

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

**Manuscript NO:** 48193

**Title:** Pancreatogastrostomy Versus Pancreatojejunostomy After  
Pancreaticoduodenectomy: An Update Meta-Analysis of RCTs and Our Experience

**Reviewer's code:** 00057659

**Reviewer's country:** Germany

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2019-05-06 06:49

**Reviewer performed review:** 2019-05-06 10:03

**Review time:** 3 Hours

| SCIENTIFIC QUALITY                                | LANGUAGE QUALITY   | CONCLUSION                                    | PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS                      |
|---|--|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent       | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept               | Peer-Review:                                  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good       | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language                 | (High priority)                               | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good            | polishing  | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept               | <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous              |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of                | (General priority)                            | Peer-reviewer's expertise on the              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not          | language polishing   | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision       | topic of the manuscript:                      |
| publish   | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection                      | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision       | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced  |
|   |  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection | <input type="checkbox"/> General              |
|   |  |   | <input type="checkbox"/> No expertise         |
|   |  |   | Conflicts-of-Interest:                        |
|   |  |   | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes                  |
|   |  |   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No        |

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

There are numerous reviews and meta-analyses regarding the different reconstruction techniques after pancreatic head resection. This paper does not deliver new insights into this Topic.



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**Fax:** +1-925-223-8243  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

## INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

### *Google Search:*

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

### *BPG Search:*

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

**Manuscript NO:** 48193

**Title:** Pancreatogastrostomy Versus Pancreatojejunostomy After  
Pancreaticoduodenectomy: An Update Meta-Analysis of RCTs and Our Experience

**Reviewer's code:** 02445561

**Reviewer's country:** India

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2019-05-09 20:58

**Reviewer performed review:** 2019-05-09 21:10

**Review time:** 1 Hour

| SCIENTIFIC QUALITY                                     | LANGUAGE QUALITY  | CONCLUSION                                 | PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS                      |
|--|---|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent            | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing       | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept            | Peer-Review:                                  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language | (High priority)                            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good                 | polishing   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept | <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair                 | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of           | (General priority)                         | Peer-reviewer's expertise on the              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not               | language polishing  | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision    | topic of the manuscript:                      |
| publish  | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection                 | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision    | <input type="checkbox"/> Advanced             |
|  |   | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection         | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General   |
|  |   |  | <input type="checkbox"/> No expertise         |
|  |   |  | Conflicts-of-Interest:                        |
|  |   |  | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes                  |
|  |   |  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No        |

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

A well constructed and reported study.

### INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**Fax:** +1-925-223-8243  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

*Google Search:*

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

*BPG Search:*

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

**Manuscript NO:** 48193

**Title:** Pancreatogastrostomy Versus Pancreatojejunostomy After  
Pancreaticoduodenectomy: An Update Meta-Analysis of RCTs and Our Experience

**Reviewer's code:** 01438831

**Reviewer's country:** Japan

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2019-05-07 13:33

**Reviewer performed review:** 2019-05-14 03:54

**Review time:** 6 Days and 14 Hours

| SCIENTIFIC QUALITY                                     | LANGUAGE QUALITY   | CONCLUSION                                 | PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS                      |
|--|--|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept            | Peer-Review:                                  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language                 | (High priority)                            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good                 | polishing  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept | <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair                 | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of                | (General priority)                         | Peer-reviewer's expertise on the              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not               | language polishing   | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision    | topic of the manuscript:                      |
| publish  | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection                      | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision    | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced  |
|  |  | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection         | <input type="checkbox"/> General              |
|  |  |  | <input type="checkbox"/> No expertise         |
|  |  |  | Conflicts-of-Interest:                        |
|  |  |  | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes                  |
|  |  |  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No        |

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This review is on comparison of PG and PJ, which is in issue for a long time. The manuscript is well written and informative. Authors describe that the incidence of postoperative hemorrhage is lower in PJ than PG. It is well known that pancreatic fistula



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**Fax:** +1-925-223-8243  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

causes intraabdominal hemorrhage. Though grade B and C PF was not significantly different between two groups, PF is generally prone to be lower in PG. Our concern is what kind of bleeding occur in PG. My personal concern is the difference of long term complication, mainly nutritious status, between PJ and PG.

#### INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

##### *Google Search:*

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

##### *BPG Search:*

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No