



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 47117

Title: Clear Cell Sarcoma of Soft Tissue in Pleural Cavity: A Case Report

Reviewer's code: 00468214

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Ying Dou

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-05-31 12:05

Reviewer performed review: 2019-06-02 10:06

Review time: 1 Day and 22 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a case report. Said that, the topic is interesting but the Authors should mitigate ALL messages about the effectiveness of surgery compared with other options. First of all, the Authors did not compare surgery with other options, moreover the follow-up duration does not allow Authors to draw any meaningful conclusion. - "Radical



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

resection is the most effective treatment way"... This sentence is not evidence based. This manuscript did not compare treatment options. The Authors should mitigate this message. - Language should be improved (drain removed ON first POD, not AT, for siatance). - References style should be revised.

The conclusion of manuscript has been modified taking account of the reviewer's opinion. Language has been re-checked and revised. References have been revised according to the ask.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

BPG Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 47117

Title: Clear Cell Sarcoma of Soft Tissue in Pleural Cavity: A Case Report

Reviewer's code: 02908875

Reviewer's country: Turkey

Science editor: Ying Dou

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-07-03 12:20

Reviewer performed review: 2019-07-03 12:47

Review time: 1 Hour

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a well wirtten case report that is beneficial for literature. There are some minor language errors that needs to be changed. the article can be accepted with minor changes.



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

BPG Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 47117

Title: Clear Cell Sarcoma of Soft Tissue in Pleural Cavity: A Case Report

Reviewer's code: 03017516

Reviewer's country: France

Science editor: Ying Dou

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-07-08 21:33

Reviewer performed review: 2019-07-08 22:05

Review time: 1 Hour

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors report a rare case, well documented by imaging. I have some questions. Is there any possible indication to preoperative biopsy in this type of mass? Is there any indication to PET scan? It seems unusual that the patient refused oncologic adjuvant treatment for economic reasons. Is there any social system which pay for poor



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

patients? Why the patient had physical examination or came to the hospital? Did he have any symptoms? Or an X-ray? Can you better explain the clinical presentation? Can you specify which type of target therapy is efficacious on this type of tumor?

According to preoperative CT scan, the mass was likely to be dissected totally under endoscopy. Meanwhile, it might not be easy to confirm the diagnosis without enough specimen under the needle biopsy under CT guided. Therefore, we prefer the direct endoscopic operation rather than a preoperative needle biopsy.

In China, people are covered by medical insurance system founded by our government, which do not cover the target therapy of tumor. Taking account of the poor effect of chemotherapy and the radiotherapy on clear cell sarcoma, the patient refused the postoperative adjuvant therapy and chose the regular following up in time.

The patient had no obvious symptoms when he came to the hospital. The patient was a teacher, who did not take a regular annual physical examination including X-ray or CT scan. So when the first X-ray examination indicated a problem in chest he was followed a CT scan and transferred to our hospital.

According to the recent data of target therapy on clear cell sarcoma, BRAF inhibitors such as vemurafenib and dabrafenib show encouraging effect on the end-stage clear cell sarcoma, which all proved by FDA to be used in the treatment of clear cell sarcoma. Furthermore, MEK inhibitor and c-KIT inhibitor might also be effective in those patients with positive gene mutation of MEK or c-KIT gene.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:



Baishideng Publishing Group

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

BPG Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No