Reply to Reviewer's Comments

'The author contributions should be specified.'
This has been done.

'A conflict-of-interest statement should be included in the manuscript.'
This has been done.

'A "Core Tip" is missing.'
This has now been inserted in writing and in audio form.

'Figures 3 and 4: The awaited permissions from Thieme should be provided; however, own images would be preferable. Figure 5: The picture of the vocal cords could be omitted.'
Permission has now been received for these images. The vocal cords picture has been omitted.

'Reference list: PMIDs and DOIs are not given. Some references are incomplete or not cnsistent with the guidelines of the journal. Please check also ref. 55: Is "Vol. 2013" correct? (This publication is usually cited as Public Health Paper No. 34, WHO 1968)'
References have been updated in line with journal guidelines and PMID/DOIs inserted. Reference 55 has been cited in line with guidelines.

'Section "Ultrathin Endoscopy", second paragraph: "thenasal cavity" -> the nasal cavity Section "Ultrathin Endoscopy", third paragraph: "The tolerability, safety and effectiveness of UTE lends itself well to use in endoscopic screening for esophageal disorders such as Barrett's esophagus and esophageal varices(11–13). BE can be reliably diagnosed…" -> suggestion: The tolerability, safety and effectiveness of UTE lends itself well to use in endoscopic screening for esophageal disorders such as Barrett's esophagus (BE) and esophageal varices(11–13). BE can be reliably diagnosed… Section "Capsule Endoscopy", third paragraph: "of74 %" -> of 74%. Section "Scanning single fiber endoscopy (SFE)", third paragraph: "…are identified at an immediate stage Research…" -> …are identified at an immediate stage. Research…; "…allows(47). . The progress…" -> …allows(47). The progress…'
These punctuation errors have been corrected.