

48354-Answering Reviewers

Answers:

(1) As advice of reviewers, in Results Section, for all haematology and biochemistry tests, I supplemented the reference ranges in use show in brackets. And, DBIL level as a percentage of TBIL concentration (before and after urso+phenobarb treatment) were given in the manuscript.

(2) As advice of reviewers, it was stated in the manuscript that the BSP test in no longer used in clinical practice - it has been a redundant test over many years.

(3) As advice of reviewers, detailed information of PCR condition and gene-specific primers were given. And detailed information of experiment conditions was described.

(4) The mutant diagram of the patient and her parents was presented in Figure 1.

(5) As advice of reviewers, location information of point mutations has been presented in Figure 1 and detailed information of location information of point mutations was described.

(6) As advice of reviewers, I mention about approval number of ethical committee. In addition, ethical statement was improved.

(7) As advice of reviewers, I supplemented the method of NGS diagnosis and described information of NGS.

(8) As advice of reviewers, I summarized conditions of patients in table1.

(9) As advice of reviewers, I amended a typo and grammatical errors, such as gril in title.

(10) In addition, I delete the sentence "Immunophenotypic analysis of the bone marrow showed 11% mature lymphocytes, 0.1% myeloid blast cells, 83.2% immature and mature granulocytes, 1.5% mature monocytes, 2.7% immature RBC, and 1.2% eosinophils, all of which displayed no obvious anomaly." in result section for its unimportant role in the manuscript.

(11) I deleted the reference "[19] Rotor AB. Familial non-hemolytic jaundice

with direct van den Bergh reaction. Acta Med Philip 1948; 5: 37-49." Because the paper is too old, I can not find its PMID and DOI. And I can not offer the first page of the paper either.

Thank you very much!