



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

Manuscript NO: 47037

Title: Os Calcis Lipoma. To Graft or not to Graft? Case report and review of the literature

Reviewer’s code: 03516493

Reviewer’s country: Spain

Science editor: Jin-Lei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-03-27 15:36

Reviewer performed review: 2019-04-07 14:26

Review time: 10 Days and 22 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer’s expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is a well written paper and with interest. But I think some details should be corrected. The authors say that the previous papers have a short follow up, and that is why it is not appreciated the graft resorption. However, in other jobs such as the Radl



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

et col, some cases are presented with a follow up like yours , and no graft resorption appeared.As a conclusion you affirm that the treatment with curettage and bone grafting of os calcis may need reevaluated , because in your patient the graft showed almost complete resorption. It does not seem logical yo draw this conclusion because it happened in only one case. I believe that the treatment must be individualized depend on the symptons, location and size, and not doing it in a standar way

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

BPG Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No