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**Abstract**

**AIM:** To obtain reference values for pancreatic flow output rate (PFR) and peak time (PT) in healthy volunteers and chronic pancreatitis (CP); To correlate quantification of secretin enhanced magnetic resonance (MR) cholangiopancreatography (SMRCP) of pancreatic fluid output following secretin with fecal elastase-1 (FE-1) tests.

**METHODS:** The present study includes 53 subjects comprised of 17 healthy individuals and 36 patients with CP from April 2011 to January 2013. The 36 patients with CP were divided into three groups of mild CP (*n* = 14), moderate CP (*n* = 19) and advanced CP (*n* = 3) by M-ANNHEIM classification for CP 。Fifty-three cases underwent FE-1 test and magnetic resonance imaging at 3.0 T-device (Signa EXCITE, GE Healthcare). Coronal T2-weighted single-shot turbo spin-echo, spiratory triggered, covering the papillae, duodenum and small bowel. MRCP was performed with a heavily T2-weighted fat-suppressed long TE HASTE sequence (thick slab 2D MRCP sequence), repeated every 2 min up to 11 min after 0.1 mL/kg secretin injection (Secrelux, Sanochemia®, Germany). FE-1 test was using sandwich enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) test (ScheBo. Tech®, Germany).

**RESULTS:** A good linear correlation showed between the calculated volume and the actual volume by Phantom experiments. Fifty-three paired Quantification of secretin enhanced magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (MRCPQ) and FE-1 data sets were analyzed. The mean FE-1 of 53 cases was 525.41 ± 94.44 µg/g for 17 healthy volunteers, 464.95 ± 136.13 µg/g for mild CP, 301.55 ± 181.55 µg/g for moderate CP, 229.30 ± 146.60 µg/g for advanced CP. Also, there was statistically significant difference in FE-1 (*P* = 0.0001) between health and CP. The mean values of PFR and PT were 8.18 ± 1.11 mL/min, 5.76 ± 1.71 min for normal; 7.27 ± 2.04 mL/min, 7.71 ± 2.55 min for mild CP; 4.98 ± 2.57 mL/min, 9.10 ± 3.00 min for moderate CP; 4.13 ± 1.83 mL/min, 12.33 ± 1.55 min for advanced CP. Further, statistically significant difference in PFR (*P* = 0.0001) and PT (*P* = 0.0001) was observed between health and CP. Besides, there was correlation (*r* = 0.79) and consistency (*K* = 0.6) between MRCPQ and ELISA Test. It was related between M-ANNHEIM classification and PFR (*r* = 0.55), FE-1 (*r* = 0.57).

**CONCLUSION:** SMRCP can provide a safe, non-invasive and efficient method to evaluate the exocrine function of the pancreas.

© 2011 Baishideng. All rights reserved.
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**Core tip:** After all subjects were injected secretin, the results of secretin enhanced magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (SMRCP) were that the mean values of PFR and PT were 8.18 ± 1.11 mL/min, 5.76 ± 1.71 min for normal; 7.27 ± 2.04 mL/min, 7.71 ± 2.55 min for mild chronic pancreatitis (CP); 4.98 ± 2.57 mL/min, 9.10 ± 3.00 min for moderate CP; 4.13 ± 1.83 mL/min, 12.33 ± 1.55 min for advanced CP. Statistically significant difference in PFR and PT was observed between health and CP. Also, there was correlation and consistency between Quantification of secretin enhanced magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay test. SMRCP can provide a safe, non-invasive and efficient method to evaluate the exocrine function of the pancreas.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Pancreatic exocrine function test plays an important role of in the diagnosis of chronic pancreatitis. It can guide the clinical diagnosis and therapy. At present, the “tubed” secretin test is the standard reference method for functional investigations. Unfortunately, this test is hampered by lack of test standardization and is uncomfortable for the patient due to prolonged duodenal intubation[1,2]. Indirect pancreatic function test is non-invasive, safe and cost-effective, but it is positive only in a serious shortage of pancreatic exocrine function[3,4]. FE-1 test is one of the indirect pancreatic exocrine tests, and widely used in the clinical. Its role in diagnosing chronic pancreatitis is controversial[5-7]. However, previous studies have proven a positive correlation between the secretin test and FE-1, suggesting that it has a possible role in evaluating exocrine pancreatic function[8-10].

MRCP has been a reliable noninvasive method for the diagnosis of both pancreatic and biliary disease[11,12]. Magnetic resonance imaging is a noninvasive diagnostic imaging method without ionizing radiation and provides details of pancreatic parenchymal and ductal morphology with potential relevance for pancreatic exocrine function[13].

SMRCP is routinely performed as part of the work up of patients with known or suspected pancreatic disease in many centers. Recently, SMRCP has gained increasing interest as a noninvasive imaging method enabling visualization and quantitative assessment of various aspects of pancreatic exocrine function[14-19].

In this study we propose a similar quantification method using S-MRCP to evaluate pancreatic exocrine function during secretin stimulation by measuring pancreatic flow rate (PFR). Our study has both primary and secondary purposes: (1) to obtain reference values for PFR in healthy volunteers and CP; and (2) to correlate MRCPQ of pancreatic fluid output following secretin with FE-1 tests.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

***Ethics***

This work has been supported by Natural Science Foundation of China. This study was approved ethically by the Second Military Medical University. All patients provided informed written consent.

***Patients***

From April 2011 to January 2013, the study included 53 subjects (18 females and 35 males) composed 17 healthy volunteers and 36 patients with CP. Twelve health were male and five health were female, with a mean age of 43.71 ± 14.48 years (range, 64-24 years) and a mean Body Mass Index (BMI) of 24.60 ± 3.45 kg/m2 (range, 31.12-24.60 kg/m2). Twenty-three patients were male and thirteen patients were female, with a mean age of 41.73 ± 14.13 years (range, 15-78 years) and a mean BMI of 23.60 ± 4.42 kg/m2 (range, 15.39-34.65 kg/m2). Thirty six patients with CP were divided into three groups of mild CP (*n* = 14), moderate CP (*n* = 19) and advanced CP (*n* = 3) by M-ANNHEIM classification for CP[20-24].

***Phantom experiments***

Measurement phantoms (MP) had a cylindrical shape and were filled with water. MPs were moved to isocenter. All images were acquired with the same the positions ensuring all imaging volume included matching phantoms. Volumes from 0 mL up to 200 mL water were applied. Put 200 mL of water into MP with different increments (0-50 mL in 5 mL increments, 50-100 mL in 10 mL increments, 100-200 mL in 50 mL increments). Then, the correlation between actual volume and calculated volume was examined.

Voxels containing 100% water were identified from the middle slice of the last volume step, when MP underwent maximal filling[25]. Commercially available software provided to measure mean signal intensity/voxel.

***FE-1 Test***

For the FE-1 test a single random stool sample was required with no need to stop enzyme supplements. Pancreatic exocrine reserve was in all patients using the FE-1 test determined. Results were determined using the sandwich enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) test, with two monoclonal antibodies that only bind to human elastase-1. A commercial kit was used (ScheBo Tech®, Germany) containing a human elastase-specific antibody-sensitized ELISA plate. Elastase concentration was then determined by a photometric method. The FE-1 values were separately scored as 1 for FE-1 > 200 µg/g, and 0 for FE-1 < 200 µg/g.

***MR technique***

All examinations were performed using a 3.0-T MR (Signa EXCITE, GE Healthcare) with a phased array body coil. All examinations were fasted for at least 4 hours before examination. Immediately, before imaging patients drank 100 mL of water ensuring the presence of a voxel containing 100% water within the imaging volume.

The pancreatic MR examination protocol consisted of: (1) coronal T2-weighted single-shot turbo spin-echo, respiratory-triggered, covering the papillae, duodenum and small bowel. The parameters for Coronal T2-weighted sequences were the following: TR/TE 1375/119ms, slice thickness 64 mm, no gap, echo time 119ms， FA 90°, field of view (FOV) 400 × 400, matrix 224 × 288; and (2) MRCP was performed with a heavily T2-weighted fat-suppressed long-TE HASTE sequence (thick slab 2D MRCP sequence). Imaging parameters were TR/TE 7000 ms/1270 ms, flip angle 90°, 64 mm slice thickness, FOV 320 mm × 320 mm-420 mm × 420 mm, matrix 288 × 288, echo time 1274 ms. After the first dynamic acquisition, a bolus of secretin was injected intravenously at a dose of 1 CU/kg body weight. The secretin (Secrelux Sanochemia®, Germany) dose 0.1 mL/kg was administered and the exact same sequence repeated at 1, 3, 5, 7, 9 and 11 min. All positions before and after injection remained unchanged.

***Imaging analysis***

Two single radiologists assessed pancreatic imaging without knowledge of clinical and FE-1 data.

A semi-quantitative evaluation of duodenal filling (DF), a function of pancreatic exocrine secretion, was performed on MRCP images obtained 10 minute after secretin injection and compared with MRCP images acquired before secretin administration. MRCP images were assessed as follows described by Matos *et al*[26], grade 0 for absence of DF; grade 1 for fluid limited into the duodenal bulb; grade 2 for DF up to the genu inferius; grade 3 for filling beyond to the genu inferius. In agreement within the literature, pancreatic exocrine function reduced when DF was less than grade 3.

Subsequently, a quantitative analysis of DF was performed by drawing an appropriate region of interest (ROI). Changes in signal intensity in the imaging volume were plotted against time, and the flow rate derived from the gradient. PFR were calculated using the method previously described in[17,19,25]. Pancreatic exocrine secretions were quantified by PFR and PT. Then the PFR values were separately scored as 1 for PFR > 5 mL/min, and 0 for PFR < 5 mL/min. The volume of water was calculated with the following formula:

***Statistical analysis***

Statistical analyses were performed in SPSS 20.0 for Windows software. Data is reported as the median or the mean ± one standard deviation (SD). Mean flow rates and FE-1 were compared using one-way ANOVA LSD test and Student’s *t*-test. It was statistically significant different at the 0.05 level. Linear regression analysis was used to compare the values between PFR and FE-1. Correlations between DF grades, M-ANNHEIM classifications and PFR, FE-1 were tested by using the Spearman’s rank correlation coefficients respectively. Correlation was significant at the 0.01 level. The consistency of the two methods was compared with the test where MRCPQ < 5 mL/min, FE-1 < 200 µg/g as abnormal.

**RESULTS**

***The result of phantom experiments***

Phantom experiments showed a good correlation between known and calculated volumes of water (Figure 1).

***Semi-quantitative image analysis***

Ten minute after secretin administration, 17 volunteers and 23/33 patients showed a DF beyond the genu inferius (grade 3); whereas 8/36 showed a DF up to the genu inferius (grade 2); 5/36 showed a DF limited to the duodenal bulb (grade 1); None of the patients showed absent filling (grade 0).

***Quantitative image analysis***

Table 1 demonstrated results of the mean FE-1, PFR and PT of volunteers and patients based on M-ANNHEIM classificationfor CP. Table 2 demonstrated results of the mean FE-1, PFR and PT of volunteers and patients based on DF volumefor CP. There was correlation (*r* = 0.79) and consistency (*K* = 0.6) between MRCPQ and FE-1. Also, it was related between M-ANNHEIM classification and PFR (*r* = 0.55), FE-1 (*r* = 0.57). There were correlations between DF grades and PFR (*r* = 0.36), but no FE-1 (*r* = 0.29) (Figures 2 and 3 Tables 3 and 4).

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we quantified pancreatic exocrine function of CP. Some technical details are worth emphasis. The coil we used was the body coil not torso phased-array coil, because the body receiver coil provided a uniform sensitivity[28]. Second, Voxels containing 100% water was chosen in order to reduce the impaction of surrounding. If not, it would cause a high PFR[19, 20]. Third, all cases fasted for at least four hours before examination. Immediately, patients were given 100 mL of water to drink before imaging, to ensure the presence of a voxel containing 100% water within the imaging volume. Keeping positions constant is also critical before and after the injection of secretin. Fourth, the scanning images should be along the long axis of the pancreas[29-32]. Lastly, we chose 64 mm slice thickness and large ROI including the papillae, duodenum and small bowel to prevent fluid loss.

There are some limitations in this study. The study sample is relatively small, in particular, the subgroup. Besides, it is distinguishing between water filled in measurement phantoms and pancreatic juice. Additionally, phantom experiments are only valid in vitro. The other data[16, 17, 19, 33] shows that a thin multilayer coronal T2WI images were obtained after the injection of secretin; based on change of signal, the volume of pancreatic secretion by the equation is calculated. This method needed patients to drink water many times. Finally, what we calculated is PFR, not pancreatic flow volume.

In summary, we have shown a good linear correlation between the calculated volume and the actual volume by Phantom experiments, which also has been reported in the literature[34,35,36]. The data gathered by the phantom studies strongly support the feasibility of this technique. Using the same technique, we have been able to measure pancreatic flow rates in health and CP. Moreover, there are correlation and consistency between MRCPQ and FE-1. Our study confirmed the capability of MRCP images to quantitatively evaluate the pancreatic exocrine reserve. Nevertheless, considering the limitations of this study, we need to confirm our results by further trials.

**COMMENTS**

***Background***

Pancreatic exocrine function test plays an important role of in the diagnosis of chronic pancreatitis. It can guide the clinical diagnosis and therapy. At present, the “tubed” secretin test as the standard reference method is hampered by lack of test standardization and is uncomfortable for the patient due to prolonged duodenal intubation. Indirect pancreatic function test is non-invasive, safe and cost-effective, but it is positive only in a serious shortage of pancreatic exocrine function. Recently, secretin enhanced magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (SMRCP) has gained increasing interest as a non-invasive imaging method enabling visualization and quantitative assessment of various aspects of pancreatic exocrine function.

***Research frontiers***

The authors examined the published literatures on quantification of pancreatic exocrine function and there were no there were no other related or similar studies in China.

***Innovations and breakthroughs***

In this study, the authors chose 64 mm slice thickness and large ROI to quantify pancreatic exocrine function of chronic pancreatitis. This way is non-invasive, safe and effective and above all, directly measure pancreatic fluid output.

***Applications***

The results of the present study indicate that SMRCP has been a reliable noninvasive method for the diagnosis of pancreatic exocrine function, whereas it can displace the “tubed” secretin test and other indirect tests.

***Terminology***

Quantification of secretin enhanced-MRCPQ was acquired as follows: The secretin dose 0.1 mL/kg was administered and the exact same sequence repeated at 1, 3, 5, 7, 9 and 11 minute. All positions before and after injection remained unchanged.

***Peer review***

Pancreatic exocrine function test plays an important role of in the diagnosis of chronic pancreatitis. It can guide the clinical diagnosis and therapy. Recent developments in SMRCP techniques have shown the feasibility of a quantitative assessment of the pancreatic exocrine function.
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**Figure legends**

**Figure 1** **Images of Phantom experiments** **A:** MR images with 5-70 mL of water in the MP; **B:** Correlation between the calculated and actual volumes of water i*n vitro* study. MP: Measurement phantoms; MR: Magnetic resonance.

**Figure 2** **An illustration of the secretin effect with the calculated pancreatic flow rate in a 54-year-old man with chronic pancreatitis.** A-E: There was a visible increase in DF at 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11 minute after secretin, Grade 3 DF was present on SMRCP at 10 min; F,G:A plot of change in volume over time from patient data, normal response with a flow rate of 6.8 mL/s and PT of 7 min.

**Figure 3 Scatter plot of pancreatic flow output rate and fecal elastase-1.**

**Table 1** **Results in normal and chronic pancreatitis**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | ***n*** | **FE-1 ()** | **PFR (mL/min)** | **PT (min)** |
| Normal | 17 | 525.41 ± 94.44 | 8.18 ± 1.11 | 5.76 ± 1.71 |
| Mild | 14 | 464.95 ± 136.13 | 7.27 ± 2.04 | 7.71 ± 2.55 |
| Moderate | 19 | 301.55 ± 181.55 | 4.98 ± 2.57 | 9.10 ± 3.00 |
| Advanced | 3 | 229.30 ± 146.60 | 4.13 ± 1.83 | 12.33 ± 1.15 |
| *F* value |  | 9.45 | 9.59 | 9.06 |
| *P* value |  | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 |
| FE-1: Fecal elastase-1; PFR: Pancreatic flow output rate; PT: Peak time. | | | | |

**Table 2** **Results in normal and reduced duodenal filling**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | ***n*** | **FE-1 ()** | **PFR (mL/min)** | **PT (min)** |
| Normal DF( grade 3) | 40 | 453.64 ± 162.74 | 7.16 ± 2.34 | 7.28 ± 2.56 |
| Reduced DF( grade1,2) | 13 | 285.59 ± 158.03 | 4.71 ± 1.92 | 9.61 ± 3.57 |
| *P* value |  | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.012 |
| FE-1: Fecal elastase-1; PFR: Pancreatic flow output rate; PT: Peak time; DF: duodenal filling. | | | | |

**Table 3 Quantification of secretin enhanced magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography *vs* fecal elastase-1 *n* (%)**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Normal FE-1** | **Abnormal FE-1** |
| Normal MRCPQ | 18 (51.4) | 3 (8.6) |
| Abnormal MRCPQ | 4 (11.4) | 10 (28.6) |
| MRCPQ < 5 mL/ min and FE-1 < 200 µg/g are abnormal *K* = 0.6; MRCPQ: Quantification of secretin enhanced magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography; FE-1: Fecal elastase-1. | | |

Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed); DF: duodenal filling. filling.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Table 4 Results of correlation** | | | | | | |
|  | **M-ANNHEIM** | |  |  | **DF** | |
|  | ***r* value** | ***P* value** |  |  | ***r* value** | ***P* value** |
| PFR | 0.55 | 0.0001 |  |  | 0.36 | 0.009 |
| FE-1 | 0.57 | 0.0001 |  |  | 0.29 | 0.038 |