

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 47228

Title: Feasibility of Laparoscopic isolated caudate lobe resection for rare hepatic mesenchymal neoplasms

Reviewer's code: 00053659

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Ying Dou

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-06-06 22:51

Reviewer performed review: 2019-06-06 23:31

Review time: 1 Hour

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Li et al. reported the feasibility of laparoscopic isolated caudate lobe resection for rare hepatic mesenchymal neoplasms. It is interesting, but several critical issues should make clear. First, the purpose of the paper is unclear. If you would like to present the



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

feasibility, you should show the location and size with clear images. The tumor margin of the figures was unclear. If you would like to present the rare tumor, you should show the list of the immunologic characters. Second, you listed 11 patients, including eight patients who received open surgery. If you would like to present a similar clinical outcome between the groups, you should analyze them statistically. You uploaded only three documents for surgical procedures, and please upload 11 consent forms for registering the studies. Third, I would suggest you should focus on three patients instead of eleven. The images to identify the tumor margin and character with different modalities would help us to understand what you did. Post-operative CT images would also help us so much.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No