

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Radiology

Manuscript NO: 47148

Title: Positron emission tomography/computed tomography imaging appearance of benign and classic “do not touch” osseous lesions

Reviewer’s code: 02669684

Reviewer’s country: Egypt

Science editor: Jin-Lei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-03-15 19:31

Reviewer performed review: 2019-03-15 19:43

Review time: 1 Hour

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer’s expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

work is good, idea is very important and common problem in the daily work. findings are interesting.



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Radiology

Manuscript NO: 47148

Title: Positron emission tomography/computed tomography imaging appearance of benign and classic “do not touch” osseous lesions

Reviewer’s code: 02577402

Reviewer’s country: China

Science editor: Jin-Lei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-03-11 00:44

Reviewer performed review: 2019-03-17 07:12

Review time: 6 Days and 6 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer’s expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this MS, the authors studied the PET/CT imaging appearance and intensity of benign and classic osseous lesions. Some issues existed. 1. Title: This title is not good and is confusing. It seems that the authors would like to use a very interesting title to attract the

authors' attention but unsuccessful. Please change it to a common title with focus on the main purpose of this article. Moreover, the title said that it will describe "PET/CT imaging appearance AND INTENSITY of benign and classic "do not touch" osseous lesions" . However, there is no imaging description of the imaging appearance. What does "do not touch" mean? Malignant? Benign? Please use a more common word for this. 2. Abstract: In this part, the authors said that -----to separate benign and malignant osseous lesions . However, there are no data regarding malignancy. The conclusion regarding "the CT appearance of these lesions" is not appropriate. There are only benign data in this article. So the title and the abstract are not good for this article. 3. Core tip is also to separate benign and malignant osseous lesions. Confusing. 4. Introduction: At the end of this part, the authors used "the purpose of this study-----" and later " the aim of this study is to show-----". Please combine these two sentences together to make one meaningful statement. 5. RESULTS: In this part, the authors said that "there were 287 patients with either classic "do not touch" lesions or classic benign lesions. Please state the meaning of "do not touch". Does it mean malignant? Benign? 6. Table 1: Please add the imaging appearance in table 1.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<https://www.wjgnet.com>

[] Duplicate publication

[] Plagiarism

[Y] No