



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 46766

Title: Novel technique for endoscopic en bloc resection for lesions up to 30 mm (EMR+)

Reviewer's code: 02954463

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Ruo-Yu Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-03-17 15:54

Reviewer performed review: 2019-03-17 16:33

Review time: 1 Hour

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

UNCOMPLETE TITLE: WHERE WOULD BE THE LESION? WHAT IS THE TREATED ORGAN? THE ARGUMENT IS INTERESTING BUT LACKS OF NOVELTY, EXCEPT FOR THE TECHNIQUE AND THE INSTRUMENT APPLIED. A BRIEF CONSIDERATION SHOULD BE MADE ABOUT THE VISUALIZATION OF



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

DISSECTION PLANE AFTER POLOXAMERS, IF IT IS DIFFICULT TO IDENTIFY DURING DISSECTION.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

BPG Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 46766

Title: Novel technique for endoscopic en bloc resection for lesions up to 30 mm (EMR+)

Reviewer's code: 03479350

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Ruo-Yu Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-03-27 03:34

Reviewer performed review: 2019-03-30 08:05

Review time: 3 Days and 4 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors investigated novel techniques for endoscopic en bloc resection for lesions up to 30 mm (EMR+). I enjoyed reading the article. However, the report about AWC was already published (Walter B, et al. Improved endoscopic resection of large flat lesions and early cancers using an external additional working channel (AWC): a case series.



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Endosc Int Open 2019;07:E298-E301). Therefore, it is difficult to identify novelty. Additionally, there were several concerns which should be addressed by the authors. Major comments 1. The main aim of this study was somewhat ambiguous. Given that the authors provided the combination use of AWC® and LiftUp® as the new method, they should prove the efficacy and advantage of this method by comparative studies such as AWC® with and without LiftUp® or simple EMR with and without LiftUp®, etc., since AWC® method had been already reported. Otherwise, this study cannot persuade the endoscopist who believe that ESD is possible to remove most of lesions easily. 2. As the authors mentioned in the limitations, a major limitation of this study is to use mimic lesions. Therefore, the influences by the fibrosis grade and the morphological features, protruded or flat, cannot be assessed. The authors should consider them in Discussion section. 3. The authors should describe IRB information in the Methods. 4. The authors should describe the resection's site of the stomach in the Results and Table. Minor comments 1. How do LiftUp® function if a submucosal fibrosis exists in the lesion site? CONFLICTS OF INTEREST I have no any Conflicts of Interest with the material in this article.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

BPG Search:

- The same title



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Duplicate publication

Plagiarism

No