



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology

Manuscript NO: 47841

Title: An observational study with quality assessment using Brief Cancer-Related Worry Inventory before and after gynecologic surgery for women

Reviewer’s code: 02648149

Reviewer’s country: Turkey

Science editor: Li-Jun Cui

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-06-10 07:33

Reviewer performed review: 2019-06-14 09:59

Review time: 4 Days and 2 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer’s expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The study is aiming to identify the possible risk factors contributing to anxiety in gynecological cancer patients. There are many grammer and expression errors. Some parts of the manuscript are hard to understand and dull. There are unexpected expressions.



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

For example "no family patients" Whole manuscript should be reviewed by a native English speaking person. I have some concern about statistics. Because the sample size is small, how was the distribution of the parameters. For non-normally distributed parameters Mann Whitney Test would be appreciated. And, why did not the authors use paired t test or Wilcoxon test for pre-after comparison. Despite the minor problems, the study has some value for publication following a revision. Yours Sincerely.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

BPG Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No