**Name of Journal:** *World Journal of Meta-Analysis*

**Manuscript NO:** 47552

**Manuscript Type:** MINIREVIEWS

**Present state of endoscopic ultrasonography-guided fine needle aspiration for the diagnosis of autoimmune pancreatitis type 1**

Sugimoto M *et al*. EUS-FNA for AIP type 1

Mitsuru Sugimoto, Tadayuki Takagi, Rei Suzuki, Naoki Konno, Hiroyuki Asama, Yuki Sato, Hiroki Irie, Ko Watanabe, Jun Nakamura, Hitomi Kikuchi, Mika Takasumi, Minami Hashimoto, Takuto Hikichi, Hiromasa Ohira

**Mitsuru Sugimoto, Tadayuki Takagi, Rei Suzuki, Naoki Konno, Hiroyuki Asama, Yuki Sato, Hiroki Irie, Ko Watanabe, Jun Nakamura, Hitomi Kikuchi, Mika Takasumi, Minami Hashimoto, Hiromasa Ohira,** Department of Gastroenterology, Fukushima Medical University, School of Medicine, Fukushima 960-1247, Japan

**Ko Watanabe, Jun Nakamura, Hitomi Kikuchi, Minami Hashimoto, Takuto Hikichi,** Department of Endoscopy, Fukushima Medical University Hospital, Fukushima 960-1247, Japan

**ORCID number:** Mitsuru Sugimoto (0000-0002-4223-613X); Tadayuki Takag (0000-0003-0696-5973); Rei Suzuki (0000-0002-4049-0484); Naoki Konno (0000-0001-9830-4317); Hiroyuki Asama (0000-0002-0102-0404); Yuki Sato (0000-0001-8000-0972); Hiroki Irie (0000-0002-4805-6244); Ko Watanabe (0000-0003-3895-7636); Jun Nakamura (0000-0001-6006-1778); Mika Takasumi (0000-0002-6025-8084); Minami Hashimoto ([0000-0002-5750-7182](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5750-7182)); Takuto Hikichi (0000-0002-9815-1557); Hiromasa Ohira (0000-0003-4331-0634).

**Author contributions:** Sugimoto M designed and performed the study; Sugimoto M, Takag T and Ohira H analyzed the data; Sugimoto M, Takag T and Ohira H wrote the paper; Suzuki R, Konno N, Asama H, Hikichi T, Watanabe K, Nakamura J, Takasumi M, Sato Y, Hashimoto M, and Irie H provided clinical advice; Hikichi T and Ohira H supervised the study.

**Conflict-of-interest statement:** We have no financial relationships to disclose.

**Open-Access:** This article is an open-access article which was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

**Manuscript source:** Invited manuscript

**Corresponding author: Tadayuki Takagi, MD, PhD, Associate Professor, Doctor,** Department of Gastroenterology, Fukushima Medical University, 1 Hikarigaoka, Fukushima 960-1247, Japan. daccho@fmu.ac.jp

**Telephone:** +81-24-5471202

**Fax:** +81-24-5472055

**Received:** March 17, 2019

**Peer-review started:** March 18, 2019

**First decision:** April 13, 2019

**Revised:** April 27, 2019

**Accepted:** May 1, 2019

**Article in press:**

**Published online:**

**Abstract**

Autoimmune pancreatitis (AIP) is defined as pancreatitis caused by irregular narrowing of the pancreatic duct accompanied by pancreatic swelling, fibrosis and lymphocyte infiltration, events that are related to autoimmune mechanisms. The 2010 International Consensus Diagnostic Criteria for AIP defined pancreatitis as “type 1” when increased levels of serum IgG4 were present and other organs were involved; lymphoplasmacytic sclerosing pancreatitis was the main histological characteristic. Apart from surgery, endoscopic ultrasonography-guided fine needle aspiration (EUS-FNA) is the only method for the histological diagnosis of AIP; however, this method is difficult. The use of larger-diameter FNA needles and trucut biopsy did not improve the diagnostic performance of EUS-FNA, but it has improved gradually. In this review, we look back at past efforts to improve the diagnostic performance of EUS-FNA and reveal the present state of EUS-FNA for the histological diagnosis of AIP type 1.

**Key words:** Autoimmune pancreatitis type 1; Endoscopic ultrasonography-guided fine needle aspiration; IgG4-related disease; Lymphoplasmacytic sclerosing pancreatitis

**© The Author(s) 2019.** Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

**Core tip:** Apart from surgery, endoscopic ultrasonography-guided fine needle aspiration (EUS-FNA) is the only method for the histological diagnosis of autoimmune pancreatitis (AIP). However, this method is difficult. Several attempts to improve the diagnostic performance of EUS-FNA have been undertaken, with gradual success. In this review, we examine past efforts and discuss the present state of EUS-FNA for the histological diagnosis of AIP type 1.

Sugimoto M, Takagi T, Suzuki R, Konno N, Asama H, Sato Y, Irie H, Watanabe K, Nakamura J, Kikuchi H, Takasumi M, Hashimoto M, Hikichi T, Ohira H. Present state of endoscopic ultrasonography-guided fine needle aspiration for the diagnosis of autoimmune pancreatitis type 1. *World J Meta-Anal* 2019; In press

**INTRODUCTION**

In 1993, a case accompanied by lymphadenopathy and IgG4 hypergammaglobulinemia was reported by Suzuki *et al*[[1](#_ENREF_1)]. Thereafter, the concept of IgG4-related disease (IgG4-RD) was established as follows: IgG4-RD is characterized by elevated serum IgG4 accompanied by the swelling of multiple organs or tumoral lesions infiltrated by lymphocytes, with IgG4-positive plasma cells and fibrosis observed throughout the body[[2](#_ENREF_2),[3](#_ENREF_3)]. In gastroenterology, autoimmune pancreatitis (AIP) type 1[[4](#_ENREF_4),[5](#_ENREF_5)] and IgG4-related sclerosing cholangitis (IgG4-SC)[[6-8](#_ENREF_6)] are the primary presentations.

AIP was defined by Yoshida *et al*[[9](#_ENREF_9)] as pancreatitis caused by irregular narrowing of the pancreatic duct accompanied by pancreatic swelling, fibrosis and lymphocyte infiltration, events that are related to autoimmune mechanisms. Moreover, Hamano *et al*[[4](#_ENREF_4)] reported elevated levels of serum IgG4 in AIP patients. The 2010 International Consensus Diagnostic Criteria (ICDC) for AIP defined pancreatitis as “type 1” when the levels of serum IgG4 are elevated and other organs are involved; lymphoplasmacytic sclerosing pancreatitis (LPSP) is considered the main histological characteristic[[10](#_ENREF_10)]. Four characteristics have been identified as important for diagnosing LPSP, namely, periductal lymphoplasmacytic infiltrate without granulocytic infiltration, obliterative phlebitis, storiform fibrosis, and abundant (> 10 cells/HPF) IgG4-positive cells (Figure 1). The presence of three of these four characteristics is defined as level 1 histological findings. The presence of only two of these characteristics is defined as level 2 histological findings.

AIP can be diagnosed by imaging and increased serum IgG4 levels or by other methods[[11](#_ENREF_11)]. However, a histological diagnosis of AIP requires level 1 pancreatic histological findings. Apart from surgery, endoscopic ultrasonography-guided fine needle aspiration (EUS-FNA) is the only method for the histological diagnosis of AIP. Furthermore, AIP cases associated with pancreatic cancer have been reported[[12-16](#_ENREF_12)]. Therefore, EUS-FNA is important for the safe and noninvasive distinction of AIP from pancreatic cancer. In this report, we discuss the efficacy and present diagnostic performance of EUS-FNA for AIP type 1.

**SEARCH METHODS**

Reports were searched in PubMed and the Cochrane Library by using the following keywords: “autoimmune pancreatitis” and “endoscopic ultrasonography-guided fine needle aspiration”. Among the searched reports, only original articles were included in this review. Furthermore, we performed a manual search and added such articles to this review as necessary.

We introduce the achievements in the included reports in the following order: Before and after the ICDC, conventional EUS-FNA (22-gauge, 19-gauge), EUS-TCB, multicenter studies, and special needles.

**REPORTS BEFORE THE ICDC**

Before the ICDC, few reports described the use of EUS-FNA for the histological diagnosis of AIP type 1. In 2005, Deshpande *et al*[[17](#_ENREF_17)] performed EUS-FNA in 16 AIP patients. Among these patients, three were found to have false-positive cytological diagnoses (one adenocarcinoma, one solid pseudopapillary neoplasm, and one mucinous neoplasm). The cellularity of the stromal fragments was significantly higher in the AIP samples than in the control samples (adenocarcinoma, chronic pancreatitis, *etc.*). In the same year, researchers performed EUS-guided trucut biopsy (EUS-TCB) in three AIP patients. In two of the three patients, fibrosis and lymphoplasmacytic infiltration were observed. In 2009, Mizuno *et al*[[18](#_ENREF_18)] performed EUS-TCB, and among nine AIP patients, four were diagnosed with probable LPSP. In 2011, Khalid *et al*[[19](#_ENREF_19)] reported the diagnosis of two of 14 AIP patients with LPSP. In this period, the performance of EUS-FNA for the histological diagnosis of AIP was very poor.

**REPORTS AFTER THE ICDC**

After the ICDC were established, several reports described the use of EUS-FNA for the diagnosis of AIP. The results of these studies (excluding case reports) are shown in Table 1. In the following sections, we describe the details of these studies.

**CONVENTIONAL EUS-FNA BY 22-GAUGE NEEDLE**

First, the results of EUS-FNA using a 22-gauge needle were described. In 2011, Imai *et al*[[20](#_ENREF_20)] reported the results of 21 AIP patients who underwent EUS-FNA. The AIP patients could not be histologically diagnosed with AIP. In 2012, Ishikawa *et al*[[21](#_ENREF_21)] reported that level 1 histological findings as defined by the ICDC were observed in 9 of 39 EUS-FNA specimens from AIP type 1 patients, and level 1 or level 2 histological findings were observed in 14 of 39 patients. In 2018, Cao *et al*[[22](#_ENREF_22)] reported the results of EUS-FNA in 27 AIP patients: 18.5% (5/27) of the AIP patients showed level 1 histological findings, and 62.96% (17/27) showed level 1 or level 2 histological findings. Although these results were somewhat insufficient, they represented a gradual improvement.

**CONVENTIONAL EUS-FNA BY 19-GAUGE NEEDLE**

In 2012, Iwashita *et al*[[23](#_ENREF_23)] reported the results of 44 AIP patients who underwent EUS-FNA using a 19-gauge needle. In this report, 39% (17/44) showed level 1 histological findings, and 43% (19/44) showed level 1 or level 2 histological findings. Although the diameter of the needle was larger, more specimens could not be sampled.

**EUS-TCB**

As mentioned above, EUS-TCB achieved somewhat modest results before the ICDC were announced. Before the ICDC, almost all of the reports on using EUS-FNA to identify AIP type 1 asserted that AIP could not be histologically diagnosed by EUS-FNA. However, Mizuno *et al*[[18](#_ENREF_18)] reported the efficacy of EUS-TCB (referred to in the section REPORTS BEFORE THE ICDC).

**MULTICENTER STUDIES**

Because AIP is a relatively rare disease, studies of EUS-FNA in AIP patients have had limited sample sizes. However, in 2016, two multicenter studies were performed, both of which used a 22-gauge needle for EUS-FNA.

Morishima *et al*[[24](#_ENREF_24)] performed a multicenter study in which 18 hospitals took part, and 41 AIP type 1 patients were entered in the study. The number of needle passes was 2.01 ± 0.48 (1-4). In this report, 65.8% (27/41) of the patients showed level 2 histological findings. However, none of the patients showed level 1 histological findings. In addition, storiform fibrosis and obliterative phlebitis were not observed.

Kanno *et al*[[25](#_ENREF_25)] performed a multicenter study in which twelve institutions participated. The report included 78 AIP type 1 patients. The number of needle passes was 3.4 ± 1.3. In this report, 41.0% (32/78) of patients showed level 1 histological findings, and 57.7% (45/78) showed level 1 or level 2 histological findings. Twenty-four (19/78) patients showed abundant (> 10 cells/HPF) IgG4-positive cells. A total of 62.8% (49/78) of patients showed storiform fibrosis, and 48.7% (38/78) of patients showed obliterative phlebitis. These two reports provided sufficient evidence that EUS-FNA could be used for the diagnosis of level 2 histological findings.

**EUS-FNA BY SPECIAL NEEDLES**

Due to the difficulty of the histological diagnosis of AIP, EUS-FNA was performed using special needles. Kanno *et al*[[26](#_ENREF_26)] used an automated spring-loaded Powershot needle (NA11J-KB; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). In this report, 56% (14/25) of patients showed level 1 histological findings, and 80% (20/25) showed level 1 or level 2 histological findings. Obliterative phlebitis was observed in 40% (10/25) of patients. Although it is very difficult to prove obliterative phlebitis by EUS-FNA, this report showed promising results.

Recently, the use of SharkCore (Medtronic, Sunnydale, Calif) needles for EUS-guided fine needle biopsy (EUS-FNB) in AIP patients was reported. According to a report written by Detlefsen *et al*[[27](#_ENREF_27)] in 2017, one AIP type 1 patient showed level 2 histological findings. However, obliterative phlebitis was observed in this patient. In the same year, Runge *et al*[[28](#_ENREF_28)] reported EUS-FNB in two patients. Both patients showed a marked increase in IgG4-positive plasma cells. Although storiform fibrosis and obliterative phlebitis were not reported, these two patients likely showed level 2 or higher histological findings. In 2018, Bhattacharya *et al*[[29](#_ENREF_29)] reported two patients who showed level 1 histological findings by EUS-FNB. Although the three reports on EUS-FNB using the SharkCore needle were case reports, all cases showed some histological findings as defined by the ICDC. The diagnostic performance of EUS-FNA for AIP could be improved by the further development of such needles.

**CONCLUSION**

Diagnosing AIP type 1 by EUS-FNA is currently difficult. However, the diagnostic performance of EUS-FNA for AIP is gradually improving over time and with the further development of special needles. In the future, further advancement of FNA needles and puncture methods will be warranted to improve the histological diagnosis of AIP.

**REFERENCES**

1 **Suzuki S**, Kida S, Ohira Y, Ohba T, Miyata M, Nishimaki T, Morito T, Kasukawa R, Hojyo H, Wakasa H. [A case of Sjögren's syndrome accompanied by lymphadenopathy and IgG4 hypergammaglobulinemia]. *Ryumachi* 1993; **33**: 249-254 [PMID: 8346468]

2 **Umehara H**, Okazaki K, Masaki Y, Kawano M, Yamamoto M, Saeki T, Matsui S, Yoshino T, Nakamura S, Kawa S, Hamano H, Kamisawa T, Shimosegawa T, Shimatsu A, Nakamura S, Ito T, Notohara K, Sumida T, Tanaka Y, Mimori T, Chiba T, Mishima M, Hibi T, Tsubouchi H, Inui K, Ohara H. Comprehensive diagnostic criteria for IgG4-related disease (IgG4-RD), 2011. *Mod Rheumatol* 2012; **22**: 21-30 [PMID: 22218969 DOI: 10.1007/s10165-011-0571-z]

3 **Stone JH**, Zen Y, Deshpande V. IgG4-related disease. *N Engl J Med* 2012; **366**: 539-551 [PMID: 22316447 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMra1104650]

4 **Hamano H**, Kawa S, Horiuchi A, Unno H, Furuya N, Akamatsu T, Fukushima M, Nikaido T, Nakayama K, Usuda N, Kiyosawa K. High serum IgG4 concentrations in patients with sclerosing pancreatitis. *N Engl J Med* 2001; **344**: 732-738 [PMID: 11236777 DOI: 10.1056/nejm200103083441005]

5 **Okazaki K**, Uchida K, Koyabu M, Miyoshi H, Takaoka M. Recent advances in the concept and diagnosis of autoimmune pancreatitis and IgG4-related disease. *J Gastroenterol* 2011; **46**: 277-288 [PMID: 21452084 DOI: 10.1007/s00535-011-0386-x]

6 **Zen Y**, Harada K, Sasaki M, Sato Y, Tsuneyama K, Haratake J, Kurumaya H, Katayanagi K, Masuda S, Niwa H, Morimoto H, Miwa A, Uchiyama A, Portmann BC, Nakanuma Y. IgG4-related sclerosing cholangitis with and without hepatic inflammatory pseudotumor, and sclerosing pancreatitis-associated sclerosing cholangitis: do they belong to a spectrum of sclerosing pancreatitis? *Am J Surg Pathol* 2004; **28**: 1193-1203 [PMID: 15316319 DOI: 10.1097/01.pas.0000136449.37936.6c]

7 **Hamano H**, Kawa S, Uehara T, Ochi Y, Takayama M, Komatsu K, Muraki T, Umino J, Kiyosawa K, Miyagawa S. Immunoglobulin G4-related lymphoplasmacytic sclerosing cholangitis that mimics infiltrating hilar cholangiocarcinoma: part of a spectrum of autoimmune pancreatitis? *Gastrointest Endosc* 2005; **62**: 152-157 [PMID: 15990840 DOI: 10.1016/S0016-5107(05)00561-4]

8 **Naitoh I**, Nakazawa T, Ohara H, Ando T, Hayashi K, Tanaka H, Okumura F, Takahashi S, Joh T. Endoscopic transpapillary intraductal ultrasonography and biopsy in the diagnosis of IgG4-related sclerosing cholangitis. *J Gastroenterol* 2009; **44**: 1147-1155 [PMID: 19636664 DOI: 10.1007/s00535-009-0108-9]

9 **Yoshida K**, Toki F, Takeuchi T, Watanabe S, Shiratori K, Hayashi N. Chronic pancreatitis caused by an autoimmune abnormality. Proposal of the concept of autoimmune pancreatitis. *Dig Dis Sci* 1995; **40**: 1561-1568 [PMID: 7628283]

10 **Shimosegawa T**, Chari ST, Frulloni L, Kamisawa T, Kawa S, Mino-Kenudson M, Kim MH, Klöppel G, Lerch MM, Löhr M, Notohara K, Okazaki K, Schneider A, Zhang L; International Association of Pancreatology. International consensus diagnostic criteria for autoimmune pancreatitis: guidelines of the International Association of Pancreatology. *Pancreas* 2011; **40**: 352-358 [PMID: 21412117 DOI: 10.1097/MPA.0b013e3182142fd2]

11 **Sugimoto M**, Takagi T, Suzuki R, Konno N, Asama H, Watanabe K, Nakamura J, Kikuchi H, Waragai Y, Takasumi M, Sato Y, Hikichi T, Ohira H. Endoscopic Ultrasonography-Guided Fine Needle Aspiration Can Be Used to Rule Out Malignancy in Autoimmune Pancreatitis Patients. *J Ultrasound Med* 2017; **36**: 2237-2244 [PMID: 28670760 DOI: 10.1002/jum.14265]

12 **Inoue H**, Miyatani H, Sawada Y, Yoshida Y. A case of pancreas cancer with autoimmune pancreatitis. *Pancreas* 2006; **33**: 208-209 [PMID: 16868495 DOI: 10.1097/01.mpa.0000232329.35822.3a]

13 **Fukui T**, Mitsuyama T, Takaoka M, Uchida K, Matsushita M, Okazaki K. Pancreatic cancer associated with autoimmune pancreatitis in remission. *Intern Med* 2008; **47**: 151-155 [PMID: 18239323 DOI: 10.2169/internalmedicine.47.0334]

14 **Matsubayashi H**, Matsunaga K, Uesaka K, Fukutomi A, Sasaki K, Furukawa H, Ono H. A case of pancreatic carcinoma with suspected autoimmune pancreatitis. *Clin J Gastroenterol* 2009; **2**: 59-63 [PMID: 26191812 DOI: 10.1007/s12328-008-0045-9]

15 **Motosugi U**, Ichikawa T, Yamaguchi H, Nakazawa T, Katoh R, Itakura J, Fujii H, Sato T, Araki T, Shimizu M. Small invasive ductal adenocarcinoma of the pancreas associated with lymphoplasmacytic sclerosing pancreatitis. *Pathol Int* 2009; **59**: 744-747 [PMID: 19788620 DOI: 10.1111/j.1440-1827.2009.02437.x]

16 **Chandrasegaram MD**, Chiam SC, Nguyen NQ, Ruszkiewicz A, Chung A, Neo EL, Chen JW, Worthley CS, Brooke-Smith ME. A case of pancreatic cancer in the setting of autoimmune pancreatitis with nondiagnostic serum markers. *Case Rep Surg* 2013; **2013**: 809023 [PMID: 23781378 DOI: 10.1155/2013/809023]

17 **Deshpande V**, Mino-Kenudson M, Brugge WR, Pitman MB, Fernandez-del Castillo C, Warshaw AL, Lauwers GY. Endoscopic ultrasound guided fine needle aspiration biopsy of autoimmune pancreatitis: diagnostic criteria and pitfalls. *Am J Surg Pathol* 2005; **29**: 1464-1471 [PMID: 16224213 DOI: 10.1097/01.pas.0000173656.49557.48]

18 **Mizuno N**, Bhatia V, Hosoda W, Sawaki A, Hoki N, Hara K, Takagi T, Ko SB, Yatabe Y, Goto H, Yamao K. Histological diagnosis of autoimmune pancreatitis using EUS-guided trucut biopsy: a comparison study with EUS-FNA. *J Gastroenterol* 2009; **44**: 742-750 [PMID: 19434362 DOI: 10.1007/s00535-009-0062-6]

19 **Khalid A**, Dewitt J, Ohori NP, Chen JH, Fasanella KE, Sanders M, McGrath KM, Nikiforova M. EUS-FNA mutational analysis in differentiating autoimmune pancreatitis and pancreatic cancer. *Pancreatology* 2011; **11**: 482-486 [PMID: 21997479 DOI: 10.1159/000331505]

20 **Imai K**, Matsubayashi H, Fukutomi A, Uesaka K, Sasaki K, Ono H. Endoscopic ultrasonography-guided fine needle aspiration biopsy using 22-gauge needle in diagnosis of autoimmune pancreatitis. *Dig Liver Dis* 2011; **43**: 869-874 [PMID: 21733766 DOI: 10.1016/j.dld.2011.05.021]

21 **Ishikawa T**, Itoh A, Kawashima H, Ohno E, Matsubara H, Itoh Y, Nakamura Y, Hiramatsu T, Nakamura M, Miyahara R, Ohmiya N, Goto H, Hirooka Y. Endoscopic ultrasound-guided fine needle aspiration in the differentiation of type 1 and type 2 autoimmune pancreatitis. *World J Gastroenterol* 2012; **18**: 3883-3888 [PMID: 22876041 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v18.i29.3883]

22 **Cao L**, Wang Y, Wang J, Guo Q, Chen Q, Wu X, Tang SJ, Cheng B. The role of EUS-guided fine needle aspiration in autoimmune pancreatitis: a single center prospective study. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 2018; **53**: 1604-1610 [PMID: 30422724 DOI: 10.1080/00365521.2018.1534137]

23 **Iwashita T**, Yasuda I, Doi S, Ando N, Nakashima M, Adachi S, Hirose Y, Mukai T, Iwata K, Tomita E, Itoi T, Moriwaki H. Use of samples from endoscopic ultrasound-guided 19-gauge fine-needle aspiration in diagnosis of autoimmune pancreatitis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2012; **10**: 316-322 [PMID: 22019795 DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2011.09.032]

24 **Morishima T**, Kawashima H, Ohno E, Yamamura T, Funasaka K, Nakamura M, Miyahara R, Watanabe O, Ishigami M, Shimoyama Y, Nakamura S, Hashimoto S, Goto H, Hirooka Y. Prospective multicenter study on the usefulness of EUS-guided FNA biopsy for the diagnosis of autoimmune pancreatitis. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2016; **84**: 241-248 [PMID: 26777565 DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2016.01.016]

25 **Kanno A**, Masamune A, Fujishima F, Iwashita T, Kodama Y, Katanuma A, Ohara H, Kitano M, Inoue H, Itoi T, Mizuno N, Miyakawa H, Mikata R, Irisawa A, Sato S, Notohara K, Shimosegawa T. Diagnosis of autoimmune pancreatitis by EUS-guided FNA using a 22-gauge needle: a prospective multicenter study. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2016; **84**: 797-804.e1 [PMID: 27068878 DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2016.03.1511]

26 **Kanno A**, Ishida K, Hamada S, Fujishima F, Unno J, Kume K, Kikuta K, Hirota M, Masamune A, Satoh K, Notohara K, Shimosegawa T. Diagnosis of autoimmune pancreatitis by EUS-FNA by using a 22-gauge needle based on the International Consensus Diagnostic Criteria. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2012; **76**: 594-602 [PMID: 22898417 DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2012.05.014]

27 **Detlefsen S**, Joergensen MT, Mortensen MB. Microscopic findings in EUS-guided fine needle (SharkCore) biopsies with type 1 and type 2 autoimmune pancreatitis. *Pathol Int* 2017; **67**: 514-520 [PMID: 28868649 DOI: 10.1111/pin.12563]

28 **Runge TM**, Hart PA, Sasatomi E, Baron TH. Diagnosis of autoimmune pancreatitis using new, flexible EUS core biopsy needles: report of 2 cases. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2017; **85**: 1311-1312 [PMID: 28522022 DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2017.02.003]

29 **Bhattacharya A**, Cruise M, Chahal P. Endoscopic ultrasound guided 22 gauge core needle biopsy for the diagnosis of Autoimmune pancreatitis. *Pancreatology* 2018; **18**: 168-169 [PMID: 29338920 DOI: 10.1016/j.pan.2018.01.003]

**P-Reviewer:** Chow WK, Fouad YM, Friedel D, Morelli L **S-Editor:** Ji FF **L-Editor: E-Editor:**

**Specialty type:** Medicine, research and experimental

**Country of origin:** Japan

**Peer-review report classification**

Grade A (Excellent): 0

Grade B (Very good): B

Grade C (Good): C, C


Grade D (Fair): D

Grade E (Poor): 0


**Table 1 Studies on the use of endoscopic ultrasonography-guided fine needle aspiration for the diagnosis of autoimmune pancreatitis after the International Consensus Diagnostic Criteria (excluding case reports)**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Ref.** | **Year** | **Needle (G)** | **No. of needle passes** | ***n*** | **LPI** | **OP** | **SF** | **IgG4 (+) PC** | **Level 1 HF** | **Level 1 or 2 HF** |
| Conventional EUS-FNA of single-center study | | | | | | | | | | |
| Imai *et al*[[20](#_ENREF_20)] | 2011 | 22 | 3.5 ± 0.9 | 21 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ishikawa *et al* [[21](#_ENREF_21)] | 2012 | 22 | 2.0 ± 0.48 | 39 | 16 | 0 | 34 | 10 | 9 | 14 |
| Cao *et al*[[22](#_ENREF_22)] | 2018 | 22 | 3.59 ± 1.28 | 27 | 18 | 0 | 18 | 8 | 5 | 17 |
| Iwashita *et al* [[23](#_ENREF_23)] | 2012 | 19 | 3.0 | 44 | 23 | 21 | 38 | 5 | 17 | 19 |
| Multicenter study | | | | | | | | | | |
| Morishima *et al* [[24](#_ENREF_24)] | 2016 | 22 | 2.02 ± 0.48 | 41 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 27 |
| Kanno *et al* [[25](#_ENREF_25)] | 2016 | 22 | 3.4 ± 1.3 | 78 | 43 | 38 | 49 | 19 | 32 | 45 |
| EUS-FNA by automated spring-loaded Powershot needle | | | | | | | | | | |
| Kanno *et al* [[26](#_ENREF_26)] | 2012 | 22 | 3-7 | 25 | 23 | 4 | 20 | 9 | 14 | 20 |


EUS-FNA: Endoscopic ultrasonography-guided fine needle aspiration; LPI: Lymphoplasmacytic infiltrate without granulocytic infiltration; OP: Obliterative phlebitis; SF: Storiform fibrosis; IgG4 (+) PC: Abundant (> 10 cells/HPF) IgG4-positive cells; Level 1 HF: Level 1 histological findings; Level 2 HF: Level 1 or level 2 histological findings.



A



B



C

**Figure 1 Histological findings in lymphoplasmacytic sclerosing pancreatitis by endoscopic ultrasonography-guided fine needle aspiration.** A: Obliterative phlebitis was observed, highlighted in an ellipse (EM × 200); B: Plasma cells and storiform fibrosis were observed (HE × 400); C: IgG4-positive plasma cells were observed (IgG4 immunostaining × 200).