



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 47128

Title: Multiline treatment of advanced squamous cell carcinoma of lung: A case report and review of literature

Reviewer's code: 00467030

Reviewer's country: Taiwan

Science editor: Ying Dou

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-03-27 03:05

Reviewer performed review: 2019-03-30 23:55

Review time: 3 Days and 20 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an informative paper with content having potential interest to the readers of the journal. The following points are suggested for further consideration. 1. The tile would be considered to be modified as "Multiline treatment of advanced squamous cell



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

carcinoma of lung : a case report and literature review", to my opinion. 2. P. 9, the word 'mo' would be better to write as "month". 3. It is necessary to state more clearly whether the patinet is alive with or without the existing lung lesion. 4. Can the author also supply some typical immunohistochemical pictures to the readers?

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

BPG Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No