

Response-to-review

Reviewer Name: Anonymous

Review Date: 2019-05-12 18:30

Specific Comments To Authors: Authors of the manuscript evaluated retrospectively clinical risks of aberrant hepatic arterial anatomy in patients treated with 90Y-radioembolization. The issue is worthy of research and the manuscript brings original and important results for common clinical practice. The manuscript is well written. The discussion is objective. I have no comment or proposal of revision. I recommend to accept the manuscript for publication without changes.

Scientific Quality: Grade A (Excellent)

Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing)

Conclusion: Accept (High priority)

Response:

Dear reviewer,

thank you very much for your review and comments on our manuscript “Y90-Radioembolization via variant hepatic arteries: Is there a relevant risk for non-target embolization?”

Following the recommendation, we revised the manuscript according to the comments by the editor while leaving the overall content of the manuscript unchanged.