Diabetes Care 2013;36(10):3131-38]. It would be useful this research to be cited in the relevant part of the discussion. 2. To assess the impact of DN on QoL, it would be more reasonable to recruit as controls people with T1D but without DN, and not healthy individuals, in order to diminish the effects of diabetes itself, on QoL. This may be a confounder amplifying the impact of DN on QoL and I think it's appropriate this aspect to be included and discussed in the article. 3. The other limitations of this study are already mentioned and discussed by the authors, therefore there is no need in repeating them. Some more minor suggestions: • Please clarify whether the study protocol was approved by the ethics committee of the institute conducted in. • Which was the method used for HbA1C assessment? • The results section is very short and unbalanced compared to introduction and discussion. It could be more extended and informative.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

BPG Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

[] Plagiarism

[Y] No