



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

Manuscript NO: 47381

Title: Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance: Stressing the Future

Reviewer's code: 02634149

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-03-16 10:08

Reviewer performed review: 2019-03-19 23:56

Review time: 3 Days and 13 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This editorial article discusses the results of a recent large study demonstrating that a diagnosis of myocardial ischemia at stress CMR is associated with a significantly higher long-term risk of mortality. Overall, the editorial is interesting and well-written. The discussion of the results of the scrutinised study and the perspectives appear to be



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

appropriate. However, some aspects remain to be better elucidated. In details: The authors should better discuss previous studies demonstrating that the results of stress CMR are able to stratify cardiovascular risk. Cause of death was not known in the study by Heitner et al. Therefore, the prognostic impact of stress CMR on different cardiovascular events needs to be addressed in future studies. Stress CMR is expensive and difficult to be performed. Not all medical centers perform it. Therefore, among different perspectives the authors should also discuss the need to test whether a positive stress CMR predicts cardiovascular risk independently of other cheaper or easier non-invasive tests evaluating the presence of myocardial ischemia, such as stress echocardiography, ECG stress test or calcium-score. There are several typos and mistakes. English language should be improve

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

BPG Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

Manuscript NO: 47381

Title: Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance: Stressing the Future

Reviewer’s code: 02577402

Reviewer’s country: China

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-04-24 01:10

Reviewer performed review: 2019-04-26 11:36

Review time: 2 Days and 10 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer’s expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this MS, the authors did an editorial on cardiovascular MRI for stressing the future.

1. Key words: Please add “Cardiac stress” and “Magnetic resonance imaging “ as additional two key words.
2. Use of abbreviations: When using an abbreviation, the full phrase should be given at the first time of use. For example, myocardial infarct (MI).



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Later, you can always use MI instead of the full phrase. However, the authors did not abide by this rule. What do LVEF, LGE, FFR, MACE , MIB and MI mean in the text? Furthermore, the authors alternate between MI and myocardial infarct or between myocardial ischemic burden and MIB in the text. Please check the whole article and correct all similar problems. In summary, it is a well written paper.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

BPG Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No