

I deeply appreciate the reviewers and editorial officers for their constructive comments and pointing out mistakes on our manuscript. I believe that the revisions have improved the quality of the manuscript substantially. Responses to each of the reviewer's comment are listed below.

Reviewer 1. This is an interesting study about the prostatectomy without prostate biopsy. Methods for diagnosing prostate cancer include digital rectal examination, serum prostate specific antigen, transrectal prostate ultrasound and magnetic resonance imaging. Usually, the prostate biopsy is required. In this study, the authors hypothesize that it might be no longer necessary to perform prostate biopsy before radical prostatectomy. Overall, this study is well designed and the manuscript is well written. I have some minor comments to the authors: 1. The manuscript requires a minor language editing. 2. The results are clear, however, the data of the follow up is missing. Please check and provide those data. 3. If the authors can provide some images of the pathological results, it will be better.

Response: Thank you very much for your constructive advice. As the main objective of the study was to explore the feasibility of radical prostatectomy without prostate biopsy in the era of new imaging technology and minimally invasive techniques, so we focused on the pathology results and perioperative outcomes. In the future study, we will provide follow-up data to see the long-term outcomes of radical prostatectomy without prostate biopsy. I added some pathologic images of prostate cancer in the manuscript.

Reviewer 2. This study is very interesting. In this study, Xing Nianzeng et al introduced the feasibility of prostatectomy without prostate biopsy. The study is well designed. The methods are described in detail, especially the surgical technique. This study give us a new sight about the radical prostatectomy without prostate biopsy. Are there any images about the pathological results? If so, please provide. There are some minor language polishing should be revised.

Response: I really appreciate your suggestion. I added some pathologic images of prostate cancer in the manuscript.