

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Manuscript NO: 47376

Title: Current Status of Adjuvant Chemotherapy for Gastric Cancer

Reviewer's code: 00209021

Reviewer's country: Turkey

Science editor: Ying Dou

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-05-02 05:49

Reviewer performed review: 2019-05-07 11:58

Review time: 5 Days and 6 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors undertook a review concerning the "Current Status of Adjuvant Chemotherapy for Gastric Cancer". They should be congratulated for this high quality review. The manuscript is well-written with adequate reference to the current medical literature in the field. The content of the text is satisfactory enough and the table is



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

informative. The review can be published for educational purposes especially for clinicians in the field.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☒ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☒ No

Response to reviewer's comments:

Thank you for your kind review.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Manuscript NO: 47376

Title: Current Status of Adjuvant Chemotherapy for Gastric Cancer

Reviewer's code: 01806391

Reviewer's country: Spain

Science editor: Ying Dou

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-05-01 09:58

Reviewer performed review: 2019-05-12 06:37

Review time: 10 Days and 20 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this manuscript the authors have analyzed the current status of adjuvant chemotherapy for gastric cancer. The question is very interesting and the study contains valuable information. Major points 1. The English style is poor and the text contains



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

many grammatical errors. It is mandatory the text to be corrected by a native English-speaking person. 2. A brief description of available pharmacological alternatives is required before commenting their use in different regimens. 3. The author should include and comment the recent review on mechanisms of chemoresistance in gastric cancer PMID 26234359. 4. Some abbreviations are defined in table 1 legend, but they must be also defined at their first appearance in the text.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

Response to reviewer's comments:

1. Although I edited this manuscript using native English editing service in my country before submission, I edited again for revised manuscript using "Filipodia Publishing" that is recommended by BPG, for better quality of English in this manuscript.
2. Thank you for your advice. I added the paragraphs about strategies, regimens, and pharmacological alternatives before comparing between guidelines, for better

understanding. (page 6)

3. I added the paragraphs about chemoresistance (page 9). However, I think that detailed summary about molecular and pharmacologic aspects of chemoresistance is not matched to concept of this review, because this review is about the adjuvant chemotherapy of gastric cancer in clinical field (not a systemic chemotherapy for advanced/metastatic cancer). Therefore, I am sorry that I mentioned this topic only briefly. Again, thank you for giving me a good advice and information for the reference.
4. I revised the manuscript to define abbreviations at their first appearance in the text, including titles of guidelines, main text, and Table 1. Thank you.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Manuscript NO: 47376

Title: Current Status of Adjuvant Chemotherapy for Gastric Cancer

Reviewer's code: 00182054

Reviewer's country: Germany

Science editor: Ying Dou

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-05-06 13:44

Reviewer performed review: 2019-05-21 15:34

Review time: 15 Days and 1 Hour

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The methodology of the study has not been highlighted in a standardized way. I would suggest a better description, hence writing a methodology. In conclusion, this article by n-Hwan et al. covers an interesting topic. The study did a comprehensive summary on the adjuvant therapy in patients with advanced gastric cancer. However, there was no

added value on this topic. N-Hwan et al. only compared between three oncologic protocols. Moreover the results in western adjuvant therapy can not be directly compared to the Asian findings because on the preceded surgical approach Therefore I would suggest minor revision of the study.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☒ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☒ No

Response to reviewer's comments:

1. Thank you for your advice. I understand that methodology is needed for more objective conclusion of this review. However, in present situation, strategy for adjuvant chemotherapy of gastric cancer is used as too various ways according to countries. Therefore, I could not design systematic review or meta-analysis with well-defined methodology.
2. I totally agree with your opinion that Western and Asian findings about chemotherapy for gastric cancer can not be directly compared. Unfortunately, I could

not suggest any statistical results about proper strategy for this issue. So, I mentioned this limitations in “conclusion” section (page 10).

3. Thank you for concerning about this review. I agree that simple review about various guidelines can not help adding value in objective way. But, I hope you agree that this kind of issue about understanding each other (European, US, ASIA) is still important for young researchers to perform further studies about adjuvant chemotherapy for gastric cancer. Thank you very much.