

World Journal of *Clinical Cases*

World J Clin Cases 2019 October 26; 7(20): 3168-3383



**OPINION REVIEW**

- 3168** Clinical use of low-dose aspirin for elders and sensitive subjects
Zhang Y, Fang XM, Chen GX

ORIGINAL ARTICLE**Retrospective Study**

- 3175** Distribution and drug resistance of pathogenic bacteria in emergency patients
Huai W, Ma QB, Zheng JJ, Zhao Y, Zhai QR
- 3185** Comparative analysis of robotic vs laparoscopic radical hysterectomy for cervical cancer
Chen L, Liu LP, Wen N, Qiao X, Meng YG
- 3194** Feasibility of laparoscopic isolated caudate lobe resection for rare hepatic mesenchymal neoplasms
Li Y, Zeng KN, Ruan DY, Yao J, Yang Y, Chen GH, Wang GS
- 3202** Rh-incompatible hemolytic disease of the newborn in Hefei
Bi SH, Jiang LL, Dai LY, Zheng H, Zhang J, Wang LL, Wang C, Jiang Q, Liu Y, Zhang YL, Wang J, Zhu C, Liu GH, Teng RJ
- 3208** Soft tissue release combined with joint-sparing osteotomy for treatment of cavovarus foot deformity in older children: Analysis of 21 cases
Chen ZY, Wu ZY, An YH, Dong LF, He J, Chen R

Observational Study

- 3217** Clinical characteristics of sentinel polyps and their correlation with proximal colon cancer: A retrospective observational study
Wang M, Lu JJ, Kong WJ, Kang XJ, Gao F

Prospective Study

- 3226** Longitudinal observation of intraocular pressure variations with acute altitude changes
Xie Y, Sun YX, Han Y, Yang DY, Yang YQ, Cao K, Li SN, Li X, Lu XX, Wu SZ, Wang NL

Randomized Controlled Trial

- 3237** Combination of propofol and dezocine to improve safety and efficacy of anesthesia for gastroscopy and colonoscopy in adults: A randomized, double-blind, controlled trial
Li XT, Ma CQ, Qi SH, Zhang LM

META-ANALYSIS

- 3247** Prognostic significance of malignant ascites in gastric cancer patients with peritoneal metastasis: A systemic review and meta-analysis
Zheng LN, Wen F, Xu P, Zhang S

CASE REPORT

- 3259** Gonadotrophin-releasing hormone agonist-induced pituitary adenoma apoplexy and casual finding of a parathyroid carcinoma: A case report and review of literature
Triviño V, Fidalgo O, Juane A, Pombo J, Cordido F
- 3267** Constrictive pericarditis as a cause of refractory ascites after liver transplantation: A case report
Bezjak M, Kocman B, Jadrijević S, Gašparović H, Mrzljak A, Kanižaj TF, Vujanić D, Bubalo T, Mikulić D
- 3271** Endoluminal closure of an unrecognized penetrating stab wound of the duodenum with endoscopic band ligation: A case report
Kim DH, Choi H, Kim KB, Yun HY, Han JH
- 3276** Spontaneous superior mesenteric artery dissection following upper gastrointestinal panendoscopy: A case report and literature review
Ou Yang CM, Yen YT, Chua CH, Wu CC, Chu KE, Hung TI
- 3282** Hepatic amyloidosis leading to hepatic venular occlusive disease and Budd-Chiari syndrome: A case report
Li TT, Wu YF, Liu FQ, He FL
- 3296** De Winter syndrome and ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction can evolve into one another: Report of two cases
Lin YY, Wen YD, Wu GL, Xu XD
- 3303** Next generation sequencing reveals co-existence of hereditary spherocytosis and Dubin-Johnson syndrome in a Chinese girl: A case report
Li Y, Li Y, Yang Y, Yang WR, Li JP, Peng GX, Song L, Fan HH, Ye L, Xiong YZ, Wu ZJ, Zhou K, Zhao X, Jing LP, Zhang FK, Zhang L
- 3310** Recognizable type of pituitary, heart, kidney and skeletal dysplasia mostly caused by SEMA3A mutation: A case report
Hu F, Sun L
- 3316** Dermatofibrosarcoma metastases to the pancreas: A case report
Cai HJ, Fang JH, Cao N, Wang W, Kong FL, Sun XX, Huang B
- 3322** Repeated lumps and infections: A case report on breast augmentation complications
Zhang MX, Li SY, Xu LL, Zhao BW, Cai XY, Wang GL

- 3329** Severe mental disorders following anti-retroviral treatment in a patient on peritoneal dialysis: A case report and literature review
He QE, Xia M, Ying GH, He XL, Chen JH, Yang Y
- 3335** Fish bone-induced myocardial injury leading to a misdiagnosis of acute myocardial infarction: A case report
Wang QQ, Hu Y, Zhu LF, Zhu WJ, Shen P
- 3341** Potentially fatal electrolyte imbalance caused by severe hydrofluoric acid burns combined with inhalation injury: A case report
Fang H, Wang GY, Wang X, He F, Su JD
- 3347** Ureter - an unusual site of breast cancer metastasis: A case report
Zhou ZH, Sun LJ, Zhang GM
- 3353** Alternative technique to save ischemic bowel segment in management of neonatal short bowel syndrome: A case report
Geng L, Zhou L, Ding GJ, Xu XL, Wu YM, Liu JJ, Fu TL
- 3358** Sister Mary Joseph's nodule in endometrial carcinoma: A case report
Li Y, Guo P, Wang B, Jia YT
- 3364** Synchronous quadruple primary malignancies of the cervix, endometrium, ovary, and stomach in a single patient: A case report and review of literature
Wang DD, Yang Q
- 3372** Ureteral Ewing's sarcoma in an elderly woman: A case report
Li XX, Bi JB
- 3377** Anaplastic lymphoma kinase-negative anaplastic large cell lymphoma masquerading as Behcet's disease: A case report and review of literature
Luo J, Jiang YH, Lei Z, Miao YL

ABOUT COVER

Editorial Board Member of *World Journal of Clinical Cases*, Faycal Lakhdar, MD, Professor, Department of Neurosurgery, University Hospital Center of Fes, University Sidi Mohammed Ben Abdellah, FES 10000, Morocco

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of *World Journal of Clinical Cases* (WJCC, *World J Clin Cases*) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of clinical medicine with a platform to publish high-quality clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJCC mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of clinical medicine and covering a wide range of topics, including case control studies, retrospective cohort studies, retrospective studies, clinical trials studies, observational studies, prospective studies, randomized controlled trials, randomized clinical trials, systematic reviews, meta-analysis, and case reports.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The WJCC is now indexed in PubMed, PubMed Central, Science Citation Index Expanded (also known as SciSearch®), and Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition. The 2019 Edition of Journal Citation Reports cites the 2018 impact factor for WJCC as 1.153 (5-year impact factor: N/A), ranking WJCC as 99 among 160 journals in Medicine, General and Internal (quartile in category Q3).

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Responsible Electronic Editor: Ji-Hong Liu

Proofing Production Department Director: Yun-Xiaojuan Wu

NAME OF JOURNAL

World Journal of Clinical Cases

ISSN

ISSN 2307-8960 (online)

LAUNCH DATE

April 16, 2013

FREQUENCY

Semimonthly

EDITORS-IN-CHIEF

Dennis A Bloomfield, Bao-Gan Peng, Sandro Vento

EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS

<https://www.wjnet.com/2307-8960/editorialboard.htm>

EDITORIAL OFFICE

Jin-Lei Wang, Director

PUBLICATION DATE

October 26, 2019

COPYRIGHT

© 2019 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS

<https://www.wjnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204>

GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS

<https://www.wjnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287>

GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH

<https://www.wjnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240>

PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT

<https://www.wjnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208>

ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE

<https://www.wjnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242>

STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS

<https://www.wjnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239>

ONLINE SUBMISSION

<https://www.f6publishing.com>

Spontaneous superior mesenteric artery dissection following upper gastrointestinal panendoscopy: A case report and literature review

Chih-Ming Ou Yang, Yu-Tong Yen, Chai-Hock Chua, Chin-Chu Wu, Kuan-En Chu, Tsung-I Hung

ORCID number: Chih-Ming Ou Yang (0000-0001-5161-9709); Yu-Tong Yen (0000-0001-8569-1821); Chai-Hock Chua (0000-0001-5899-1626); Chin-Chu Wu (0000-0003-2658-5775); Kuan-En Chu (0000-0003-1393-9052); Tsung-I Hung (0000-0001-6679-6871).

Author contributions: Ou Yang CM and Chua CH were the patient's attending physicians; Ou Yang CM and Yen YT contributed to the study concept and design; Ou Yang CM and Hung TI performed literature search; Ou Yang CM and Chu KE performed data acquisition; Ou Yang CM and Wu CC analyzed the data, interpreted the results, and provided administrative/technical/material support; Ou Yang CM and Hung TI contributed to manuscript writing; Ou Yang CM critically revised and gave final approval of the manuscript.

Informed consent statement:

Written informed consent was obtained from the patient for publication of this report and any accompanying images.

Conflict-of-interest statement:

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

CARE Checklist (2016) statement:

The authors have read the CARE Checklist (2016) and have prepared this report according to the CARE Checklist (2016).

Open-Access:

This article is an open-access article which was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in

Chih-Ming Ou Yang, Tsung-I Hung, Department of General Surgery, Shin Kong Wu Ho-Su Memorial Hospital, Taipei 111, Taiwan

Yu-Tong Yen, Chai-Hock Chua, Department of Cardiovascular Surgery, Shin Kong Wu Ho-Su Memorial Hospital, Taipei 111, Taiwan

Chin-Chu Wu, Department of Radiology, Shin Kong Wu Ho-Su Memorial Hospital, Taipei 111, Taiwan

Kuan-En Chu, Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Gastroenterology, Shin Kong Wu Ho-Su Memorial Hospital, Taipei 111, Taiwan

Corresponding author: Tsung-I Hung, MD, Attending Doctor, Chief Doctor, Department of General Surgery, Shin Kong Wu Ho-Su Memorial Hospital, No. 95, Wen-Chang Road, Shi-Lin, Taipei 111, Taiwan. e47817@yahoo.com.tw

Telephone: +88-62-28332211

Fax: +88-62-28389404

Abstract

BACKGROUND

Complications associated with upper gastrointestinal (UGI) endoscopy are uncommon, and rarely involve those of cardiovascular nature. We report herein a unique case of spontaneous superior mesenteric artery dissection (SMAD) after UGI panendoscopy.

CASE SUMMARY

A 45-year-old man who had previously undergone UGI panendoscopy and colonoscopy during a voluntary health check-up at our facility was admitted to the emergency room (ER) at the same facility due to persistent epigastric pain with radiation to the back. At the ER, the patient did not present notable abnormalities upon physical, conscious, or laboratory examinations apart from mild tenderness in the epigastric abdomen. Acute abdominal aortic dissection was suspected, and abdominal contrast-enhanced computed tomography confirmed SMAD. He was then transferred to the cardiovascular ward and treated conservatively with fasting, prostaglandin E1, and aspirin. The patient recovered and returned home soon after, and was symptom-free 6 months after discharge from the facility.

CONCLUSION

SMAD after UGI panendoscopic procedure is a previously unreported complication. Awareness of this complication and associated sequela is

accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

Manuscript source: Unsolicited manuscript

Received: April 17, 2019

Peer-review started: April 17, 2019

First decision: June 10, 2019

Revised: August 13, 2019

Accepted: August 27, 2019

Article in press: August 27, 2019

Published online: October 26, 2019

P-Reviewer: Lieto E, Cianci P

S-Editor: Tang JZ

L-Editor: Wang TQ

E-Editor: Wu YXJ



warranted.

Key words: Upper gastrointestinal panendoscopy; Spontaneous superior mesenteric artery dissection; Case report

©The Author(s) 2019. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core tip: Although upper gastrointestinal panendoscopy is relatively safe and complications are uncommon, we encountered herein a rare case of post-procedure spontaneous superior mesenteric artery dissection (SMAD) in a middle-aged man. After panendoscopy, the patient experienced abdominal pain extending to back immediately and persisting for two weeks. Upon examination, there were no obvious physical or laboratory signs of organ perforation and peritonitis. SMAD was suspected and confirmed by contrast-enhanced CT of the abdomen. While the patient recovered without sequelae after being treated conservatively in the cardiovascular ward, SMAD is a potentially lethal condition and awareness of its association with panendoscopy is warranted.

Citation: Ou Yang CM, Yen YT, Chua CH, Wu CC, Chu KE, Hung TI. Spontaneous superior mesenteric artery dissection following upper gastrointestinal panendoscopy: A case report and literature review. *World J Clin Cases* 2019; 7(20): 3276-3281

URL: <https://www.wjnet.com/2307-8960/full/v7/i20/3276.htm>

DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.12998/wjcc.v7.i20.3276>

INTRODUCTION

Upper gastrointestinal (UGI) panendoscopy is a procedure that allows a clear view of the mucosal layer of the esophagus, stomach, and proximal duodenum. Patients with upper abdominal symptoms including pain, dyspepsia, regurgitation, or bleeding suggestive of reflux, ulcers, tumors, or vascular abnormalities in the UGI are indicated for diagnostic panendoscopy examinations^[1]. Although panendoscopy performed in the UGI carries a low risk of complications, the adverse complication rates of UGI panendoscopy have been reported to be 1 in 200 to 1 in 10000 while the mortality rates between none to 1 in 2000 by several large-series studies^[2-5]. Although major adverse complications related to diagnostic UGI panendoscopy are rare, they can include potentially life-threatening cardiopulmonary adverse events, infection, mechanical perforation, and bleeding^[6]. An estimated cardiopulmonary event rate of 1 in 170 and a mortality rate of 1 in 10000 from among 140000 UGI endoscopic procedures were suggested by data collected from the Clinical Outcomes Research Initiative database^[7]. Risk factors for complications associated with panendoscopy include pre-existing disease of cardiopulmonary or systemic nature, advanced age, and frailty^[6]. Other than clinical signs suggesting of adverse events, patients can at times experience abdominal discomfort after gastrointestinal (GI) endoscopic procedures, and this is usually treated as a mild complication rather than an early symptom of more severe conditions. Spontaneous superior mesenteric artery dissection (SMAD) is an uncommon cause of abdominal pain and has never been described to associate with UGI endoscopy in the medical literature. We report herein a unique case presenting with acute abdominal symptoms after UGI endoscopy procedure who was later diagnosed with SMAD, possibly as a complication. The patient was managed promptly after diagnosis and recovered well. Isolated spontaneous SMAD is a rare disorder, and only 106 cases were detailed in the medical literature^[8]. Despite the rarity, differential diagnosis of acute abdominal pain after UGI panendoscopy should include SMAD, which is a treatable condition that can lead to detrimental sequela when left unattended.

CASE PRESENTATION

Chief complaints

A 45-year-old man presented to the emergency department of our hospital with a chief complaint of epigastric pain with radiation to the back.

History of present illness

The patient experienced persistent abdominal pain for two weeks, starting from UGI panendoscopic and colonoscopic procedures performed at our facility. The pain had persisted for 2 wk before he returned to our hospital for further examination.

History of past illness

The patient had a history of untreated hypertension and hyperlipidemia. He experienced immediate abdominal pain after endoscopic procedures performed during a voluntary health checkup and brought this to our attention while resting in the recovery room. Four hours later, plain abdominal standing X-ray was taken and an apparently normal small bowel ileus pattern without subphrenic free air was found (Figure 1). Consequently, the patient was treated conservatively using menthol packing of his abdomen and allowed to go home in Tai Tung, which was in the eastern part of Taiwan, about 5 h drive from our facility. During the following 2 wk, he experienced persistent abdominal symptoms and sought help from several local clinics/hospitals, where he received conservative treatments mainly for gastritis or enteritis. As the discomfort did not improve, the patient contacted us for help 14 d after the initial endoscopic procedures and was transferred to our emergency room (ER) for further management.

Physical examinations

At the ER, the patient complained of persistent epigastric pain radiating to the back with abdominal distension for the past 2 wk, but appeared otherwise easy-looking. There was no fever or chilliness, and his vital signs were normal. Physical examination of the abdomen revealed mild epigastric tenderness without any signs suggestive of peritonitis. Soft and mild distended abdomen was found while no muscle guarding or board-like abdomen was observed. Since mechanical hollow organ perforation and peritonitis were both ruled out after thorough examination of the patient, acute abdominal aortic dissection was suspected.

Laboratory examinations

Laboratory examination performed at the ER included complete blood count and biochemistry examinations. All data were within normal limits.

Imaging examinations

After thorough physical and laboratory examinations upon returning to ER, the patient was subjected to contrast-enhanced computed tomography (CT) of the abdomen. Isolated spontaneous SMAD was revealed (Figure 2). The dissection began from just below the orifice of the superior mesenteric artery with intra-mural hematoma and without stenosis. Although the intra-mural hematoma compressed the true lumen, there was no suggestive signs of bowel ischemia, bowel thickening, or ascites.

FINAL DIAGNOSIS

The patient was diagnosed with Type III SMAD as a complication of UGI panendoscopy.

TREATMENT

Based on the diagnosis, the patient was admitted to our cardiovascular ward, where conservative treatments with blood pressure control, fasting, vasodilation (prostaglandin E1, 60 µg in 500 mL of 5% dextrose in 0.9% normal saline, 40 mL/h continuous infusion for 4 d) and antiplatelet agent (aspirin 100 mg q.d. for 4 d) were given.

OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP

The patient's abdominal symptoms and back pain markedly improved on the subsequent day following admittance, and oral intake was initiated 2 d later. He was discharged from the hospital 4 d after admittance in stable condition. The patient was symptom-free 6 mo after discharge.



Figure 1 Standing plain abdominal X-ray showed no subphrenic free air.

DISCUSSION

Up to date, UGI endoscopy related spontaneous SMAD relevant to the current case has never been reported in the medical literature. The clinical presentations of spontaneous SMAD are vast and wide, ranging from asymptomatic incidental finding to acute catastrophic bowel ischemia or aneurysmal spontaneous SMAD, and can only be correctly recognized upon CT examination. The initial differential diagnosis of acute abdominal discomfort after GI endoscopic procedures must first rule out hollow organ perforation. For the patient presented herein, subphrenic free air was not found upon standing plain abdominal X-ray taken 4 h after the examination, and thus received conservative menthol packing. However, due to the severity and persistence of abdominal pain in the face of minimal abdominal findings when the patient returned to our ER 2 wk later, conditions of vascular origin were suspected and examined. The pathophysiology of spontaneous SMAD is not yet clear, though risk factors include hypertension, connective tissue disorders, vasculitis, atherosclerosis, and trauma to the aorta^[9]. High blood pressure (140-146/86-90) and hyperlipidemia were found in this patient during his stay in our health screening clinic, although he had no prior history of relevant medication. Furthermore, no spiking in blood pressure during the conscious sedation endoscopic procedures was found when we retrospectively reviewed his blood pressure records. The endoscopist who performed the procedure is well-experienced (> 10 years) and had performed about 1000 cases of UGI panendoscopy per year. Solis *et al*^[10] hypothesized that dissections are likely caused by stress on the anterior wall of the artery. Thus, this hypothesis corresponds with the location of dissection in the currently presented case, where gaseous insufflation of the duodenum during panendoscopy may have provided the source of pressure on the anterior wall of the superior mesenteric artery. In any case, immediate presentation of the patient's abdominal pain and direct association of spontaneous SMAD after the panendoscopy was not available as the diagnosis was confirmed two weeks later at the ER.

Accurate diagnosis of SMAD relies on abdominal CT, and treatment modalities are usually based on CT findings together with the clinical presentation of the disease. Sakamoto *et al*^[11] categorised SMAD into four types according to the CT imaging features: Type I involves a patent false lumen with both entry and re-entry; Type II involves a "cul-de-sac"-shaped false lumen without re-entry; Type III involves a thrombotic false lumen with an ulcer-like projection; and Type IV involves a completely thrombotic false lumen with an ulcer-like projection. According to the type of SMAD, conservative treatment is recommended for Types I and IV while long-term follow-up should be given for Types II and III due to the possibility of bowel necrosis or rupture. Our patient presented a Type III SMAD and he was treated with fasting, blood pressure control, and antiplatelet therapy. In addition, prostaglandin E1 as a vasodilator was administered as suggested by Totsugawa *et al*^[12]. Despite the full recovery of the patient presented in the current report, failure in medical management can occur in 10%-45% of patients. Patients who develop signs of worsening intestinal ischemia disregarding initial medical management may require aggressive abdominal exploration to evaluate the bowel and resect nonviable segments^[13]. On the other hand, around 16%-34% of spontaneous SMAD cases appear asymptomatic and most of them were discovered incidentally^[9,14,15]. Single-centered and systematic studies



Figure 2 Contrast-enhanced computed tomography (sagittal view) of the abdomen and aorta showed an intra-mural hematoma (white arrow) in the superior mesenteric artery trunk. An aneurysm can be seen proximal to SMA (black arrow). SMA: Spontaneous superior mesenteric artery.

suggest that most asymptomatic spontaneous SMAD have a benign disease course and can be conservatively treated^[9,14,15]. In the case reported herein, it is difficult to determine whether the patient experienced a direct procedural complication or an exacerbation of pre-existing asymptomatic SMAD secondary to panendoscopy. In any case, panendoscopy is useful for screening individuals at high risks for UGI cancers^[1] and also an elective examination of adult voluntary health check-ups, but the presence of an appropriate indication and associated contraindication should always be thoroughly evaluated prior to procedure^[16].

CONCLUSION

SMAD is a rare condition that requires specific treatment modalities depending on disease presentation. Failure to recognize the condition and treat correctly can lead to catastrophic consequences. We hope with the presentation of this unique case of UGI panendoscopy-associated SMAD, awareness of possible complications with vascular-origin will increase when treating patients with acute abdominal symptoms after endoscopic treatments.

REFERENCES

- 1 **ASGE Standards of Practice Committee.** Early DS, Ben-Menachem T, Decker GA, Evans JA, Fanelli RD, Fisher DA, Fukami N, Hwang JH, Jain R, Jue TL, Khan KM, Malpas PM, Maple JT, Sharaf RS, Dominitz JA, Cash BD. Appropriate use of GI endoscopy. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2012; **75**: 1127-1131 [PMID: 22624807 DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2012.01.011]
- 2 **Silvis SE,** Nebel O, Rogers G, Sugawa C, Mandelstam P. Endoscopic complications. Results of the 1974 American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy Survey. *JAMA* 1976; **235**: 928-930 [PMID: 128642 DOI: 10.1001/jama.235.9.928]
- 3 **Quine MA,** Bell GD, McCloy RF, Charlton JE, Devlin HB, Hopkins A. Prospective audit of upper gastrointestinal endoscopy in two regions of England: safety, staffing, and sedation methods. *Gut* 1995; **36**: 462-467 [PMID: 7698711 DOI: 10.1136/gut.36.3.462]
- 4 **Sieg A,** Hachmoeller-Eisenbach U, Eisenbach T. Prospective evaluation of complications in outpatient GI endoscopy: a survey among German gastroenterologists. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2001; **53**: 620-627 [PMID: 11323588 DOI: 10.1067/mge.2001.114422]
- 5 **Heuss LT,** Froehlich F, Beglinger C. Changing patterns of sedation and monitoring practice during endoscopy: results of a nationwide survey in Switzerland. *Endoscopy* 2005; **37**: 161-166 [PMID: 15692932 DOI: 10.1055/s-2004-826143]
- 6 **ASGE Standards of Practice Committee.** Ben-Menachem T, Decker GA, Early DS, Evans J, Fanelli RD, Fisher DA, Fisher L, Fukami N, Hwang JH, Ikenberry SO, Jain R, Jue TL, Khan KM, Krinsky ML, Malpas PM, Maple JT, Sharaf RN, Dominitz JA, Cash BD. Adverse events of upper GI endoscopy. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2012; **76**: 707-718 [PMID: 22985638 DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2012.03.252]
- 7 **Sharma VK,** Nguyen CC, Crowell MD, Lieberman DA, de Garmo P, Fleischer DE. A national study of cardiopulmonary unplanned events after GI endoscopy. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2007; **66**: 27-34 [PMID: 17591470 DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2006.12.040]

- 8 **Nakamura K**, Nozue M, Sakakibara Y, Kuramoto K, Satoh M, Kobayashi S, Kashimura H, Fukutomi H, Todoroki T, Fukao K. Natural history of a spontaneous dissecting aneurysm of the proximal superior mesenteric artery: report of a case. *Surg Today* 1997; **27**: 272-274 [PMID: [9068114](#) DOI: [10.1007/BF00941661](#)]
- 9 **Morgan CE**, Mansukhani NA, Eskandari MK, Rodriguez HE. Ten-year review of isolated spontaneous mesenteric arterial dissections. *J Vasc Surg* 2018; **67**: 1134-1142 [PMID: [29146096](#) DOI: [10.1016/j.jvs.2017.08.071](#)]
- 10 **Solis MM**, Ranval TJ, McFarland DR, Eidt JF. Surgical treatment of superior mesenteric artery dissecting aneurysm and simultaneous celiac artery compression. *Ann Vasc Surg* 1993; **7**: 457-462 [PMID: [8268091](#) DOI: [10.1007/BF02002130](#)]
- 11 **Sakamoto I**, Ogawa Y, Sueyoshi E, Fukui K, Murakami T, Uetani M. Imaging appearances and management of isolated spontaneous dissection of the superior mesenteric artery. *Eur J Radiol* 2007; **64**: 103-110 [PMID: [17628380](#) DOI: [10.1016/j.ejrad.2007.05.027](#)]
- 12 **Totsugawa T**, Kuinose M, Ishida A, Tamaki T, Yoshitaka H, Tsushima Y. Spontaneous dissection of the superior mesenteric artery as a rare cause of acute abdomen: report of two cases. *Acta Med Okayama* 2009; **63**: 157-160 [PMID: [19571903](#) DOI: [10.18926/AMO/31846](#)]
- 13 **Sparks SR**, Vasquez JC, Bergan JJ, Owens EL. Failure of nonoperative management of isolated superior mesenteric artery dissection. *Ann Vasc Surg* 2000; **14**: 105-109 [PMID: [10742422](#) DOI: [10.1007/s100169910019](#)]
- 14 **Karaolanis G**, Antonopoulos C, Tsilimigras DI, Moris D, Moulakakis K. Spontaneous isolated superior mesenteric artery dissection: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Vascular* 2019; **27**: 324-337 [PMID: [30621507](#) DOI: [10.1177/1708538118818625](#)]
- 15 **Wang J**, He Y, Zhao J, Yuan D, Xu H, Ma Y, Huang B, Yang Y, Bian H, Wang Z. Systematic review and meta-analysis of current evidence in spontaneous isolated celiac and superior mesenteric artery dissection. *J Vasc Surg* 2018; **68**: 1228-1240.e9 [PMID: [30126785](#) DOI: [10.1016/j.jvs.2018.05.014](#)]
- 16 **Kang SH**, Hyun JJ. Preparation and patient evaluation for safe gastrointestinal endoscopy. *Clin Endosc* 2013; **46**: 212-218 [PMID: [23767028](#) DOI: [10.5946/ce.2013.46.3.212](#)]



Published By Baishideng Publishing Group Inc
7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-2238242
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
Help Desk: <https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk>
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

