

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 46585

Title: A patient misdiagnosed with central serous chorioretinopathy: A case report
Abstract

Reviewer's code: 03780607

Reviewer's country: Turkey

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-02-26 05:01

Reviewer performed review: 2019-03-02 13:41

Review time: 4 Days and 8 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this case report, the authors describe a case with serous retinal detachment masquerading as central serous choroidopathy (CSC). Although this case is not unique, can be acceptable. Actually, the first wrong dignosis of the case not surprising. In



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

the cases, indocyanine green angiography should be performed to establish a more definitive diagnosis, particularly in 1) patients who are generally not at risk of CSC on the basis age, sex, or race (THE AGE AND SEX ARE NOT COMPATIBLE WITH CSC) 2) eyes with persistent detachments associated with lipid, and 3) recurrent detachments with subretinal blood. 1. why not indocyanine green angiography performed? 2. How was the choroidal thickness of the case?

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No