

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Manuscript NO: 45774

Title: Acute abdominal obstruction: Colon stent or emergency surgery? An evidence-based review

Reviewer's code: 03015908

Reviewer's country: China

Science editor: Ying Dou

Date sent for review: 2019-01-17

Date reviewed: 2019-01-21

Review time: 13 Hours, 3 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Igor Braga Ribeiro and colleagues provide present evidence of the indication, technique, outcomes, benefits, and risks of some treatments, such as Emergency Surgery and self-expanding metal stents, in acute malignant colonic obstruction through the analysis



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https:// www.wjgnet.com

of previously published studies and current guidelines. They concluded that until more long-term oncological studies are available, stenting cannot be established as the gold standard of treatment and stenting may be an alternative for patients with incurable obstructive tumors in acute abdomen, with the advantage of early hospital discharge and the potential for improved quality of life with avoidance of a permanent stoma. The study is of interest to address a clinical issue and this article is well organized. However, language editing is required throughout the manuscript. The following is a short list of typo but is not limited by the list: 1. In the sentence ' We thank Dr. Rodrigo Castaño for figure 1 and for PhD. Bruno da Costa Martins for figures 2,4,6,7,8 assigned to this manuscript', 'for PhD. Bruno da Costa Martins ' is better to be changed into ' PhD. Bruno da Costa Martins '. (Page 2) 2. In the section 'Core tip', authors need to explain ' these measures ' in detail. (Page 3) 3. In the sentence ' The initial studies had encouraging results since the use of the stent could remove the patient from a surgical emergency, improve their performance status, reducing not only morbidity and mortality', 'not only morbidity and mortality' is better to be changed into ' not only morbidity but mortality'. (Page 4) 4. In the past, Emergency Surgery (ES) has been the primary treatment for acute abdomen obstructive (AAO)', AAO does not appear the first time and does not need the full name again. (Page 4) 5. 'advance gastric cancer ' should be ' advanced gastric cancer '. (Page 6) 6. What is ' CCR ', the authors have to write the full name. Or is it just a wrong spelling of ' CRC '? (Page 7) 7. In the sentence ' there still is a roll for stents in palliation', should 'roll' be 'role'? (Page 8) 8. These two sentences ' Occur in approximately 10% in the use as bridge for surgery and 1% in palliative patients, usually one week after insertion' and ' Occurs due to tumor ingrowth at the proximal or distal margins of the stent or through the cells of the stent when using uncovered stents ' lack subjects. (Page 13) 9. 'Emergency surgery' should be replaced by its abbreviation 'ES'. (Page 10,14,15) 10. ' A recent systematic review and meta-analysis



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https:// www.wjgnet.com

performed by Ribeiro et al 2018' should be changed into ' A recent systematic review and meta-analysis performed by Ribeiro et al in 2018'. (Page 15) 11.' Clinical success was 84% in the SEMS group and 96% in the 30-day adverse events rates were 36.5% in the stent group and 24% in the surgery group, without statistical significance.' This sentence need to be reorganized. (Page 15)

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ [Y] No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ [Y] No