



**PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 47434

**Title:** Successful repair of acute type A aortic dissection during pregnancy at 16 gestational weeks with maternal and fetal survival: A case report and review of the literature

**Reviewer’s code:** 00529915

**Reviewer’s country:** United Kingdom

**Science editor:** Ying Dou

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2019-07-03 18:08

**Reviewer performed review:** 2019-07-03 18:54

**Review time:** 1 Hour

| SCIENTIFIC QUALITY                                | LANGUAGE QUALITY  | CONCLUSION   | PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS                      |
|---|---|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent       | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing       | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept                    | Peer-Review:                                  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good       | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language | (High priority)                                    | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | polishing   | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept                    | <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair            | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of           | (General priority)                                 | Peer-reviewer’s expertise on the              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not          | language polishing  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision | topic of the manuscript:                      |
| publish   | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection                 | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision            | <input type="checkbox"/> Advanced             |
|   |   | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection                 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General   |
|   |   |  | <input type="checkbox"/> No expertise         |
|   |   |  | Conflicts-of-Interest:                        |
|   |   |  | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes                  |
|   |   |  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No        |

**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Dear authors The central idea of the report is interesting. In this report the discussion part is the most important and that should be impressive. Please give references where



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**Fax:** +1-925-223-8243  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

outcome was not good/positive. Please mention any factors which can impact the outcome and are modifiable. Some specific corrections are required as well: 1. The surgery used simultaneous intubation of the femoral and radial arteries. In this sentence "canulation" may be more appropriate than "intubation". 2. TTE is difficult to perform with the patients' cooperation because of acute chest pain In this sentence "with" should be replaced with "without" 3. instead of performing hysterectomy was safe and effective in reducing secondary Instead of performing it should be performing 4. Using cardiopulmonary bypass is dangerous Here "dangerous" may not be appropriate. The message could be that CPB carry serious risks

#### **INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT**

##### ***Google Search:***

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

##### ***BPG Search:***

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No



**PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 47434

**Title:** Successful repair of acute type A aortic dissection during pregnancy at 16 gestational weeks with maternal and fetal survival: A case report and review of the literature

**Reviewer’s code:** 03340662

**Reviewer’s country:** India

**Science editor:** Ying Dou

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2019-07-04 15:43

**Reviewer performed review:** 2019-07-07 15:05

**Review time:** 2 Days and 23 Hours

| SCIENTIFIC QUALITY                                | LANGUAGE QUALITY  | CONCLUSION   | PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS                                  |
|---|---|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent       | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing                 | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept                    | Peer-Review:  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good       | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing | (High priority)                                    | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous             |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good            |   | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept                    | <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous                          |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing  | (General priority)                                 | Peer-reviewer’s expertise on the topic of the manuscript: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish  | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection                           | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision | <input type="checkbox"/> Advanced                         |
|   |   | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General               |
|   |   | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection                 | <input type="checkbox"/> No expertise                     |
|   |   |  | Conflicts-of-Interest:                                    |
|   |   |  | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes                              |
|   |   |  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No                    |

**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

This case report appears alright. However, there is too much of repetition in the abstract, introduction and discussion. Please minimize repetition. Please provide demonstrative



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**Fax:** +1-925-223-8243  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

figures (intraoperative as well as CT angio and post repair). Please provide the information in the period between gestation week 16 and week 38.

#### **INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT**

##### ***Google Search:***

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

##### ***BPG Search:***

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No



**PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 47434

**Title:** Successful repair of acute type A aortic dissection during pregnancy at 16 gestational weeks with maternal and fetal survival: A case report and review of the literature

**Reviewer's code:** 03491752

**Reviewer's country:** Jordan

**Science editor:** Ying Dou

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2019-07-02 18:34

**Reviewer performed review:** 2019-07-09 20:43

**Review time:** 7 Days and 2 Hours

| SCIENTIFIC QUALITY                                     | LANGUAGE QUALITY   | CONCLUSION                                 | PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS                      |
|--|--|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept            | Peer-Review:                                  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language                 | (High priority)                            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good                 | polishing  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept | <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair                 | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of                | (General priority)                         | Peer-reviewer's expertise on the              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not               | language polishing   | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision    | topic of the manuscript:                      |
| publish  | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection                      | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision    | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced  |
|  |  | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection         | <input type="checkbox"/> General              |
|  |  |  | <input type="checkbox"/> No expertise         |
|  |  |  | Conflicts-of-Interest:                        |
|  |  |  | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes                  |
|  |  |  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No        |

**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Dear the authors Thank you for writing this case report which outlines a rare catastrophic complication in pregnancy i personally found it very informative and i liked



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**Fax:** +1-925-223-8243  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

the way of management described I have 3 points to be considered: 1. it would be of high value to describe to the readers the technique of surgical repair (method of cannulation, way of cerebral perfusion, extent of aortic replacement, etc) 2. could you describe the status of the descending aorta, and did you provide any kind of intervention later during the follow up period 3. Did you provide any kind of medications during surgery that augment stabilization of the uterus Thank you very much

#### **INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT**

##### ***Google Search:***

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

##### ***BPG Search:***

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No