

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 46470

Title: Removal of pediatric stage IV neuroblastoma by robot-assisted laparoscopy: case report and literature review

Dear Editor,

We would like to thank World Journal of Clinical Cases for giving us the opportunity to revise our manuscript.

We thank the reviewers for their careful read and thoughtful comments on previous draft. We have carefully taken their comments into consideration in preparing our revision, which has resulted in a paper that is clearer, more compelling, and broader. The following summarizes how we responded to reviewer comments.

Below is our response to their comments:

To Reviewer 1:

1. The abstract should be re-write. The references should be deleted from the abstract. And the background should moved or added to the main text "Introduction".

We have rewritten the abstract and moved the background.

2. What's the meaning of "MULTIDISCIPLINARY EXPERT CONSULTATION"? I suggest to delete this section.

We have deleted the "MULTIDISCIPLINARY EXPERT CONSULTATION".

3. The informed consent statement is not provided, only a title is listed.

We are so sorry about that. We have provided the informed consent statement.

4. References list can be updated.

We have updated references list.

5. Some minor language polishing should be revised.

We regret there were problems with the English. The paper has been carefully revised by a native English speaker to improve the grammar and readability.

To Reviewer 2:

1. *It seems the informed consent statement is missing. Please provide it.*

We are so sorry about that. We have provided the informed consent statement.

2. *Some references is missing in the main text, such as “Zhu et al. from Tongji Hospital of Huazhong University of Science and Technology reported the application of a robotic surgical system in the surgical treatment of pediatric NB in 2017 in China ” at the five section of the introduction, please provide the reference, and check the main text.*

We have provided the reference and checked the main text.

3. *The manuscript requires an editing of English. Some minor language polishing should be corrected.*

We regret there were problems with the English. The paper has been carefully revised by a native English speaker to improve the grammar and readability.

4. *The reference is well discussed. However, it should be updated.*

We have updated references list.