**Name of Journal: *World Journal of Orthopedics***

**Manuscript NO: 47116**

**Manuscript Type: CASE REPORT**

**Calcaneal osteochondroma masquerading as plantar fasciitis: An approach to plantar heel pain – a case report and literature review**

Koh D *et al.* Calcaneal osteochondroma masquerading as plantar fasciitis

**Don Koh, Yvonne Goh, Nicholas Yeo**

**Don Koh, Nicholas Yeo,** Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Singapore General Hospital, Singapore 169856, Singapore

**Yvonne Goh,** NUS Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, NUHS Tower Block, Singapore 119228, Singapore

**ORCID number:** Don Koh (0000-0002-4777-675X); Yvonne Goh (0000-0002-0175-1717); Nicholas Yeo (0000-0002-8940-3281).

**Author contributions:** Koh D, Goh Y and Yeo N designed and performed the research; Koh D wrote the paper.

**Informed consent statement:** All study participants, or their legal guardian, provided informed written consent prior to study enrolment.

**Conflict-of-interest statement:** All authors have seen and agree with the contents of the manuscript and there is no financial interest to report.

**CARE Checklist (2016) statement:** The authors have read the CARE Checklist (2016), and the manuscript was prepared and revised according to the CARE Checklist (2016).

**Open-Access:** This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

**Manuscript source:** Unsolicited manuscript

**Corresponding author: Don Koh, MBBS, BSc (Hon), MRCS (Edin), Doctor,** Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Singapore General Hospital, 20 College Road, Academia Building Level 4, Singapore 169856, Singapore. don.koh@mohh.com.sg

**Telephone:** +65-62223322

**Fax:** +65-62248100

**Received:** March 6, 2019

**Peer-review started:** March 8, 2019

**First decision:** April 16, 2019

**Revised:** May 15, 2019

**Accepted:** August 12, 2019

**Article in press:**

**Published online:**

**Abstract**

***Background***

Heel pain is a common orthopaedic complaint, and if left untreated can be a source of chronic morbidity. Accurate diagnosis can be challenging, owing to the complex anatomy and multiple pain generators present in the foot. We aim to share our clinical experience managing an unusual case of chronic heel pain secondary to osteochondroma.

***Case Summary***

A 41-year-old obese male who works as a porter presented with a long-standing history of left plantar heel pain. He was assessed to have point tenderness over the plantar insertion of the calcaneus as well as a positive Silfverskiold test. He was treated for plantar fasciitis and tight gastrocnemius but failed conservative therapies as well as surgical intervention. Magnetic resonance imaging revealed the presence of pedunculated bony protrusion over the plantar aspect of the calcaneus. The decision was made for excision of the osteochondroma, and the patient has been pain-free since.

***Conclusion***

Osteochondromas are rarely symptomatic in skeletally mature patients. While most are benign with a very low risk of malignant transformation, surgical excision can yield excellent results and significant pain relief in symptomatic patients.

**Key words:** Chronic heel pain; Recalcitrant heel pain; Osteochondroma; Diagnostic challenge; Case report

**© The Author(s) 2019.** Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

**Core tip:** Heel pain is a common orthopaedic complaint. If not treated correctly, it can lead to chronic morbidity and disability.Plantar fasciitis is diagnosed clinically. Advanced imaging is rarely required and used to exclude underlying sinister pathology. Osteochondromas of the calcaneum are rare. In symptomatic patients, excision can improve outcomes.

Koh D, Goh Y, Yeo N. Calcaneal osteochondroma masquerading as plantar fasciitis: An approach to plantar heel pain – a case report and literature review. *World J Orthop* 2019; In press

**INTRODUCTION**

Heel pain is a common orthopaedic complaint, constituting about 15% of foot pain[1]. In the population ages 50 years and over, 1 in 8 people complain of heel pain with more than half reporting that the severity is disabling[2].

The various aetiologies of heel pain can be broadly classified into mechanical, neurological, traumatic and degenerative causes with the most common cause being mechanical[3,4]. Accurate diagnosis can be challenging, owing to the complex anatomy and their interdependent mechanical relationships as well as vulnerable pain generators present in the foot[5]. Heel pain is best defined by the Heel Pain Committee of the American College of Foot and Ankle Surgeons as pain either over the posterior or plantar aspect of the foot[4]. For the purpose of this article, focus will be on plantar heel pain.

As with all foot and ankle pathologies, a good grasp of anatomy, clinical acuity, detailed history taking as well as physical examination are key to achieving an accurate diagnosis. Astute application of investigative modalities aid in confirming the diagnosis. Conversely, inappropriate treatment can lead to worsening or chronic pain that can result in morbidity[2]. In this case report, we aim to share our clinical experience in managing one such patient whose plantar heel pain was refractory to all treatment modalities offered. We present an unusual case of chronic heel pain secondary to osteochondroma.

***Case description***

***Chief complaints***

A 41-year-old Malay gentleman who works as a hospital porter presented with a long-standing history of left plantar heel pain.

***History of present illness***

His gastrocnemius was also noted to be tight with positive Silfverskiold test. He was treated for plantar fasciitis and tight gastrocnemius and underwent extensive physiotherapy sessions targeted at gastrocnemius stretching. He was started on anti-inflammatory and analgesic medications. However, his symptoms persisted, and he underwent left gastrocnemius release and endoscopic plantar fascia release. This provided temporal relief of his symptoms, and he was able to return to work.

Two and half years later, he presented with similar symptoms of left heel pain. His gastrocnemius was once again assessed to be tight demonstrating limited dorsiflexion in the absence of ankle osteoarthritis. A repeated minimally invasive gastrocnemius release was performed. He underwent a prolonged recovery with enforced rest and time off work, which improved his symptoms. However, his symptoms quickly reoccurred upon return to work.

***History of past illness***

He is obese (BMI: 31 kg/m2), has hyperlipidaemia but reports no history of diabetes or gout.

***Physical examination***

Patient ambulated with an antalgic gait. On physical assessment, his gastrocnemius remained tight with a positive Silfverskiold test. Tenderness around the plantar aspect of the calcaneum was non-specific and found along the distribution of the plantar fascia insertion.

***Imaging examinations***

Foot and calcaneal radiographs showed normal bony relationships of the foot with no features of arthritis. No fractures or obvious lesions were noted. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the left foot was performed. It reported the absence of plantar fascia thickening as well as no signal alteration in the adjacent soft tissue or bone marrow. MRI did reveal a pedunculated bony protrusion arising from the posterolateral plantar aspect of the calcaneus measuring 1.1 cm × 0.9 cm, which was consistent with an osteochondroma (Figure 2). Computed tomography scan was organised to better evaluate this lesion (Figure 3).

**FINAL DIAGNOSIS**

Osteochondroma of the calcaneum with a concomitant gastrocnemius contracture.

**TREATMENT**

Decision for surgical excision of left calcaneal lesion was made in view of chronic discomfort and limited relief from two other previous procedures. A mini-incision was made centred over the posterolateral border of calcaneum. A soft tissue flap was raised allowing access to the lesion. The bony lesion was excised *en bloc* and sent for histological analysis. Layered closure was attempted and wound dressed with Jones dressing. Patient was kept on non-weight bearing over the left lower limb before progressing to full weight bearing once the wound healed. Histology reported the lesions as a nodular lesion with mature lamellar bone consistent with a matured osteochondroma.

**OUTCOME AND FOLLOW UP**

The patient was followed up closely and remains pain-free 12 mo after surgery. He is back to work and very satisfied with the surgery.

**DISCUSSION**

***Plantar fasciitis***

This patient presented with typical signs and symptoms of plantar fasciitis, a common cause of heel pain especially amongst middle-aged patients[6]. Plantar fasciitis is a condition attributed to biomechanical stress of the plantar fascia and its calcaneal insertion. Risk factors of developing plantar fasciitis involve those subjecting the plantar fascia to excessive biomechanical stress. Being obese is a well-documented risk factor[7–9]. Abnormal strain on the windlass mechanism of the foot results in excessive plantar fascia tendon loading and subsequent injury[10]. In non-athletic individuals, patients with BMI ≥ 27 kg/m2 are 3.7 times more likely to suffer from plantar heel pain[7]. In addition, this patient had a tight gastrocnemius[8] as well as a job requiring excessive push-off force to manually transport heavy carts, patients and machines around the hospital. Tight gastrocnemius limits ankle dorsiflexion, thereby producing increased strain on the plantar fascia during the gait cycle[11–13]. Pes cavus is another anatomical risk factor. Patients who have pes cavus have a reduced distance between the calcaneus and the metatarsal heads and rigid relationships between both bony and soft tissue structures[14].

The initial management for plantar fasciitis is conservative. In keeping with the literature, this patient was started on a course of plantar fascia as well as gastrocnemius stretching with a physiotherapist[11,15]. DiGiovanni *et al*[16,17] demonstrated significant pain relief after 8 wk of plantar fascia specific stretches as compared to gastrocnemius stretches alone. Coupled with the use of non-inflammatory and analgesia medications, off-loading orthotics, heel cups and adequate rest, these treatments form the backbone of plantar fasciitis management[4,5,18].

However, our patient remained symptomatic after a year of conservative management and decided to undergo endoscopic plantar fasciotomy and gastrocnemius release. This surgery offered significant relief in the acute setting. Plantar fasciotomy is the technique of choice in recalcitrant plantar fasciitis[19–22]. In a survey of 64 patients who underwent plantar fasciotomy, Wheeler *et al*[22] reported 84% of patients were satisfied or very satisfied, with almost three quarters of patients experiencing greater than 80% pain relief. However, owing to varying techniques described within the literature (namely open, mini-open and endoscopic ablation), there remains a paucity of good quality evidence supporting its role[21]. Most agree with the use of the medial open approach releasing the medial third of the plantar fascia[23,24], although there is a growing number of studies showing comparable results using endoscopic plantar fasciotomy[5,25].

Proximal medial gastrocnemius release in the treatment of refractory plantar fasciitis has also shown excellent results without the complications associated with plantar fasciotomy[20,24,26]. This technique was conceived after DiGiovanni *et al*[27]reported plantar foot pain associated with gastrocnemius contracture and Barouk *et al*[24] demonstrated the safe surgical technique most authors now perform.

However, results can be unpredictable, especially in patients with chronic heel pain[28]. Our patient returned complaining of similar symptoms after two and half years. The use of advanced imaging modalities, such as ultrasound and MRI is not necessary in diagnosing plantar fasciitis. Toomey *et al*[29] demonstrated poor correlation between plantar fascia thickness and plantar heel pain. Therefore, most authors believe that plantar fasciitis is a clinical diagnosis[5,24,29,30]. In the case above however, recalcitrant heel pain despite surgical intervention is a red flag warranting further investigation[4,5]. In addition, should the tenderness not be localised to the plantar fascia insertion or if the clinical picture appears inconsistent, then advanced imaging would be prudent.

***Diagnosing recalcitrant plantar heel pain***

In a diagnostically challenging case, astute clinical reasoning is key. Most heel pain arises from soft tissue structures such as tendons, fascia and nerves around the calcaneus and less commonly from bone and apopyses[3]. Plantar foot pain pathologies are often mechanical in nature[31].

The authors propose key considerations when approaching heel pain (Table 1). Location, age, precipitating events and nature of symptoms are all important clues to deriving an accurate diagnosis. Pinpointing the location of greatest discomfort is the most useful way of arriving at a diagnosis; it narrows potential differentials and focuses history taking and clinical examination towards structures underlying the site[30]. Patients are encouraged to point a finger at the location of greatest discomfort and through the application of clinical anatomy enquire about exacerbating factors as well as perform respective provocation manoeuvres (Table 1).

Age is another useful tool in diagnosing heel pain. Almost a quarter of the population aged 45 years and older suffer from foot pain[32]. In the middle aged and elderly, osteoarthritis and tendinopathies as well as plantar fasciitis are more common[24,33,34]. Scher *et al*[35] reported increasing incidence of plantar fasciitis with increasing age of United States military service members suggesting that the loss of heel-pad elasticity and its ability to “shock-absorb” results in excessive strain to the plantar fascia. Whereas, in the younger, active populations, traumatic or repetitive stress injuries are more likely[36–38].

In the absence of trauma or a fall, bony injury is less likely. Pain that worsens with activity and pushing off suggests a mechanical origin. However, in a patient with chronic heel pain not improved by surgery, sinister causes such as malignancy or infection need to be excluded. Other considerations include a neurological cause from radicular symptoms, referred pain or even systemic inflammatory pathologies (Table 1).

Bilateral dorsoplantar and lateral weight-bearing foot radiographs are fundamental investigations when assessing foot pain. It offers insight into the foot’s bony relationships and allows comparison with the contralateral limb (Figure 1a). Dedicated calcaneal radiographs provide an unobstructed axial view, commonly obscured by overlapping structures of the foot. The presence of osteochondroma on calcaneal radiographs was not obvious on either foot (Figure 1).

In patients with recalcitrant pain refractory to surgery, advanced imaging, such as MRI or computed tomography scans is indicated to evaluate other causes of pain. MRI would allow better assessment of soft tissue pathology as well as acute bony injury after trauma (Figure 2). On the other hand, computed tomography scans provide good bony definition (Figure 3).

***Calcaneal osteochondroma***

Osteochondromas are the most common benign tumour of the skeleton accounting for 36% to 41% of benign bone tumours[39–41] and affecting about 2% to 3% of the general population[41–43]. However, its presentation in a foot and ankle account for less than 10% of such cases[42–46]. Osteochondromas are benign osseous lesions that originate from the metaphyseal regions of long bones and on histology classically present with normal bony trabeculae with a distinct hyaline cartilage cap[41,42,44]. Most osteochondromas are usually asymptomatic and are incidentally picked up on radiographs[42]. They are commonly found in adolescents and young adults presenting often as a painless mass, but it can be symptomatic as a sequalae of its size causing entrapment of neurovascular structures, restriction of movement and fractures[42,44]. Malignant transformation is rare accounting for less than 1% of osteochondromas[41,47,48]. Its presentation in a skeletally mature adult is rare. To date, only a handful of case reports are found in the literature[42,45,46].

**CONCLUSION**

There are many causes of heel pain, with plantar fasciitis being the most common cause. However, in recalcitrant heel pain refractory to both conservative and surgical management, it would be astute to investigate the underlying cause further. Osteochondroma of the calcaneum is uncommon but a potential cause of debilitating plantar heel pain. Surgical excision can improve symptoms and reduce plantar heel pain.

**REFERENCES**

1 **Garrow AP**, Silman AJ, Macfarlane GJ. The Cheshire Foot Pain and Disability Survey: a population survey assessing prevalence and associations. *Pain* 2004; **110**: 378-384 [PMID: 15275789 DOI: 10.1016/j.pain.2004.04.019]

2 **Chatterton BD**, Muller S, Roddy E. Epidemiology of posterior heel pain in the general population: cross-sectional findings from the clinical assessment study of the foot. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)* 2015; **67**: 996-1003 [PMID: 25604329 DOI: 10.1002/acr.22546]

3 **Tu P**. Heel Pain: Diagnosis and Management. *Am Fam Physician* 2018; **97**: 86-93 [PMID: 29365222]

4 **Thomas JL**, Christensen JC, Kravitz SR, Mendicino RW, Schuberth JM, Vanore JV, Weil LS Sr, Zlotoff HJ, Bouché R, Baker J; American College of Foot and Ankle Surgeons heel pain committee. The diagnosis and treatment of heel pain: a clinical practice guideline-revision 2010. *J Foot Ankle Surg* 2010; **49**: S1-19 [PMID: 20439021 DOI: 10.1053/j.jfas.2010.01.001]

5 **Lareau CR**, Sawyer GA, Wang JH, DiGiovanni CW. Plantar and medial heel pain: diagnosis and management. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg* 2014; **22**: 372-380 [PMID: 24860133 DOI: 10.5435/JAAOS-22-06-372]

6 **Buchbinder R**. Clinical practice. Plantar fasciitis. *N Engl J Med* 2004; **350**: 2159-2166 [PMID: 15152061 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMcp032745]

7 **van Leeuwen KD**, Rogers J, Winzenberg T, van Middelkoop M. Higher body mass index is associated with plantar fasciopathy/'plantar fasciitis': systematic review and meta-analysis of various clinical and imaging risk factors. *Br J Sports Med* 2016; **50**: 972-981 [PMID: 26644427 DOI: 10.1136/bjsports-2015-094695]

8 **Irving DB**, Cook JL, Young MA, Menz HB. Obesity and pronated foot type may increase the risk of chronic plantar heel pain: a matched case-control study. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2007; **8**: 41 [PMID: 17506905 DOI: 10.1186/1471-2474-8-41]

9 **Hill JJ Jr**, Cutting PJ. Heel pain and body weight. *Foot Ankle* 1989; **9**: 254-256 [PMID: 2731839 DOI: 10.1177/107110078900900509]

10 **Fuller EA**. The windlass mechanism of the foot. A mechanical model to explain pathology. *J Am Podiatr Med Assoc* 2000; **90**: 35-46 [PMID: 10659531 DOI: 10.7547/87507315-90-1-35]

11 **Bolívar YA**, Munuera PV, Padillo JP. Relationship between tightness of the posterior muscles of the lower limb and plantar fasciitis. *Foot Ankle Int* 2013; **34**: 42-48 [PMID: 23386760 DOI: 10.1177/1071100712459173]

12 **Carlson RE**, Fleming LL, Hutton WC. The biomechanical relationship between the tendoachilles, plantar fascia and metatarsophalangeal joint dorsiflexion angle. *Foot Ankle Int* 2000; **21**: 18-25 [PMID: 10710257 DOI: 10.1177/107110070002100104]

13 **Erdemir A**, Hamel AJ, Fauth AR, Piazza SJ, Sharkey NA. Dynamic loading of the plantar aponeurosis in walking. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2004; **86**: 546-552 [PMID: 14996881]

14 **Bolgla LA**, Malone TR. Plantar fasciitis and the windlass mechanism: a biomechanical link to clinical practice. *J Athl Train* 2004; **39**: 77-82 [PMID: 16558682]

15 **Sweeting D**, Parish B, Hooper L, Chester R. The effectiveness of manual stretching in the treatment of plantar heel pain: a systematic review. *J Foot Ankle Res* 2011; **4**: 19 [PMID: 21703003 DOI: 10.1186/1757-1146-4-19]

16 **Digiovanni BF**, Nawoczenski DA, Malay DP, Graci PA, Williams TT, Wilding GE, Baumhauer JF. Plantar fascia-specific stretching exercise improves outcomes in patients with chronic plantar fasciitis. A prospective clinical trial with two-year follow-up. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2006; **88**: 1775-1781 [PMID: 16882901 DOI: 10.2106/JBJS.E.01281]

17 **DiGiovanni BF**, Nawoczenski DA, Lintal ME, Moore EA, Murray JC, Wilding GE, Baumhauer JF. Tissue-specific plantar fascia-stretching exercise enhances outcomes in patients with chronic heel pain. A prospective, randomized study. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2003; **85**: 1270-1277 [PMID: 12851352]

18 **Lim AT**, How CH, Tan B. Management of plantar fasciitis in the outpatient setting. *Singapore Med J* 2016; **57**: 168-70; quiz 171 [PMID: 27075037 DOI: 10.11622/smedj.2016069]

19 **Brown JN**, Roberts S, Taylor M, Paterson RS. Plantar fascia release through a transverse plantar incision. *Foot Ankle Int* 1999; **20**: 364-367 [PMID: 10395338 DOI: 10.1177/107110079902000604]

20 **Abbassian A**, Kohls-Gatzoulis J, Solan MC. Proximal medial gastrocnemius release in the treatment of recalcitrant plantar fasciitis. *Foot Ankle Int* 2012; **33**: 14-19 [PMID: 22381231 DOI: 10.3113/FAI.2012.0014]

21 **Rompe JD**. Plantar fasciopathy. *Sports Med Arthrosc Rev* 2009; **17**: 100-104 [PMID: 19440137 DOI: 10.1097/JSA.0b013e3181a3d60e]

22 **Wheeler P**, Boyd K, Shipton M. Surgery for Patients With Recalcitrant Plantar Fasciitis: Good Results at Short-, Medium-, and Long-term Follow-up. *Orthop J Sports Med* 2014; **2**: 2325967114527901 [PMID: 26535314 DOI: 10.1177/2325967114527901]

23 **Kitaoka HB**, Alexander IJ, Adelaar RS, Nunley JA, Myerson MS, Sanders M. Clinical rating systems for the ankle-hindfoot, midfoot, hallux, and lesser toes. *Foot Ankle Int* 1994; **15**: 349-353 [PMID: 7951968 DOI: 10.1177/107110079401500701]

24 **Monteagudo M**, de Albornoz PM, Gutierrez B, Tabuenca J, Álvarez I. Plantar fasciopathy: A current concepts review. *EFORT Open Rev* 2018; **3**: 485-493 [PMID: 30237906 DOI: 10.1302/2058-5241.3.170080]

25 **Bader L**, Park K, Gu Y, O'Malley MJ. Functional outcome of endoscopic plantar fasciotomy. *Foot Ankle Int* 2012; **33**: 37-43 [PMID: 22381234 DOI: 10.3113/FAI.2012.0037]

26 **Monteagudo M**, Maceira E, Garcia-Virto V, Canosa R. Chronic plantar fasciitis: plantar fasciotomy versus gastrocnemius recession. *Int Orthop* 2013; **37**: 1845-1850 [PMID: 23959221 DOI: 10.1007/s00264-013-2022-2]

27 **DiGiovanni CW**, Kuo R, Tejwani N, Price R, Hansen ST Jr, Cziernecki J, Sangeorzan BJ. Isolated gastrocnemius tightness. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2002; **84**: 962-970 [PMID: 12063330]

28 **Martin RL**, Irrgang JJ, Conti SF. Outcome study of subjects with insertional plantar fasciitis. *Foot Ankle Int* 1998; **19**: 803-811 [PMID: 9872466 DOI: 10.1177/107110079801901203]

29 **Toomey EP**. Plantar heel pain. *Foot Ankle Clin* 2009; **14**: 229-245 [PMID: 19501805 DOI: 10.1016/j.fcl.2009.02.001]

30 **Alvarez-Nemegyei J**, Canoso JJ. Heel pain: diagnosis and treatment, step by step. *Cleve Clin J Med* 2006; **73**: 465-471 [PMID: 16708714]

31 **Agyekum EK**, Ma K. Heel pain: A systematic review. *Chin J Traumatol* 2015; **18**: 164-169 [PMID: 26643244]

32 **Thomas MJ**, Roddy E, Zhang W, Menz HB, Hannan MT, Peat GM. The population prevalence of foot and ankle pain in middle and old age: a systematic review. *Pain* 2011; **152**: 2870-2880 [PMID: 22019150 DOI: 10.1016/j.pain.2011.09.019]

33 **Menz HB**, Dufour AB, Casey VA, Riskowski JL, McLean RR, Katz P, Hannan MT. Foot pain and mobility limitations in older adults: the Framingham Foot Study. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2013; **68**: 1281-1285 [PMID: 23704204 DOI: 10.1093/gerona/glt048]

34 **Menz HB**, Tiedemann A, Kwan MM, Plumb K, Lord SR. Foot pain in community-dwelling older people: an evaluation of the Manchester Foot Pain and Disability Index. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2006; **45**: 863-867 [PMID: 16449369 DOI: 10.1093/rheumatology/kel002]

35 **Scher DL**, Belmont PJ Jr, Bear R, Mountcastle SB, Orr JD, Owens BD. The incidence of plantar fasciitis in the United States military. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2009; **91**: 2867-2872 [PMID: 19952249 DOI: 10.2106/JBJS.I.00257]

36 **Sherman KP**. The foot in sport. *Br J Sports Med* 1999; **33**: 6-13 [PMID: 10027050]

37 **Outerbridge AR**, Micheli LJ. Overuse injuries in the young athlete. *Clin Sports Med* 1995; **14**: 503-516 [PMID: 7553920]

38 **Sammarco GJ**. Soft tissue conditions in athletes' feet. *Clin Sports Med* 1982; **1**: 149-155 [PMID: 6138162]

39 **Wodajo FM**. Top five lesions that do not need referral to orthopedic oncology. *Orthop Clin North Am* 2015; **46**: 303-314 [PMID: 25771324 DOI: 10.1016/j.ocl.2014.11.012]

40 **Dahlin DC**, Beabout JW. Dedifferentiation of low-grade chondrosarcomas. *Cancer* 1971; **28**: 461-466 [PMID: 5566365]

41 **Sandell LJ**. Multiple hereditary exostosis, EXT genes, and skeletal development. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2009; **91 Suppl 4**: 58-62 [PMID: 19571069 DOI: 10.2106/JBJS.I.00391]

42 **Blitz NM**, Lopez KT. Giant solitary osteochondroma of the inferior medial calcaneal tubercle: a case report and review of the literature. *J Foot Ankle Surg* 2008; **47**: 206-212 [PMID: 18455666 DOI: 10.1053/j.jfas.2007.12.006]

43 **Griffiths HJ**, Thompson RC Jr, Galloway HR, Everson LI, Suh JS. Bursitis in association with solitary osteochondromas presenting as mass lesions. *Skeletal Radiol* 1991; **20**: 513-516 [PMID: 1754913]

44 **Sella EJ**, Chrostowski JH. Calcaneal osteochondromas. *Orthopedics* 1995; **18**: 573-574; discussion 574-575 [PMID: 7675723]

45 **Nogier A**, De Pinieux G, Hottya G, Anract P. Case reports: enlargement of a calcaneal osteochondroma after skeletal maturity. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2006; **447**: 260-266 [PMID: 16741480 DOI: 10.1097/01.blo.0000203474.96549.80]

46 **Koplay M**, Toker S, Sahin L, Kilincoglu V. A calcaneal osteochondroma with recurrence in a skeletally mature patient: a case report. *Cases J* 2009; **2**: 7013 [PMID: 19829896 DOI: 10.4076/1757-1627-2-7013]

47 **Murphey MD**, Choi JJ, Kransdorf MJ, Flemming DJ, Gannon FH. Imaging of osteochondroma: variants and complications with radiologic-pathologic correlation. *Radiographics* 2000; **20**: 1407-1434 [PMID: 10992031 DOI: 10.1148/radiographics.20.5.g00se171407]

48 **de Souza AM**, Bispo Júnior RZ. Osteochondroma: ignore or investigate? *Rev Bras Ortop* 2014; **49**: 555-564 [PMID: 26229862 DOI: 10.1016/j.rboe.2013.10.002]

49 **Dunn JE**, Link CL, Felson DT, Crincoli MG, Keysor JJ, McKinlay JB. Prevalence of foot and ankle conditions in a multiethnic community sample of older adults. *Am J Epidemiol* 2004; **159**: 491-498 [PMID: 14977645 DOI: 10.1093/aje/kwh071]

50 **Flanagan AM**, Lindsay D. A diagnostic approach to bone tumours. *Pathology* 2017; **49**: 675-687 [PMID: 29110879 DOI: 10.1016/j.pathol.2017.08.003]

51 **Scott A**, Khan KM, Cook JL, Duronio V. What is "inflammation"? Are we ready to move beyond Celsus? *Br J Sports Med* 2004; **38**: 248-249 [PMID: 15155418 DOI: 10.1136/bjsm.2003.011221]

**P-Reviewer:** Bravo Petersen SM, Yu B **S-Editor:** Gong ZM

**L-Editor:** Filipodia **E-Editor:**

**Specialty type:** Orthopedics

**Country of origin:** Singapore

**Peer-review report classification**

Grade A (Excellent): A

Grade B (Very good): B

Grade C (Good): 0

Grade D (Fair): 0


Grade E (Poor): 0



**Figure 1 Foot and calcaneal radiographs.** Demonstrates normal bony relationships of the foot. No fractures or obvious lesions noted. Overlapping bony structures obscures view of the posteromedial pedunculated lesion noted on magnetic resonance imaging.



**Figure 2 Magnetic resonance imaging of foot.** A plantar osteochondroma arising from the posterolateral aspect of the calcaneus. No significant thickening in plantar fascia or signal alteration in the adjacent soft tissues or bone marrow to suggest recurrent plantar fasciitis.

**Figure 3 Computed tomography of foot showing a pedunculated bony protuberance at the lateral border without periosteal reaction nor bony destruction.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |