



**PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

**Manuscript NO:** 48265

**Title:** Abnormal CD44 Activation of Hepatocytes with Nonalcoholic Fatty Accumulation in Rat Hepatocarcinogenesis

**Reviewer’s code:** 00073640

**Reviewer’s country:** Slovenia

**Science editor:** Ying Dou

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2019-04-15 07:02

**Reviewer performed review:** 2019-04-16 06:33

**Review time:** 23 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer’s expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The title/subject is very interesting and topical, the manuscript is well structured. However, it is very difficult to read the manuscript because of English, which needs significant corrections. In addition, there are important issues which should be



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**Fax:** +1-925-223-8243  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

addressed in the manuscript very clearly. Authors conducted experiment on animals, thus they should include the following information. Material and method section: - Information about the approval of the animal experiment and licence number - Information about the origin of the rats - Explanation, why the number of the rats in 5 groups were different (in the results section there are data: control group n= 12, NAFLD group n=24, hepatocyte damage n=17, precancerosis n=15, HCC formation n=10) - Information, who made the diets (NAFLD and 2-FAA) and in what form the diet was given to rats (manufacturer, code of the diet, pellets etc). What is 75% common diet - authors should state manufacturer, code of the diet. Since diet is in this experiment very important data they should state all the information about the preparation of the diet-who mixed the diets and prepared both diets, consumption of the diet intake during experiment etc. - The design of the experiment is not clear. Authors wrote that animals received high fat diet for 2 weeks and then high fat diet plus 2-FAA (for inducing HCC formation) and then rats were sacrificed every 2 weeks. I strongly suggest explaining the design of the experiment and include schematic presentation of the design. - Authors should also state the microbiological state of the animals (health monitoring report), because there are a number microorganisms in rodents that do not cause clinical disease but can significantly affect the liver pathology and consequently affect the reproducibility and validity of the study - What were the housing conditions - how were animals housed ( in groups, singly), bedding material, space, enrichment? All these information can affect the animal health and consequently the results and affect reproducibility and validity of the study - Liver tissues and Serum samples sections should follow the fatty accumulated HCC model section and should state, what they measured in liver and serum - then should follow histological and biochemical analyses. It is strange that authors used more space for the explanation of the simple standard methods of histology than explanation of the animal experiment, which is much more



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**Fax:** +1-925-223-8243  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

complicated and had numerous factors that can affect results and thus should be described. - Since authors measured the lipid profile in the blood, they should also state the time of the killing of animals for all groups as well as information about the potential fasting of animals (circadian rhythms of cholesterol levels may affect the results significantly). - Authors wrote that they investigated different stages of HCC development - i.e. "hepatocytes damage (denaturation), the precancerosis and HCC formation". Authors explained that the liver were assessed histologically (HE staining) but did not explain the criteria for all the stages (control, NAFLD, denaturation, precancerousis, HCC) and how they evaluate these stages. I am a pathologist and I am very curious how the authors assessed hepatocyte damage, precancerosis and HCC formation stages? The term denaturation is not correct!!!! - Authors used also OilRed staining to evaluate the lipid droplets (macro or micovesicular stosis). Thus, if there is lipid accumulation in the liver tissue big red droplets should be seen (i.e. macrovesicular steatosis) or numerous small red droplets should be seen in the hepatocytes (i.e. microvesicular steatosis). Instead, in the figure 1b,c,d I can see only red color without any shape, suggesting that the staining with OilRed was not performed correctly. Figure 1e shows microvesicular stosis. - Likewise, the figures of the immunohistological staining (Figure 2) show, that the staining was correctly performed - it is non-specific, there are background and artefacts. - The use of IOD values in such cases is useless. I strongly suggest including the experienced pathologist in the study to evaluate the stages of hepatocarcinogenesis, the stosis (micro or macrovesicular) and the immunostaining. - In the results section you should include the data about the health of the rats, their body weight during experiment, the weight of the organs (liver, spleen, kidney) and the weight of the visceral fat of rats at the autopsy - these data are necessary in animal experiments



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**Fax:** +1-925-223-8243  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

**INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT**

*Google Search:*

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- Y] No

*BPG Search:*

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- Y] No



**PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

**Manuscript NO:** 48265

**Title:** Abnormal CD44 Activation of Hepatocytes with Nonalcoholic Fatty Accumulation in Rat Hepatocarcinogenesis

**Reviewer’s code:** 02440884

**Reviewer’s country:** Germany

**Science editor:** Ying Dou

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2019-04-15 20:50

**Reviewer performed review:** 2019-04-16 20:40

**Review time:** 23 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer’s expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

In the experimental study the role of CD 44 in carcinogenesis of hepatocellular carcinoma was addressed. A rat model of NAFLD (high fat diet) and HCC induction with 2-fluorenylacetamide was used. The authors demonstrate data indicating that an



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**Fax:** +1-925-223-8243  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://www.wjgnet.com**

increasing CD44 expression could be associated with the malignant transformation of hepatocytes in NAFLD. Comments 1. Histological evidence for severe NAFLD is given. The degree of inflammation should be addressed. 2. The authors claim that CD44 is involved with carcinogenesis. To further substantiate the data a more in-detail analysis of liver tissues is necessary. Is there any evidence for a low-/ or high-grade dysplastic nodule with accumulation of CD44? 3. The cell types expressing CD44 should be identified. Is there any evidence for CD44 synthesis by stellate cells?

#### **INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT**

##### ***Google Search:***

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

##### ***BPG Search:***

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No



**PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

**Manuscript NO:** 48265

**Title:** Abnormal CD44 Activation of Hepatocytes with Nonalcoholic Fatty Accumulation in Rat Hepatocarcinogenesis

**Reviewer's code:** 02451459

**Reviewer's country:** Singapore

**Science editor:** Ying Dou

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2019-04-16 23:26

**Reviewer performed review:** 2019-04-19 07:49

**Review time:** 2 Days and 8 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

General comments: This is a longitudinal study to establish the correlation between CD44 and the progression of NAFLD towards HCC. By using SD rats fed with high fat diet, followed by administration of 2-fluorenyl-acetamide to induce malignant



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**Fax:** +1-925-223-8243  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://www.wjgnet.com**

transformation, the expression of CD44 in the liver and serum were tracked and compared against liver injury markers and AFP. While there is clear association between CD44 and disease progression, this study did not allude to a cause and effect relationship. The potential of CD44 as a therapeutic target to arrest disease progression from NAFLD would require much more investigation. 1. It is known that not all NAFLD will progress into HCC. Therefore, if a high CD44 is seen at the early stage of NAFLD, it may not present prognostic value. Further study to indicate a cause and effect relationship would be important. I.e., does the silencing of CD44 blocks disease progression? 2. The description of the hepatocyte lipid content in the different treatments, do not correlate with the profile shown in Figure 1F. Figure 1F shows that NAFLD (b) and HCC (e) have the highest lipid content but the description in the maintext said that the precancerosis has the highest instead. 3. Please explain why different sample size was applied across the different arms of the experiment, ranging from n = 10 (for HCC arm) to n =24 (for NAFLD).

#### **INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT**

##### ***Google Search:***

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

##### ***BPG Search:***

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**Fax:** +1-925-223-8243  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
**https://**[www.wjgnet.com](http://www.wjgnet.com)

[ Y ] No



**PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

**Manuscript NO:** 48265

**Title:** Abnormal CD44 Activation of Hepatocytes with Nonalcoholic Fatty Accumulation in Rat Hepatocarcinogenesis

**Reviewer's code:** 00697631

**Reviewer's country:** Japan

**Science editor:** Ying Dou

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2019-04-16 11:03

**Reviewer performed review:** 2019-04-24 11:34

**Review time:** 8 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

RE: Manuscript NO: 48265 Fan M et al, Abnormal CD44 Activation of Hepatocytes with Nonalcoholic Fatty Accumulation in Rat Hepatocarcinogenesis Fan M et al examined the expression of CD44 that is regarded as a cancer stem cell (CSC) marker of HCC in



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**Fax:** +1-925-223-8243  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

NASH model over time. The authors described the changes of CD44 expression by measuring mRNA level, ELISA and immunohistochemical staining during the progression of NASH and hepatocarcinogenesis. The authors also showed the correlation between CD44 and AFP expression in the animal model. The data presented in the manuscript include interesting findings in the process of HCC development in the animal NASH model, however, there are serious concerns that should be addressed. 1. CD44 is a well established CSC marker of HCC, however recent studies reported that the expression of CD44 alone is not sufficient to account for all of the biological properties of CSCs (Salnikov AV et al, Cancer Lett 2009; 275:185). Why do the authors not examine the expression of another CSC marker of HCC, for example CD133 which is commonly used in combination with CD44? 2. The authors examined the expression AFP simultaneously and showed the positive correlation between CD44 and AFP expression. AFP is well known established marker of HCC, however, AFP is also produced by non-malignant liver progenitor cells. Therefore the authors should demonstrate the AFP-positive cells in the liver tissue and compare the distribution of CD44 and AFP to support the authors' conclusion. 3. The authors divided the liver disease stage like middle early stage and late stage. How long do the animals treated with high fat diet and/or 2-FAA? Specific period of treatment should be described. 4. There are typographical and grammatical errors throughout the manuscript. English should be checked by native speakers.

#### **INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT**

##### ***Google Search:***

- [ ] The same title
- [ ] Duplicate publication
- [ ] Plagiarism
- [ Y ] No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**Fax:** +1-925-223-8243  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
**https://**[www.wjgnet.com](http://www.wjgnet.com)

*BPG Search:*

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No