

Answering Reviewers

Title: Giant Nonfunctional Ectopic Adrenocortical Carcinoma on the Anterior Abdominal Wall: A Case Report

Journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Thank you for your letter and for the reviewers' comments concerning our manuscript entitled "Giant Nonfunctional Ectopic Adrenocortical Carcinoma on the Anterior Abdominal Wall: A Case Report".

Those comments are all valuable and very helpful for revising and improving our paper. We have studied comments carefully and have made correction which we hope meet with approval.

Reviewer #1:

1. how is the follow up of patient?

Response: The patient was discharged from our hospital on 06-19-2018, and according to the latest follow-up(06-20-2019), he was disease-free for one year.

2. "Endocrine tumors may coexist together"(https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijssu.2018.04.037) and (Turkiye Klinikleri J Gen Surg-Special Topics. 2018;11(2):112-4) I suggest both of these uptodate studies for the references.

Response: The first suggested reference is: (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijssu.2018.04.037>). This paper published by Isik A et al. at International Journal of Surgery, is entitle:" A survey of current approaches to thyroid nodules and thyroid operations". We added the reference suggested by the reviewer. But for the second suggested reference(Turkiye Klinikleri J Gen Surg-Special Topics. 2018;11(2):112-4), we could not find it in both google and pubmed. We regret not being able to add it to this article.

Reviewer #2:

1. As ACC is an infrequent and often aggressive malignancy with a very poor prognosis. Please discuss whether the patient with disease-free upon the 9-month follow up is enough.

Response: Because the patient was discharged from our hospital on 06-19-2018, only 9 months before the article was submitted, and according to the latest follow-up(06-20-2019), he was still disease-free for nearly one year. The 5-year overall survival rate of patients with ACC is 37-47%, So we will continue to follow up the patient.

2. Please clarify whether it is 9-month follow up (stated in abstract) or 6-month follow up (stated in conclusion) is correct.

Response: I apologize for the mistake I made. The patient has been followed for 9 months when the article was submitted, and according to the latest follow-up(06-20-2019), he was still disease-free for nearly one year.

Revised; p 9 line 6 :

"We describe a case of a patient with ectopic adrenocortical carcinoma on the anterior abdominal wall and our patient was disease-free at 9 months after resection of the tumor."

- 3. Please modify the small letter of the figure legend to capital letter so as matching the capital letters appear in the figures.**

Response: Thank you for your advice, we corrected it according to your requirements.